# WORK PARTICIPATION AND ECONOMIC STATUS OF WOMEN IN 

 NAGALANDBy<br>IMCHARENLA<br>Department of Economics

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULLFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN ECONOMICS

NAGALAND UNIVERSITY
HQRS: LUMAMI

NAGALAND

## NAGALAND UNIVERSITY

HQRS: LUMAMI

Month..................
Year

## DECLARATION

I,Miss. Imcharenla, hereby declare that subject matter of this thesis "Work Participation and Economic Status of Women in Nagaland" is the work done by me. The contents of this thesis did not form the basis of any previous degree to me or to the best of my knowledge to anybody else and that the thesis has not been submitted by me for any research in any other University/Institute.

This is being submitted to Nagaland University for degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Economics.
(Prof. B. KILANGLA Jamir)

## Acknowledgement

At the outset, I would like to express my profound gratitude and indebtedness to my supervisor, Prof. B. KILANGLA JAMIR for her unstinting guidance and encouragement till the completion of my thesis without whom my research would not have been accomplished.

My sincere thanks go to the faculty of the department of Economics, Nagaland University Prof. M.K.Sinha, Dr.Temjenzulu Jamir, Dr. Giribabu, Dr. Zarenthung Ezung, Miss. Alice Pongener for their constant support.

I am thankful to all who helped me during field survey and a host of friends for the help and encouragement during my research.

Lastly, but not the least, I thank all my relatives for their support and help and most of all I give thanks to Almighty God.
(IMCHARENLA)
Research Scholar
Department of Economics
Nagaland University
Hqrs: Lumami

|  | ABBREVIATIONS |
| :---: | :---: |
| AU | African Union |
| DIET | District Institute of Education and Training |
| DAW | Division for the Advancement of Women |
| DHDR | District Human Development Report |
| FAO | Food and Agricultural Organization |
| FHH | Female Headed Household |
| FLFP | Female Labour Force Participation |
| FWPR | Female Work Participation Rate |
| GC | Gini Coefficient |
| GDI | Gender Development Index |
| GEM | Gender Empowerment Measures |
| GNP | Gross National Product |
| GOI | Government of India |
| HDI | Human Development Index |
| KILM | Key Indicators of Labour Market |
| MDGs | Millennium Development Goals |
| MHHDC | Mahbub ul Haq Human Development Centre |
| MHH | Male Headed Household |
| MHRD | Ministry of Human Resource Development |
| NSHDR | Nagaland State Human Development Report |
| NH | National Highway |
| NER | North Eastern Region |
| NREGA | National Rural Employment Guarantee Act |
| NSSO | National Sample Survey Organisation |
| OECD | Organization for Economic Corporation and Development |
| PCI | Per Capita Income |
| PIHS | Probit Integrated Household Survey |
| SBI | State Bank of India |
| SHGs | Self Help Groups |
| SNA | System of National Accounts |
| UNDP | United Nations for Development Programme |
| UNIFEM | United Nations Development Fund for Women |
| VDB | Village Development Board |
| VCC | Village Council Chairman |
| WPR | Work Participation Rate |
| WWPR | Women Work Participation Rate |

## CONTENTS

Chapter -1: Introduction
1.1: Introduction and concept
1.2: Statement of the problem
1.3: Area of Study 10
1.4: Period of Study 11
1.5: Objectives 11
1.6: Hypothesis 11
1.7: Scope of Study 11
1.8: Methodology 12
1.8.1: Database 12
1.8.2: Sample design 12
1.8.3: Data Analysis 12
1.9: Chapterisation 13

Chapter II : REVIEW OF LITERATURE 14
2.1 Concept 14
2.2 Education and work participation 18
2.3 Empowerment and status 26
2.4 Gender disparities 28
2.5 Gender convergence 33

Chapter III : GENDER PROFILE OF NAGALAND 39 Introduction 39
3.1. Women in traditional Naga society 40
-Women and Society 41
-Women in Decision Making Process 41
-Access to Resources and Work 42
3.2.1. Population in Nagaland ..... 43
3.2.2. Sex Ratio ..... 44
3.2.3. Health Indicators ..... 46
3.3. Educational profile ..... 49
3.3.1. Literacy rate ..... 50
Literacy Rate by District wise ..... 50
Enrolment ..... 51
Higher education in Nagaland ..... 53
3.4. Economic profile ..... 54
3.4.1 Employment ..... 54
3.4.2 Employment by Sector ..... 55
3.4.3 District Wise Employment Pattern ..... 56
3.5 Profile of sample area ..... 59
3. 5. 1 General profile ..... 59
Mokokchung District ..... 59
Tuensang District ..... 62
3.5.2 Demographic profile of sample household ..... 64
3.5.3 Educational profile of sample population ..... 67
Proportions by levels of education (gender wise) ..... 67
Gender Composition by Levels of Education(Urban) ..... 69
Gender Composition by Levels of Education(Rural) ..... 70
Status of education ..... 71
(a) Currently attending ..... 72
(b) Attended ..... 73
(c) Never attended ..... 75
3.5.4: Distribution of Economically active population ..... 76
Chapter IV : WORK PARTICIPATION AND INCOME ..... 89
4.1 Work Participation rate ..... 89
4.1.1 District wise work participation rate in 2001 and 2011: ..... 91
4.2 Employment in formal and informal sectors ..... 95
District Wise sample data: ..... 96
4.3 Nature of Employment: ..... 97
(a) Regular and Seasonal Workers: ..... 98
(b) Gender composition of Regular and Seasonal Workers: ..... 99
4.4 Activities in formal and informal sector ..... 101
Gender composition by activities: ..... 103
Village Wise Analysis: ..... 104
4.5 Employment and Education ..... 108
4.6 Employment and Imcome (Sample Data): ..... 116
4.8 Income Distribution ..... 121
4.8 Income and Expenditure by Gender ..... 126
(i) Income of Male and Female headed households : ..... 126
(ii) Expenditure of Male and Female headed households ..... 128
(iii) Household Expenditure by gender ..... 129
4.9 Time spent for work ..... 131
Household activities: ..... 131
Economic activities: ..... 131
Social activities: ..... 132
Chapter V
STATUS OF WOMEN AND EMPOWERMENT ..... 139
5.1 Introduction ..... 139
Status of women and traditions ..... 140
Access to economic resources and opportunities ..... 140
5.2 Ownership of resources by women ..... 141
Women ownership of resources: ..... 143
Determinant of access to resources: ..... 144
5.3 Access to financial resources and subsidies: ..... 145
(i) Institutional Loans ..... 146
(ii) Private Loan ..... 148
(iii) Access to Subsidies ..... 149
5.4 Access to training ..... 151
Reasons for not attending trainings: ..... 152
5.5 Access to information
(on Trainings and Financial Resources): ..... 154
5.6 Membership in formal organizations and SHGs ..... 155
5.7 Status and views of working women ..... 157
(i) Freedom and Restrictions ..... 157
(ii) Barrier and opportunities at work place ..... 158
(iii) Perceptions on work and gender relations: ..... 159
5.8 Reasons for unemployment (unable to find work) ..... 161
5.9 Decision making: ..... 163
Gender wise Decision making: ..... 163
5.10 Women in VDB ..... 168
Conclusions ..... 169
Chapter- VI: FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS ..... 175
BIBLIOGRAPHY ..... 202

## List of Figures and Charts

## Titles

Page No
Figure 3.2: Infant Mortality Rate in Nagaland 48
Figure 3.3: Death Rates (per '000 population) in Nagaland 48
Figure 3.4: Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in $2011 \quad 59$
Figure 3.5: Percentage of Population by level of Education in Urban area 68
Figure 3.6: Composition of gender in different levels of education urban area 69
Figure 3.7: Proportion of population by level of education in rural area 70
Figure 3.8: Composition of gender by level of education in rural area
Figure 4.1:Work Participation Rate by sex and gender gap (1961 to 2011), Nagaland 90
Figure 4.2:\% of Rural Employment by Activities $\mathbf{1 0 2}$
Figure 4.3: Distribution of income in formal sector (Rural) $\mathbf{1 2 2}$
Figure 4.4: Distribution of income in informal sector (Rural) $\mathbf{1 2 3}$
Figure 4.5: Distribution of income informal sector (Urban) $\mathbf{1 2 4}$
Figure 4.6: Distribution of income in informal sector (Urban) $\mathbf{1 2 5}$
Figure 4.7: \% of expenditure by gender (Urban) $\mathbf{1 2 9}$
Figure 4.8: \% of expenditure by gender (Rural) $\mathbf{1 3 0}$
Figure 5.1(a): Gender wise ownership of resources in rural area $\mathbf{1 4 2}$
Figure 5.1(b): Gender wise ownership of resources in urban area $\mathbf{1 4 3}$
Figure 5.2 (i): Purpose for taking institutional loan by urban women $\mathbf{1 4 6}$
Figure 5.2 (ii): Purpose for taking institutional loan by rural women $\mathbf{1 4 6}$
Figure 5.3: Purposes of loans from private money lenders $\mathbf{1 4 8}$
Figure 5.4 : Getting subsidy by gender composition in sample total $\mathbf{1 5 1}$
Figure 5.5: Gender wise Access to Training programs in percentage $\mathbf{1 5 1}$
Figure 5.6: Reasons for not attending trainings 153
Figure 5.7: Access to information 154
Figure 5.8 (i): Women's freedom/ restriction to work 157
Figure 5.8 (ii): Barrier or opportunity in work for women $\mathbf{1 5 8}$
Figure 5.8 (iii): Perception of working women on work and gender relations $\mathbf{1 5 9}$
List of Tables Page No
Table 3.1: Population of Nagaland - 1901-2011 ..... 44
Table 3.2 (a): District wise sex ratio in Nagaland: 2001-2011 ..... 45
Table 3. 2 (b): \% Change in Sex Ratio (from 2001 to 2011) ..... 46
Table 3.3: District wise Health Indicators of Nagaland in 2001 and 2010 ..... 47
Table 3.4: Gender Disparities in Literacy Rate ..... 50
Table 3.5: Literacy rates by Districts in 2001 and 2011 and decadal growth in percentages ..... 50
Table 3.6: School Enrolment in Nagaland in percentage[i] ..... 51
Table 3.7: District wise School enrolment in 2012-2013 in percentage ..... 52
Table 3.8: Number of students in higher educational institutions in Nagaland ..... 53
Table 3.9: Number of students undergoing technical courses ..... 53
Table 3.10: Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2001 and 2011 (in \%) in area and gender ..... 55
Table 3.11: District wise percentage of cultivators in Nagaland, 2011. ..... 57
Table 3.12: District wise Employment in household industries in Nagaland, 2011. ..... 57
Table 3.13: District wise, \% of Employment in Other Works (Services) in Nagaland, 2011 ..... 58
Table 3.14(a): Town/Village Population \& Institutions Profile, Mokokchung District ..... 60
Table 3.14(b): Institutions Profile, Mokokchung District ..... 60
Table 3.15(a): Town/Village population profile, Tuensang district (2011-12) ..... 62
Table 3.15(b): Institutions profile, Tuensang district (2011-12) ..... 62
Table 3.16: Sample households and population in urban area and Nagaland ..... 64
Table 3.17: Profile of Sample households and population in rural area ..... 65
Table 3.18: Age composition and sex ratio of sample population: ..... 66
Table 3.19: Sex wise \% distribution of population by level of education (urban area). ..... 68
Table 3.20: Educational profile (in percentage) of sample population-RURAL. ..... 70
Table 3.21: Currently attending different levels of education in 2011-12 (in \%) ..... 73
Table 3.22: Attended different levels of education in 2011-12(Urban) ..... 74
Table 3.23: Attended different levels of education in 2011-12(rural) ..... 75
Table 3.24: Distribution of economically active population in the sample area ..... 76
Table 4.1: Number of Workers and Work Participation Rate by Sex in Nagaland ..... 89
Table 4.2: Decadal Growth rate of WPR in Nagaland by sex and gender gap (1961 to 2011) ..... 90
Table 4.3(a): Work Participation rate in 2001 (in \%), Nagaland. ..... 91
Table 4.3(b): District wise work participation rate in 2011, Nagaland. ..... 92
Table 4.3( c): \% Increase in WPR during the last decade (2001 to 2011) in Nagaland ..... 93
Table 4.3(d): Gender gap in WPR (2001 and 2011) in Nagaland ..... 94
Table 4.4(a): Employment in formal and informal sector in sample areas of Nagaland, 2011-12 ..... 95
Table 4.4(b): \% of Employment in formal and informal sector in sample Districts, 2011-12 ..... 96
Table 4.5(a): Nature of employment by sector (\% in respective total in rural area) ..... 98
Table 4.5(b): Nature of employment by sector (\% in respective total in urban area) ..... 98
Table 4.6(a) Gender composition by nature of employment in formal and informal sectors in rural area: ..... 99
Table 4.6(b): Nature of employment in formal and informal sectors ..... 100
Table 4.7(a): Gender Segregated \% of formal and informal workers (sample total Rural) ..... 101
Table 4.7(b): Gender Segregated \% of formal and informal workers in rural sample districts. ..... 102
Table 4.8: Gender composition in Employment by economic activities (Rural) ..... 104
Table 4.9(a): Village wise employment by economic activities ..... 104
Table 4.9(b): Village wise employment by economic activities ..... 105
Table 4.10: Gender Segregated \% in formal and informal sectors (Urban) ..... 106
Table 4.11: Employment by Economic Activities (Mkg \&Tsg urban) ..... 107
Table 4.12: Employment by age and educational level in sample rural areas of Mokokchung and Tuensang ..... 108
Table 4.13: Employment by age and educational level in sample urban areas of Mokokchung and Tuensang ..... 110
Table 4.14: Employment by age and education in sample areas of Nagaland during 2011-12 117 ..... 111
Table 4.15: Employment by educational level in sample rural areas of Mokokchung \& Tuensang ..... 112
Table 4.16: Employment by educational level in sample urban areas of Mokokchung \& Tuensang ..... 113
Table 4.17: Employment by educational level in sample areas of Nagaland during 2011-12 ..... 113
Table 4.18: Simple Regression Analysis of Employment on Education ..... 115
Table 4.19: Employment and income of sample areas of Mokokchung and Tuensang district ..... 116
Table 4.20: Employment and income in formal and informal sectors in the sample rural area during 2011-12. ..... 117
Table 4.21: Employment and income in formal and informal sectors in the sample Urban area during 2011-12. ..... 117
Table 4.22: Employment and income in formal and informal sectors in Nagaland during 2011-12 ..... 118
Table 4.23: Simple Regression Analysis on Education and Monthly Income ..... 120
Table 4.24: Income distribution in Formal sector in rural area (sample total) ..... 121
Table 4.25: Income distribution in informal sector in the sample rural area of Mokokchung and Tuensang during 2011-12 ..... 123
Table 4.26: Income distribution in formal sector in the sample urban areas during 2011-12 ..... 124
Table 4.27: Income distribution in informal sector in the sample urban areas during 2011-12 ..... 125
Table 4.28 (a): Household average monthly income by gender in rural areas during 2011-12 ..... 127
Table 4.28(b): Household average monthly expenditure by gender ..... 128
Table 4.29: Differences in time spent by gender ..... 131
Table 5.6(a): Subsidy provided for the following activities ..... 149
Table 5.6(b): Reasons for receiving subsidy ..... 150
Table 5.6(c): Access to training program ..... 152
Table 5.6(d): Reason for not attending training programs ..... 153
Table 5.6: Access to information (in \% ) ..... 155
Table 5.6: Membership in formal organizations (in \%) ..... 156
Table5.6: Membership in SHGs (in \%) ..... 156
Table 5.7(i): Women's freedom and restriction to work ..... 157
Table 5.7(ii): Barrier and Opportunities at work place ..... 158
Table 5.7(iii): Perceptions of working women on work and gender relations ..... 160
Table 5.8(i): Reasons for unemployment (rural \& urban) ..... 161
Table 5.8(ii): Responses of unemployed women in percentage ..... 161
Table 5.8(iii): Assistance received by unemployed women ..... 162
Table 5.9(i): Home Related Decision making by Gender in Sample (in \%) ..... 163
Table 5.9(ii): Child Related Decision making by Gender in Sample (in \%) ..... 165
Table 5.9(iii): Money Related Decision making by Gender in Sample (in \%) ..... 166
Table 5.9(iv): Purchases and Sales Related Decision making by Gender in Sample (in \%) ..... 167
ANNEXUREAnnexure 3.1: Birth rate and Death rate77
Annexure 3.2: School Enrolment in Nagaland ..... 77
Annexure 3.3: District wise enrolment of Govt. and Private schools in 2012-13 ..... 77
Annexure 3.4: Distribution of teachers in Govt. and Pvt. Schools in the State (2012-13) ..... 78
Annexure 3.5: Number of students in higher and professional education in Nagaland ..... 78
Annexure 3.6: Number of students undergoing technical courses (Nagaland) ..... 79
Annexure 3.7: Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2001 ..... 79
Annexure 3.8: Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2011 ..... 79
Annexure 3.9: District wise Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2001 ..... 80
Annexure 3.10: District wise Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2011 ..... 81
Annexure 3.11: Age wise composition of sample population (Total) ..... 82
Annexure 3.12: Age wise composition of population in the Sample Rural Area and Nagaland ..... 82
Annexure 3.13: Age wise composition of population in the Sample Urban Area and Nagaland. ..... 83
Annexure 3.14: Distribution of sample population by levels of education in Urban area ..... 83
Annexure 3.15: Educational profile (in \%) by composition of gender (Urban) ..... 83
Annexure 3.16: Educational Profile of Sample urban area during 2011-12 ..... 84
Annexure 3.17: Educational Profile of sample population (Rural) ..... 85
Annexure 3.18: Educational profile (in percentage) of sample population-RURAL. ..... 86
Annexure 3.19: Educational Profile of Sample rural area during 2011-2012 ..... 87
Annexure 3.20: \% of currently attending education (Rural) ..... 87
Annexure 3.21: Educational Profile of Sample urban area during 2011-12 ..... 88
Annexure 3.22: Distribution of economically active population in the sample area ..... 133
Annexure no.4.1: District wise Total Workers and Work Participation rate in 2001 ..... 133
Annexure no 4.2: District wise Total workers and work participation rate in 2011 ..... 133
Annexure no.4.3: Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2001 ..... 134
Annexure no.4.4: Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2011 ..... 134
Annexure no 4..5: Sector wise work participation in the sample rural and urban areas of Tuensang district - ..... 134
Annexure no4..6: Sector wise work participation in the sample rural and urban areas of Mokokchung district ..... 134
Annexure no.4.7: Employment in formal and informal sector in sample areas of Nagaland during 2011-12 ..... 135
Annexure no.4.8: Employment in formal and informal sector in sample areas of Nagaland during 2011-12 ..... 135
Annexure no.4.9: Nature of employment in formal and informal sectors (Rural) ..... 135
Annexure no.4.10: Nature of employment in formal and informal sectors ..... 136
Annexure no 4.11: Employment by economic activities (Mkg \& Tsg rural) ..... 136
Annexure no.4.12: Employment by economic activities (Mkg \&Tsg urban) ..... 136
Annexure no.4.13: Employment by age and educational level in sample rural areas of Mokokchung and Tuensang ..... 136
Annexure no.4.14: Employment by age and educational level in sample urban areas of Mokokchung \& Tuensang ..... 137
Annexure no.4.15: Employment by age and education in sample areas of Nagaland during 2011-12 ..... 137
Annexure no.4.16: Employment by educational level in sample rural areas of Mokokchung \& Tuensang ..... 137
Annexure no.4.17: Employment by educational level in sample urban areas of Mokokchung \& Tuensang ..... 169
Annexure 5.1: Ownership of resources by female in Mokokchung and Tuensang district ..... 169
Annexure 5.2: Ownership of resources by female in Nagaland ..... 170
Annexure 5.30wnership of resources by gender in Tuensang district ..... 170
Annexure 5.4 Ownership of resources by gender in Tuensang district (rural \& urban) ..... 170
Annexure 5.5: Applied for loan from financial institutions ..... 171
Annexure 5.6: Applied for loan from financial institutions ..... 171
Annexure 5.7: Did not receive loan for the following reasoons ..... 171
Annexure 5.8: Access to information (rural and urban) ..... 171
Annexure 5.9:Membership informal organisation (Rural and Urban) ..... 172
Annexure 5.10:Membership in SGHS ..... 172
Annexure 5.11. Views and impediments of employed women ..... 173
Annexure 5.12: Responses and views of unemployed women ..... 173
Annexure 5.13: Decision making by gender in sample rural area ..... 174
Annexure 5.14: Allocation of dicision making by gender ..... 174

## Chapter I

## INTRODUCTION

## 1.1: INTRODUCTION AND CONCEPT

Work is important for income, production and status, yet, people are neither solely defined by their work, nor is it possible to ignore the effects of factors outside the workplace on a person's status at work. This makes us consider the role of productive work in life as a whole and the distribution of unpaid work as well as the myriad questions relating to employment ${ }^{1}$. When we look at categories and statistics of work, for many years in many societies, only those works outside the home have been considered as productive work and thus, has been counted as 'real' work. As it is also linked to pay or income, official statistics often refer to this as numbers of people in 'economic activity' ${ }^{2}$.

In India, according to the Payment of Wages (amendment) act, 1957, a person earning less than Rs. 400 per month was considered a worker. The amendment of 1976 raised this limit to Rs. 1000 per month was considered a worker. The Amendment of 1976 raised this limit to Rs 1000 per month, and in 1982, the application of the Act was further extended to cover persons earning less than Rs. 1600 per month. The classification of workers according to sectors, unorganized sector worker includes a person who is working in an unorganized sector workplace or is self-employed including a home-based worker or a person who works under no clear employment contract. Worker invariably means adult worker (women \& men) with a minimum age of 14 years and maximum age of 65 years $^{3}$.

The labour force for a country consist of persons who are either working or looking for work, it comprises the employed plus the unemployed. The labour participation rate is the number of people in the labour force divided by the size of the adult civilian excluding institutional population (or by the population of working age that is not institutionalized). The non-labour force, includes those who are not looking for work, those who are institutionalized such as in prisons or psychiatric wards, retired personnel, stay-at home spouses, children and students. According to ILO the unemployed people are those of the working age without a paid job, who are available to work and who are actively looking for or wanting for job. The unemployment level is defined as the labour force minus the number of people currently employed. The unemployment rate is defined as the level of unemployment

[^0]divided by the labour force. The employment rate is defined as the number of people currently employed divided by the adult population (or by the population of working age). In these statistics, self-employed people are counted as employed. Economically active population comprises people in employment together with unemployed person while economically inactive population comprises people who are neither in employment nor unemployment. This group includes all people under minimum aged limit together with who are looking after home, retired and also who are not seeking work.

The ILO defines the employed comprise of all persons above a specific age who during a specified brief period were in the following categories: (a) paid employment: (1) at work - persons who during the reference period performed some work for wage or salary in cash or kind and (2) with a job but not at work - persons who having already worked in their present job were temporarily not at work during the reference period and had a formal attachment to their job.

The women work participation, based on the official definition of work participation, may be broadly divided into household work and economic activities. Under household activities, time spent on household activities, such as cooking, washing, looking after children etc. and under economic activities, depending on the nature of their economic activities, on their own farms or on others farms and time spent on such activities in rural area; Whereas in urban area, time spent in household activities, employment in formal and informal sector, self-employed etc. are accounted.

Work in India is predominantly conducted outside of paid formal contracts. There is a huge formal sector in urban areas, but both rural and urban India also have large numbers of people doing farming, trading and other work in informal enterprises. Instead a range of remuneration arrangements for farmers, traders, other proprietors and their families, non-family helpers, and piece-rate workers cause low employment participation rates. Among women there was a long-term downward trend in the labour-force participation rates from 1901-1971 (Sharma, 19854; citing Mies, 1980; $6^{5}$ ). This long- term trend reflected the growth of the distinct role of the housewife over the period 1901-1971 and was unfortunately associated with a rising male sex ratio of the population as a whole.

The ministry of labor and employment has published few facts regarding growth trends in women employment. Women form an integral part of the Indian workforce.

[^1]According to the information provided by the office of Registrar General \& Census Commissioner of India, As per Census 2011, the total number of female workers in India is 149.8 million and female workers in rural and urban areas are 121.8 and 28.0 million respectively. Out of total 149.8 million female workers, 35.9 million females are working as cultivators and another 61.5 million are agricultural laborers. Of the remaining female's workers, 8.5 million are in household Industry and 43.7 million are classified as other workers ${ }^{6}$.

Workforce participation rate of women and men is a good indicator of economic development of the country. During 2005-06, WPR of women was about $31 \%$ in rural areas and $14 \%$ in urban areas according to the usual status. For males, the WPR was about $56 \%$ both in rural areas and in urban areas. However, there was a marked improvement by about 6 percentage points for rural WPR of women as compared to 2004-05 in India.

The workforce participation rate of females during 2009-10 in rural sector was $26.1 \%$ (NSS $64^{\text {th }}$ Round) while that for males was $54.7 \%$. In urban sector, it was $13.8 \%$ for females and $54.3 \%$ for males. Among the States and Union Territories, workforce participation rate of females in the rural sector was the highest in Himachal Pradesh at $46.8 \%$ and in the urban sector it was highest in Mizoram at $28.8 \%{ }^{7}{ }^{7}$

An ILO project, "Key Indicators of Labour Market" (KILM) was developed and being in use from 1980 onwards in collection of employment data annually. The KILM data include three indicators aimed at quantifying-youth unemployment, long-term unemployed and employed by educational attainment. The major educational attainment levels used are primary, secondary and tertiary education. Further, the status in employment indicators is distinguished between (a) wage and salaried workers (b) self-employed worker or employees (c) contributing family workers or unpaid family workers.

These data help for comparison between and within countries and regions concerning women's access to paid work and schooling, insights into quality of work carried out by women compared to men. The data reveals that, in determining women's economic activities; cultural and social norms, traditions and government policies play a greater role. As such, the labour force participation rate, an indicator of overall level of labour market activity between sexes shows that unlike men's labour participation rates, which are high in all countries, there

[^2]is great cross-country variation in women's rates. Countries having lowest participation rates are Latin America, Caribbean, the Middle East and North Africa. Scandinavia have the highest women participation rates reflecting high levels of education, as well as government policies and subsidies for child care which reduces discrimination ${ }^{8}$.

The female education, general labour market conditions, cultural attitudes, traditional practices etc. affects women labour participation rate ${ }^{9}$. There is evidence that women work participation and relative wages determine fertility and that highly educated women postpone fertility and have a higher market attachment. Furthermore, cultural factors related to the gender role model prevailing in a family are of central importance in determining women's labour force participation ${ }^{10}$. (Bratti, 2002). In service sector, the number of women working in offices and commerce in developing countries are increasing in recent years. There is however, a trend for these occupations especially teachers, secretaries, sales and customer service occupations are becoming more feminized. Further, community, social and health services in many countries show high concentration of women. But even in these services higher ranked posts are held by men, for instance, in health service women are usually nurses etc. Thus, female employment remained concentrated in a narrow range of occupations ${ }^{11}$. Rapid industrialization, while expanding employment opportunities, has not fully benefited working women because women are concentrated in traditionally female industries such as textiles, clothing, agro based industries and low skill jobs, keep their wages low and hinders their upward mobility.

In rural areas, throughout the third world countries, women are typically farmers. In Sub-Saharan Africa, subsistence farming is essentially a women activity; they are primary labourers where they contribute two thirds or more of all hours of work. Food production, processing and marketing are essentially a female responsibility. A more complex picture emerges from Asian countries. In India, while overall female participation is falling due to surplus labour but more women are joining the agricultural wage labour due to growing landlessness. In Bangladesh, women participation in agriculture is increasingly seen and poverty drove them to other hard works like road construction. In South-East Asian Countries,

[^3]women are actively engaged in rice and other crop cultivation. In Malaysia and Sri Lanka, women constitute more than half of the labour force in tea, rubber and coconut plantations and production. But they received lower pay than the male counterparts for the same task. Besides, they face extra burden because of inadequate child care facilities and the long distance between home and work place. Urban informal sector employment, in almost all Asian and African countries, the share of women is greater than men where employment is casual and irregular with low income ${ }^{12}$. The segregation by sex in the labour market is detrimental to women; having negative effect on how men view women and how women view themselves. The persistence of gender stereotypes also has negative effects on education and training and thus causes gender based inequalities. Occupational segregation by sex occurs everywhere, causing labour rigidity and economic inefficiency, wasting human resources, preventing change, disadvantaging women and perpetuating gender inequalities. The complexity in the relationship between women-men differential, therefore, needs to be explored ${ }^{13}$.

Besides, there are evidences of gender wage differential within categories of occupations. The wage differential is caused by demand discrimination within occupations, traditional attitudes etc. (Francis, 1994) ${ }^{14}$. The greater gender wage gap is evident in the selfemployed sector, which may reflect liquidity constraints that are more difficult for selfemployed women to overcome relative to self-employed men (Miller, 2004) ${ }^{15}$. The large gender pay gap in self-employment suggests that the aggregate gender wage differential will not be eliminated solely through wage determination for wage and salary earners. Nevertheless, the wage gap between male and female workers has narrowed in developed countries like the US and the UK over the past twenty five years. At the same time, employment rates for men and women have converged (Michal Myck and Gillian Paul, 2001) ${ }^{16}$. Increasing women's wages reduces fertility by raising the cost of children relatively more than household income and in turn, lower fertility raises the level of capital per worker, which directly influences the nutritional status of the household. Further, it narrows the gender inequalities. Thus, it is important to raise the women's wages.

[^4]Among many socio-economic factors, female education is associated with entry by women into the formal labour market, especially into job in modern sector. Education is mainly considered in relation to employment status which further influences their working life and development of individuals (Bullock, 1994) ${ }^{17}$. Education system between its potential to promote society and economy and its role as defender of prevailing norms and values extends to the labour market; it helps in supplying the skills that the market identifies as necessary, and it shapes the market to make it more efficient and more egalitarian. Education and work are strongly (positively) correlated. This correlation has important implications for models of fiscal policy and economic growth. It also has important implications for the estimation of labour supply and the rate of return to education.

Despite the impressive gains that women have made towards gender equality through spread of education that enhance employment opportunities and emerging socioeconomic developments, privileges of legal and political rights, there exist significant inequalities, which must be addressed if one is to speak of socio-economic justice. One of the neglected dimension is "access and effective control over resources and their uses- public as well as private; inequality in command over property is the single most important form of persisting economic inequality between women and men", in the words of Bina Agarwal $(2000)^{18}$.

Land is the principal asset in rural areas; thus, access to credit, extension services, technologies, even co-operative organizations and trainings are generally linked to land titles. Although women performed bulk of agricultural works, as in much of the developing countries, they seldom have full title. ILO holds the view that the process of change in rural areas especially population pressure and modern forms of land exploitation were weakening women access to the factors of production resulting in declining food security in the household level.

Women's land rights are limited, conditional and insecure. Local custom and women's own lack of information are barriers to change this situation. For enhancing income through employment and improving productivity and for making up seasonal shortfalls, availability of credit is essential. But in most developing countries women farmers have limited access to credit for lack of assets and holdings, required to guarantee loans. Women's potential productivity and ability to repay loans are frequently under estimated though it shows a good record of repayment. The assistance provided by agricultural extension services, foreign aid

[^5]and NGO's may also be unavailable to them because men are often seen as the farmers and household heads. Ruth Dixon argues thus, the reluctance to 'see' women farmers comes not from the invisibility but from a reluctance to share scarce resources with them..... including women in labour force satisfies in proportion to the amount of work they actually do is an essential first step in making female farmers visible to planners and policy makers.

Scholars working on the status of women in India and elsewhere opine that many changes have occurred in the traditional conception of role and status of women through new opportunities for education and employment, emergence of new socio-economic pattern, and privileges of equal legal and political rights, yet, women in the rural areas lagged behind their counterparts in urban areas because different opportunities were not made available to them. Agro economists and rural-sociologists in India conducted a number of studies in different parts of the country on the impact of the introduction of new technology on women labour force participation and its consequences on their status gives contradicting results that one set of studies depicts the positive role while others indicates that it has reduced the status of women to utter dependency. These two perspectives became very clear if we look at the results of different studies, which have shown varying results on the status of women (Savita Thakur Joshi, 1999 ${ }^{19}$.

The position of women in the labour market merits particular attention, as higher participation rate of women will contribute in a decisive manner to the economic growth. In practical terms the States are expected to tackle "Gender Gap", take appropriate policy action to allow women and men to reconcile work and family life and facilitate integration of women in the labour market. In moving towards gender equality, new strategy on employment policy is needed, reinforcing equal opportunities within the economy. To assess equal opportunities, it needs to adopt an innovative methodology, incorporating indicators of the relative opportunities of men and women that include differences in unemployment, wages and the sharing of unpaid work and also indicators of women's absolute situations in the labour market. To assess equal opportunities at national situations may take two different approaches, first on an equal division of paid and unpaid work and secondly, on the position of women in the labour market. Equal opportunity policy should therefore, focus on the distribution of paid work and unpaid work with the ultimate objective of eliminating differences.

[^6]Women work participation rate and her being economically independent is thus very vital for determining the economic status of women. There are two schools of thoughts; one maintains that the participation of women in labour force helps raise status. The other school of thought contends that mere participation does not help. Ownership of land and other means of production provide them social security and self esteem. Similarly, access to credit in their own right as well as membership in co-operative organizations gives them equal opportunities to participate. On the other hand, if they are denied these rights and there are wage differences in the labour market, then they occupy a low status. In assessing the economic status of women, information on the following economic indicators may draw impartial lights viz; contribution of women to household works, including water, fuel collection and livestock rearing. Labour force participation by sex, wage differentials, access to credit in their own rights, land and property ownership by sex, access to extension services by sex; and membership in co-operative organization by sex.

## 1.2: STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

To determine the status of women on the basis of economic parameters is difficult, because of the inherent problems in delineating work as economic activity from the household activities, especially for those engaged in subsistence agriculture. Women since time immemorial have formed an organic component of working force of the country besides doing normal domestic work as a housewife. However, the role of women as an active workerproducer of goods and services has not been duly recognized by the male dominated society. Women have been relegated to a secondary position, with certain exceptions in the sense of power and privilege. Even in advanced societies where women are sole bread earners still live in a state of subjugation. Though the constitution of India provides for equal rights and privileges for men and women, it is far from reality. The persistent efforts by the planners, social welfare agencies and women's own organizations have failed to provide them their rightful place in the society. Even in the $21^{\text {st }}$ century, the condition of women folk, particularly in the rural community, is deplorable. The basic reason for such neglect is dearth of specific data on women's involvement in various economic activities. There is no attempt to quantify the economic contribution by women to their families and to their community. Also majority of the national programs have focused on the problems, needs and interests of men.

Another drawback of measurement in many countries is in gathering accurate employment data by status in employment and by sex. Because of biased reporting or
misclassification, substantial number of women may have been reported as unpaid family workers though they work on an equal footing with their partners in the family enterprise or farm. Women employment tends to be under-estimated, especially when women are engaged in subsistence agriculture or manufacturing, because their activities are often considered as an extension of their domestic responsibilities. Women generally earn a far lower wage than men doing the same work. In no state do women and men earn equal wages in agriculture. This wage differential is detrimental for individual women in general and women headed households in particular. With economic development, there has been significant increase of women's participation in many forms of economic activity. But there is no final answer, because change continues all the time and not all changes are positive. The power of the status quo is great, and there are parts of the world and sectors of work where little progress can be seen in the lives of working women.

The range of activities constituting what is understood as work affects the scope of all topics in labour statistics. Employment, unemployment and income statistics are concerned only with persons carrying out or seeking to carry out "work". But to be useful in making gender distinctions, "work" needs to cover all the activities carried out to produce the goods and services in society, regardless of whether they are remunerated, declared to the tax authorities, carry out intermittently, casually, simultaneously or seasonally, etc,; and regardless of whether the goods or services produced are intended for sale, for barter or for own household consumption. At present however, the definition of "work" is limited to "economic" activities according to a country's System of National Accounts (SNA).
Most work excluded from the scope of "economic activities is done by women (UNDP, $1995)^{20}$, and this is an important cause of the underestimation of women's participation in production and of their contribution to the well-being of society.

Women work participation and her being economically independent is very vital for determining the economic status of women. In Nagaland, the economic status of women has improved through the spread of education, even entering into the occupations which were earlier considered as male domains. Yet, male still dominates the higher positions in service sector and women are underrepresented in all categories except for agriculture. Occupational differences reflect the presence of barriers faced by women attempting to enter maledominated occupations. Moreover, cultural factors related to the gender role model prevailing in a family are of central importance in determining women's labour force participation. Thus, gender disparities in work participation are evident in Nagaland, especially in informal sector,

[^7]such as agricultural activities where women dominates. Yet no attempt has been made to quantify the gender disparities and also the economic contribution made by women to their families and community is not duly recognized. Further, no government policies have been implemented so far to safeguard the economic status of women. The complexity in the relationship between women-men differential, therefore, needs to be explored systematically.

## 1.3: AREA OF STUDY

Nagaland covers an area of 16597 square kilometer. According to 2011 census, the State has a population of 1980602 comprised of $51.78 \% \%$ male and $48.21 \%$ female. The average density of population is 119 per square kilometer and sex ratio of the state is 931 . The literacy rate of the state is $80.11 \%$ which is higher than national average. The literacy rate by sex shows $83.30 \%$ for male and $76.69 \%$ for female. The State has similar socio-economic conditions inhabited by population spread over eleven districts.

According to 2011 census, the total number of workers was 974122 , and male and female work participation rates were $53.4 \%$ and $44.7 \%$ respectively. By area concern, in rural WPR for male and female was $55.7 \%$ and $52.2 \%$ respectively. Urban area WPR was $47.6 \%$ and $25.8 \%$ for male and female showing an increase in WPRs in total as well as by gender during the last decade. In total, District wise WPR was highest in Peren with $64 \%$ while the lowest was Dimapur with $40 \%$ in 2011. In rural area, highest and lowest WPR was Longleng and Dimapur with $64.6 \%$ and $42.5 \%$ respectively, and for urban Peren ranks highest with $66 \%$ and lowest was Kiphire with only $28 \%$.

Mokokchung and Tuensang have been taken as representative districts of Nagaland in the study. Where Mokokchung district is considered as relatively more developed and Tuensang district as relatively less developed in the State. The respective urban centers Mokokchung town and Tuensang town, and three villages each from both the districts namely, Ungma village, Mokokchung village and Khensa from Mokokchung and Tuensang village, Chare village and Kuthur village from Tuensang were included in the sample survey.

## 1.4: PERIOD OF STUDY

Work participation have covered the period 2001-2011, Gender disparities and literacy rate was taken for 1991, 2001 and2011. At micro level a cross section data was taken based on sample survey conducted during 2011-12.

## 1.5: OBJECTIVES

The present study focuses on the assessment of economic status and contributions of women on the basis of their work participation in both household and economic activities. In addition, explore the nature and causes of complexity in the relationship between women-men differential systematically and bring out policy suggestions. The objectives of the study are summarized as follows:

1. To evaluate the extent of women's work participation in the various economic sectors and the nature of work.
2. To analyze the impact of education on employment and income of women.
3. To assess the gender differentials in income distributions, income and expenditures genderwise, head of households and time budgeting.
4. To examine the extent of ownership of resources by women and its relation to income.
5. To examine the gender differentials in access to financial resources, trainings and economic organizations.
6. To assess the status of working women on the basis of opportunities, their perception on work and gender relations at household level.

## 1.6: HYPOTHESES

1. Increase in the level of education among females leads to increase their employment and income.
2. Female workers in informal sector are concentrated largely at the lower level of income distribution, while in formal sector it is in middle level of income.
3. When women earn higher income their access to resources are increased.

## 1.7: SCOPE OF STUDY

The quantification of the contribution of women in productive work and analysis of related issues reveals the role of women in economic growth and the extent of gender inequalities. So far no attempt has been made to have a systematic study on women's work participation in the state. The findings of this study will thus, help the policy makers while formulating developmental policy options that is inclusive of all the sections of population so as to ensure equal opportunities and an egalitarian society.

## 1.8: METHODOLOGY

### 1.8.1: Data Base:

## Primary and Secondary data:

The study is based on data collected from both secondary and primary sources. The secondary data are collected from a variety of authentic government official records, statistical handbooks, census reports and other sources available in published and unpublished forms.

The primary data were collected through simple random sample survey, covering both economic and household activities in the sample rural and urban areas. The sample data are collected by using questionnaire and interview methods.

### 1.8.2: Sample Design:

The present study covers two districts, i.e. Mokokchung and Tuensang. The respective district headquarters are taken as two urban centers; from each, 100 households were selected at rondom, giving a total of 200 households. In rural area three villages from each district ( 6 villages in total) were covered by the survey during 2011-2012. From Mokokchung rural area 150 households and from Tuensang rural area 153 households were selected. The survey includes a total of 503 households and a population of 2261. Thus the units may fairly represent the universe. The details of the sample units are presented in chapter three.

### 1.8.3: Data Analysis:

The collected data are analyzed at the households and individual levels using the following statistical tools, such as,
(i) Regression: Regression analysis is a mathematical measure of the average relationship between two or more variables in terms of the original units of the data. In regression there are two variables. The variable whose value is influenced or is to be predicted is called dependent variable and the variable which influences the values or is used for prediction is called independent variable. Regression equation of y on x is as $Y=a+b y$

Where ' $a$ ' is the intercept, $y$ is the dependent variables, $x$ is the independent variables and $b$ is the regression coefficient.

$$
b_{y x}=\frac{N \sum Y X-\left(\sum Y\right)\left(\sum X\right)}{N \sum X^{2}-\left(\sum X\right)^{2}}
$$

(ii) Lorenz Curve: Income inequalities for male and female have been examined with the help of Lorenz Curve. The Lorenz Curve shows the percentage of income received by X
percent of population, X varying from 0 to 100 . The degree to which a line Lorenz Curve deviates from the line of equal distribution is a measure of inequality of distributions of income.
(iii) Gini Coefficient (GC): Gini Coefficient is used to attach some absolute measures to the degree of inequality. Gini Coefficient $=\frac{\text { Area between Lorenz Curve and Diagonal }}{\text { Total area under Diagonal }}$

It may be computed mathematically using Rao's definition as follows:

$$
G=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(F_{i} Q_{i+1}-F_{i+1} Q_{i}\right)
$$

## 1.9: CHAPTERISATION

The contents of the chapters are as follows:
Chapter I : Introduction
Chapter II : Review of Literature
Chapter III : Gender Profile of Nagaland
Chapter IV : Work Participation and Income
Chapter V : Status of Women and Empowerment
Chapter VI : Findings and conclusion

## Chapter II

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The socio economic status of women, their work participation rate and related problems have been a major concern around the world, which has drawn the attention of a number of researchers. Literature relating to this is thus fairly extensive which serve as a base for further research. The following literatures reflect upon such earlier studies that would help to have proper perspectives of the problem under investigation.

## 2.1: CONCEPT:

ILO (1999) an important in the ILO vision is the articulation of its goal, the promotion of "opportunities for women and men to obtain decent and productive work, in condition of freedom, equity, security and human dignity". The reach of this objective is indeed momentously large, it includes all workers, wherever and whatever sector they work, not just workers in the organized sector, not only wage workers, but also unregulated wage workers, the self-employed and the home workers. ILO aims to respond to the terrible fact that "the world is full of overworked and unemployed people". ${ }^{21}$

Jaumotte (2003) examines the determinants of female labour force participation in OECD countries. The econometric analysis uses a panel data set covering 17 OECD countries over the period 1985-1999, and distinguishes between part-time and full-time female participation rates. It shows a positive impact on female participation of a more neutral tax treatment, stronger tax incentive to share market work between spouses, child care subsidies, and paid maternity and paternal leaves. Unlike child care subsidies, child benefits reduce female participation due to an income effect and their lump-sum character. Female education, the general labour market conditions, and cultural attitudes positively affects women labour participation rate ${ }^{22}$.

In spite of the developmental attempts made to elevate the status of women; they continue to get lower wages than men especially in unorganized sector. Banerjee (1985) ${ }^{23}$, further points out that women are consistently kept in the jobs where the wage rates and

[^8]working conditions are poorer and employment are less secure. Singh (1988) ${ }^{24}$ found that wages of women are uniformly lower than those of men even within the low paid jobs. In fact, they are outside the reach of most laws that seek to protect the security and working conditions of labour.

Francis (1994) investigated the relationship between the labour market behavior of their families and their attitudes index is constructed by employing individuals' responses to statements related to the role of women and examined to determine whether it is correlated with individual characteristics. She examined whether these attitudes influence human capital attainment and whether they are weakly exogenous to educational attainment. It also focuses on the impact of these traditional attitudes upon labour supply and the return to education. The results are dramatic. It is found that females' attitudes towards working women are developed in their youth and result in substantial reductions in their human capital investment, labour supply and rates of return to education. Furthermore, it is found that these attitudes are determined outside the educational process (Vella, 1994). ${ }^{25}$

Bardhan (1984) ${ }^{26}$; Jain (1985) ${ }^{27}$; Sen (1985) ${ }^{28}$; United Nations (1987) ${ }^{29}$; also found that inequality in land distribution was an important factor underlying regional variation in women employment.

In "Women in the labour market: results from the spring 2001 LFS", Twomey (2001) describes the participation of women in the labour market using results mainly from the spring 2001, Labour Force Survey in U.K; focus was on key indicators such as employment rates, ILO unemployment rates and economic inactivity. The survey result shows that, over the past decade there has been continuous improvement in the position of women in the UK labour market, both in terms of their level of participation in paid work and in the range of occupations available to them. The employment rate for women of working age was 69.3 percent-the highest rate on record but men continue to have higher employment rates (79.3 percent) than women. The presence and age of a dependent child had a marked effect on the

[^9]employment rates of women and women were more likely to work part-time than men. Female employment remained concentrated in a narrow range of occupations and further, the comparative wage differentials seem to be steadily narrowing. ${ }^{30}$

The earliest official discourse of women's work is to be found in the first population census of 1870-71. At the request of the Registrar-General in England, Farr (who was responsible for the British Census of 1861 and who had a general classification of occupations) was asked to modify his classification for use in the 1871 British Census. India also adopted Farr's classification in principle. Accordingly, there were six broad classes and each class had certain orders. Farr's original classification had the following classes: professional; domestic; commercial; agricultural; industrial indefinite and non-productive. The domestic class had two orders- occupation in the family, and occupation in personal service. The first order included all women who had no occupation producing wages or fees (that is, the wives and daughters of the mass of the population).This class was included by Farr from the idea that every person should be represented as having an occupation.

The statistical committee which examined the modifications of Farr's classification in the context of India found this an anomaly. So important is this fact that Dr Farr heads his last 'non-productive,' showing that other classes are supposed to be reproductive. But women and children in the family are consumers, not producers. Their comfort and support is largely the object for which men emerge in reproduction, that is, take an occupation. To enter the wives and daughters on par with the workers of the household is to confuse the object with the means employed in attaining it (Census Report of India, 1871).

These views were strongly felt that in no case was this order adopted in practice. In Bombay province it was dropped altogether. In the North-West and Central Provinces, all the children were returned under the occupation of the head of the household, grossly inflating figures in some of the occupations. In Madras Presidency (excluding Madras town), women were not included at all in the occupation tables.

## The 1881 Census Report noted the following on female occupations.

The occupation of females is a difficult subject to deal with. In every country females do much hard and necessary work which is not among the trades and is not strictly productive. A yet more numerous class of females do a certain, if not continuous, share in the total productive work, but a share which is combined with productive work, and this in such varying

[^10]degree that it would be impossible to draw any line which should say, for example, this is primarily an 'agricultural laborer' and that is primarily 'a wife'. In India all women work; some merely at household drudgery but in the most numerous and important of all classes, 'the agricultural,' female laborers are an important part. But with the custom of early marriage, the mass of females of working age are primarily wives and whether they work in the fields or not, they have certainly to work at home. Some of these have been returned as 'agricultural'. Some as 'wives, of specified occupations' and some merely a 'wives, which is regarded as an occupation' and some as unemployed' (India Census Report of 1881).

The 1891 census omitted 'wives' from occupations. Also, instead of enumerating workers, it found out the 'means of subsistence' of the entire population, that is, either as workers or as dependents. Until 1831, the census takers in Britain had recorded only family occupation. The individual occupations of adult male and female domestics were recorded along with the family occupation. From 1841, individual occupations alone were recorded for the entire population. In 1851, the census differentiated the work of the family members-wives and adult children in farming and small businesses assisting their husbands and fathers were recorded in their men folk's occupational category. In 1871 census, these women were placed in the domestic class and were distinguished from other wives and daughters. Farr's view that domestic work was important to the nation, and that such labour should be placed among the productive classes along with paid work, met with opposition from the Scottish Census officials. At the 1871 Scottish Census, they eliminated the domestic class and redistributed its members to show how many were dependent on each occupation (Deacon, 1985). ${ }^{31}$ All these were attempts to define women, who did not have paid jobs, as dependents.

In India, too, the statistical committee at the 1871 Census explicitly noted that women and children in the family were only 'consumers' and not 'producers'. It built into its arguments the patriarchal ideology that men take up an occupation for the comfort and support of women and children in the family.

Women work participation and their occupational pattern is important towards understanding the role of women in the growth of an economy and the socio-economic functioning of a state. The State, markets and households are the three significant institutions of any modern economy. It is a widely held view that in modern economies, the state and markets are viewed as institutions that compete for 'economic space'.

[^11]The socio economic status of women, their work participation rate and related problems have been a major concern around the world, which has drawn the attention of a number of researchers. Literature relating to this is thus fairly extensive which serve as a base for further research. The following literatures reflect upon such earlier studies that would help to have proper perspectives of the problem under investigation. ${ }^{32}$

## 2.2: EDUCATION AND WORK PARTICIPATION

Literature review on labor force participation and supply of labor both at national and international level is discussed in this section. A number of studies can be observed in the literature relating to the economic theory of the household. Becker $(1965)^{33}$ and Gronau (1977) ${ }^{34}$ is the beginner of the field and explained the household behavior regarding time allocation. However, a vast variety of literature based on empirical studies especially for developed nations is available. Most of them are survey based and these surveys are carried by Macurdy, Heckman (1980) ${ }^{35}$ and Heckman and Killingworth (1986) ${ }^{36}$.

Kozel and Alderman $(1990)^{37}$ have analyzed the factors affecting work participation and labor supply decision in the urban areas of Pakistan. He has used OLS regression and Tobit model to estimate the correlates. The study concludes that the women work participation rises with an increase in the expected earnings, wages and level of education.

A study in urban India showed that child mortality rate was as low as $34 / 1000$ with educated mothers, as compared to $82 / 1000$ for uneducated mothers (MHHDC, 2002) ${ }^{38}$.

Malik et al. (1994) ${ }^{39}$ has investigated the factors, which influence female labor force participation in economic activities. He has explored that women's age, education and the number of dependents do not significantly determine market time. Women labor supply is significantly and positively affected by women wage rate and predicted male wage rate.

[^12]Aly and Quisi (1996) ${ }^{40}$ has discussed socio-economic factors that affect Kuwaiti women's labor market participation decision. The study concludes that females' wage rate and education are positively related with labor force participation rate. It has been also found that marital status, the number of children and age is inversely related with labor force participation rate.

Shah et al. (1976) ${ }^{41}$ has studied the effects of some selected demographic and socioeconomic variables on labor force participation in all the provinces of Pakistan. The results show that the labor force participation is negatively related with nuclear family type and childwomen ratio. The study indicates that there is direct relationship between labor force participation and marital status. He also has found that dependency ratio and literacy ratio have positive influence on labor force participation.

Naqvi and Shahnaz (2002) ${ }^{42}$ have examined the effects of various demographic, socioeconomic and human capital related factors on women participation in economic activities. They have used cross-sectional data from integrated household survey (PIHS) (1998-99) for the age group of 15-49 years. The probit and multinomial logit model has been used to estimate the parameters. The probit estimates indicate that marital status, primary education, number of children and female head of households are inversely related with women's participation in economic activities. Kingdom and Unni (2001) ${ }^{43}$ in the study "Education and women's Labour in Market Outcomes in India" showed that higher the investment in elementary schooling and women's education, higher the return of economic growth.

Dhongada et al (1985) in a study of Maharashtra observed that the participation of women in the farm work in different size of land holdings and cropping patterns showed that in jowar and cotton regions the participation in the productive activities increased with an increase in the size of holdings. However, in the sugarcane region, participation of women in work on other farms, showed a declining trend with the increase in the size of holdings. The study indicated that the labour participation of women of the family in the farm activities is related to economic development of that area and of the farmers. Their participation was

[^13]relatively low in families with large holdings and which were financially better off. ${ }^{44}$ Padmini 196045; Sinha 1978 ${ }^{46}$; Mies 198047; Sardamoni and Mencher 1982 ${ }^{48}$; United Nations $1987^{49}$; Kaur and Punia $1988^{50}$; Diwan 1995 ${ }^{51}$; Mohanty $1995^{52}$ also supported this contention that it is the low family income which forces women to participate in the labour force and with the increase in family income they tend to withdraw. Dutta and Sharma (1985) ${ }^{53}$ in a study of Bihar found that the percentage of female labour input is significantly higher as peasant farmers, most of which belong to the small and medium size classes. They also observed that women workers generally allocated works such as transplanting of paddy, weeding, harvesting and so on.

Gosh (1985) ${ }^{54}$ in his study of Bengal found that although the pattern of women employment in the rural areas was very much related to land or income and cost hierarchy, but it has undergone significant changes in the recent period. Though women of upper caste households owning smaller area of land were found to be engaged in wage paid activities and field agriculture outside their home, yet there were a majority of women from among the scheduled caste households in the village who were engaged in multiple activities.

In addition to caste cropping pattern, land holdings, income of the household, social and cultural traditions also play an important role in affecting women's work participation (Saxena 1969 ${ }^{55}$ : Sawant and Dewan 197956; Singh 1980 ${ }^{57}$; Saikia 1981 ${ }^{58}$ ). In a study

[^14]conducted in the hill region of UP by Singh it was observed that not only the women actively participate in various agricultural activities, but without their contribution nothing could be done. Further working in the field is treated as customary and there is no inhibition resulting into greater work participation on their part, irrespective of caste and income of the household. On the other hand, in the rest of the states, the contribution of female worker is negligible in farming due to social customs discouraging women employment.

Singh and Bhatti (1985) in their study, in "Women in Hill Agriculture: A case study of Himachal Pradesh" made a survey on the role of women in the agricultural economy of the state. The study revealed that among the farm workers the proportion of women was higher than men. Average working hours was 4.2 hours of work per day on marginal farms, 4.1 hours on small farms and 3.6 hours on medium size farms. In crop production activities, women's work account for 66 percent on marginal farms, 55 percent on small farms and 57 percent on medium farms. Their study also revealed that with changes in production technologies, the work load of women in all sizes of farms has increased ${ }^{59}$. Guleria and Agnihotri (1985) studied the contribution of women workers in the farm sector; this study was done to understand the female labour participation in various farms and household incomes in Himachal Pradesh. Their findings on women working force under marginal, small, medium and large farm stood at $35 \%, 44 \%, 44 \%$ and $46 \%$ respectively. Women working force was found to be higher than the men workers. However, the monetary contribution of women in the farm income was found to be smaller than that of the men because of low farm wages for female workers. ${ }^{60}$

Boserup (1970) in her pioneering work, "Role of Women in Economic Development" found out that rural women in the third world have been traditionally skilled workers in agriculture and were not confined to home making functions alone, in certain parts of the African and Asian continents rural women's roles in agriculture have been more extensive than men. ${ }^{61}$

Eckstein and Kenneth (1989) presents and estimates a dynamic model of married women's labour-force participations and fertility in which the effect of work experience on wages is explicitly taken into account. Because current participation alters future potential

[^15]earnings, the investment return to work will be an important factor in the current work decision in any forward-looking behavior model. The model is estimated using the National Longitudinal Surveys mature women's cohort. The authors use the estimates of their model to predict changes in the lifecycle patterns of employment due to changes in schooling, fertility, husbands' income and the magnitude of the experience effect on wages. They found that, although work experience increases the disutility of further work, the effect is overwhelmed by the positive effect of experience on wages, leading to persistence in employment patterns of these women. In addition, they find that an increase in young children and in husband's income substantially reduces participation, while increased schooling has a powerful positive impact on participation. ${ }^{62}$

Adsera (2004) points out that during the last two decades fertility rates have decreased and have become positively correlated with female participation rates across OECD countries. Using a panel of 23 OECD nations to study how different labour market arrangements shaped these trends, she found that high unemployment and unstable contracts, common in Southern Europe, depress fertility, particularly younger women. To increase lifetime income though early skill-acquisition minimizes unemployment risk, young women postpone child bearing. Further, both a large share of public employment, by providing employment stability and generous maternity benefits linked to previous employment, such as those in Scandinavia, boost fertility of the 25-29 and 30-34 year old women. ${ }^{63}$

Bratti (2002) uses data from the 1993 Survey of Household Income and Wealth of the Bank of Italy in order to estimate a reduced form purist model of female marital fertility and labour force participation. In particular, it focuses attention on the effect of formal education on both fertility and labour force participation behavior. The estimates show a U-shaped pattern of fertility by education and that highly educated women postpone fertility and have a higher market attachment. Furthermore, cultural factors related to the gender role model prevailing in a family are of central importance in determining women's labour force participation. ${ }^{64}$

Dupuy and Kranz (2007) in "International Differences in the Family Gap in Pay: The Role of Labour Market Institutions" using micro data for 35 countries over the period 1985-

[^16]1994-2002 found that labour market institutions traditionally associated to more compressed wage structures were associated to a higher family gap. Their results indicate that these policies reduce the price effect of having children but aggravate the human capital loss due to motherhood. They also found evidence that policies that help women continue in the same job after childbirth decrease the family gap. Among all countries they study, mothers in Southern Europe suffer the biggest family gap and their analysis indicates that this is due to the bad combination of labour market policies in these countries. The results are robust to specification changes and indicate the main reason mothers lag behind other women in terms of earnings is the loss of accumulated job market experience caused by career breaks around childbirth. ${ }^{65}$

Myck and Paul (2001) argue that over the last two decades the wage gap remains between seemingly identical male and female workers. Analysis of the role of employment experience in explaining this gender wage gap have been limited by the rarity of appropriate data sources containing this information. They have presented a series of twenty cross sections of the British Family Expenditure Survey to examine the changing impact of employment experience on the wage differential across four cohorts of male and female workers. By using grouped data formed into a pseudo panel and by estimating the wage regressions in first differences rather than levels, the potential for estimation bias arising from unobserved heterogeneity and the endogeneity of experience reduced. The results show that accounting for differences in experience levels, either as a simple total of years of employment or broken down into full-time and part-time employment, explains little of the gender wage gap. Successive generations of female workers are found to have fared considerably better than previous cohorts in terms of their wage position relative to men. ${ }^{66}$

Eastough and Miller (2004) present an analysis of the gender wage gap in the highly regulated Australian labour market. It compares wage outcomes in the wage and salary sector with those for the self-employed within the comparisons with the United States are provided. The large gender pay gap in self- employment suggests that the aggregate gender wage differential will not be eliminated solely through wage determination for wage and salary earners. The greater gender wage gap in the self-employed sector may reflect liquidity constraints that are more difficult for self-employed women to overcome relative self-

[^17]employed men. The comparisons with the United States suggest that women will experience deterioration in relative earnings as the Australian labour market is deregulated. ${ }^{67}$

Azid et al. (2001) ${ }^{68}$ have studied the factors influencing female participation in cottage industry of Pakistan. The main objective of the study is to analyze the economic behavior of the female workers involved in the business of embroidery. The study has concluded that number of the children, age of the females, education, poverty status have a positive and significant impact on female labor force participation.

Mincer (1962) ${ }^{69}$ has discussed the relationship between working hours and female labor force participation overtime. He has explored that wife's demand for leisure is not influenced by income of the family. The probability of labor force participation and lifetime wealth measures are inversely related. The study concludes that number of children significantly influences the female labor force participation decision.

Saini, (1983) studied that women managed alone the household tasks like work in the kitchen, care of the house, care of children, religious activities etc. The women from farming families spent much more time in the kitchen than those of non-farming families, who were mainly the labour class women, who were either paid in cash or kind. In addition, the sowing and harvesting period required much more time of the home-makers than the slack period. ${ }^{70}$

Many tasks of the housewives have alternate market prices as every housewife performs work in the economic sense of the term, irrespective of the fact of direct payment. The famous statement of Alfred Marshall about housemaid and the housewife is pertinent here. Most part of a woman's work at home is economic in nature. The real income is generated in the household by several tasks, but this could not find its way into the national income estimates due to its operational limitations. ${ }^{71}$

Youseff and Hetler, (1984) and Kumari, (1989). Studies conducted on women headed households are not only few but also lack clarity. In case a woman is a widow and she does not have an adult male son and becomes the head of the household, it is not because of the change

[^18]in values allowing women to become the head, but it is because of the absence of adult male members ${ }^{72}$.

Berger, (1985). It is women who are in the forefront of small business activity worldwide. Interviews suggest that through business they obtain a sense of self-worth and independence, which might not otherwise be available to them. When these business women have been organized by voluntary organizations in such countries as India and Philippines, they have developed leadership and other skills of great value to their families and their communities. In the search for social and economic equity, it may well be these organized business women who will be the impetus for change. ${ }^{73}$

The informal sector has a high labour- absorption capacity and there is the need to increase the level of stimulation of employment opportunities. Informal sector has its challenges especially for women, such as inaccessibility of credit; the women's role in the informal finance sector is significant. Partnerships must be built with the emerging associations of women to create an enabling environment which will make credit available to women at affordable rates, with the private sector assisting government efforts to get credit to women. Better statistics are also needed and, as Omari argues ${ }^{74}$, the informal sector should in any case be taken into account in strategies for rural development.

Women are not only entering the labour force in much greater numbers, they are also remaining in the labour force throughout their child bearing and child rearing years. They are no longer a reserve or secondary labour force. In the past and particularly in developed countries, a "double peak" pattern was prevalent - most women entered the labour force in their twenties, left after a few years to bear and raise children and re-entered the labour force towards the end of their childbearing years. Nowadays, labour force participation rates are high for women in their twenties, rise through their thirties and forties and decline only after age 50 . "Recent age patterns indicate that women are finding ways to combine family responsibilities with market work" (United Nations, 2000a) ${ }^{75}$.

[^19]
## 2.3: EMPOWERMENT AND STATUS

Education and Employment are the two basic tools which can change the economic and social status of females in the near future. Further women empowerment is the utmost requirement for the inclusive growth and development of a country.

Page and Czuba, (1999) ${ }^{76}$, suggest three components of our definition to understanding women empowerment: it is multidimensional, social and a process. It is multidimensional in the sense that it occurs within sociological, psychological, economic and other dimensions, empowerment also occurs at various levels, such as individual, group and community. Empowerment by definition is a social process, since it occurs in relation to others; it is similar to a path journey which develops as we work through it. Other aspects of empowerment may vary according to the specific context and people involved but these remain constant. Another important implication of definition of empowerment is that the individual and community are fundamentally connected.

While there is consensus on the importance of women's empowerment, the literature has yet to come up with a precise definition of the term. Likewise, there is no unique set of indicators that can be used to judge improvements or deteriorations in women's empowerment. Consequently, the concept has been incarnated as being 'fuzzy' or 'elusive' or, at best, illdefined (Kabeer, 1999 ${ }^{77}$; Mason, $1986^{78}$; Dixon, 1978 ${ }^{79}$ ).

Chaudhry and Nosheen (2009) ${ }^{80}$ analyzed the determinants of women empowerment in Southern Punjab of Pakistan. Considering multidimensional nature of women empowerment, authors estimated the cumulative index for women using four indices i.e. personal autonomy, family decision making, domestic economic decisions and political autonomy. The results demonstrated that women empowerment is considerably influenced by education, access to media, socio-cultural norms of the community, job of women and household participation rate. The major emphasis of this study was on the women empowerment in terms of their participation in household economic activities.

At the macro level, among other indicators, women's empowerment or lack of it, is identified with gender inequality in employment, earnings, education, life expectancy, and

[^20]female-male ratio in the population. These indicators, though they fail to capture the full connotation of the term, are frequently mentioned in the literature as the proxy or indirect indicators of women's empowerment (Joshi, 1999) ${ }^{81}$. At the household level, women's empowerment is equated with their involvement in decision-making such as decisions on fertility, children's education and healthcare and marriage, and women's freedom of mobility and access to and control of resources are interpreted as the direct indicators of empowerment (Jejeebhoy, 1998) ${ }^{82}$.

Although improvements in gender inequality in terms of employment, earnings, education and other indirect indicators do not by themselves imply a simultaneous improvement in women's empowerment at the household level, women's participation in paid jobs in particular is viewed as an important determinant of their individual choices (Joekes, $1987^{83}$; Lim, $1990^{84}$ ).

The unitary theories do not give a clear indication on the relationship between women's labour force participation and empowerment, some rival theories of the economics of family do indeed explicitly hypothesise a link between women's workforce participation and their involvement in household decisions and control over resources. These include the endowment and entitlements theory, Sen's (Sen,1981) ${ }^{85}$ entitlements theory emphasises the institutional factors in decision-making and identifies possession, use and exchange of resources as indicators of women's status.

Indeed, the upsurge of qualification level among women from 1970's onwards led to suggestions that once women had acquired levels of 'human capital' (qualification and work experience) equivalent to that of men, they might use the 'qualification lever' in order to gain higher-level positions (Crompton and Sanderson, 1990) ${ }^{86}$.

Cole (1985) in his study "Access to Developmental Finance", in the Pacific came to the conclusion that women in Fiji, Western Samoa and the Solomon Islands could get only four to

[^21]ten percent of the agricultural loan. The study also indicates that women are denied access to information on how to put up loan proposals ${ }^{87}$.

Another way to provide women empowerment is to allocate responsibilities to them that normally belong to men. When women have economic empowerment, it is a way for others to see them as equal members of the society. Through this, they achieve more selfrespect and confident by their contributions to their communities. Simply including women as a part of a community can have sweeping positive effects. In a study conducted by Agarwal, women were given a place in a forest conservation group. Not only did this drive up the efficiency of the group, but the women gained incredible self-esteem and viewed them with more respect ${ }^{88}$. When women have the agency to do what she wants, a higher equality between men and women is established. It is argued that microcredit also offers a way to provide empowerment for women ${ }^{89}$.

Entire Nations, businesses, communities and groups can benefit from the implementation of programs and policies that adopt the notion of empowerment ${ }^{90}$. Empowerment is one of the main procedural concerns when addressing human rights and development. The Human Development and Capabilities Approach, The Millennium Development Goals, and other credible goals point to empowerment and participation as a necessary step if a country is to overcome the obstacles associated with poverty and development ${ }^{91}$.

## 2.4: GENDER DISPARITIES:

Paul, (2006): sorted out two categories of work: work for payment and work for no payment. Due to the fact that many women's works fall under the second category, it fails to recognize the work value of women for a long time. ${ }^{92}$ A major reason for the under valuation

[^22]of women's work is that it does not into National Accounts System, which only considers market oriented productive activities. ${ }^{93}$

UNDP (1999) conducted an intensive study on gender disparity and pointed out that Austrian men spent an average of $70 \%$ of time in paid labour and $30 \%$ in unpaid labour, whereas $70 \%$ women were engaged in unpaid labour and $98 \%$ women in part-time job. In Denmark, about $65 \%$ of men in the labour force worked $30-39$ hours a week, $30 \%$ worked more than the given hours and $5 \%$ work less than that. In case of women, $69 \%$ of women work 30-39 hours, whereas $11 \%$ worked more and $20 \%$ worked less than the mentioned hours. In Italy, married women with children spent 7.5 hours a day in care work at home than men. In Netherlands, women spent twice as much time in unpaid work at home than men. Also, women in Spain spent 7 times as many hours doing domestic work than men. ${ }^{94}$

In the world, Africa has the biggest gender related issues. Seeing gender equality still remains a lofty dream in Africa but women empowerment is still fought for. At the January heads of State Summit, the AU decided to add its weight to the fighting gender inequality cause, declaring 2015 as the "Year of Women's Empowerment and Development towards Africa's Agenda 2063. Though women have made a huge progress in promoting themselves economically, they still face problems applying for jobs, owning land and inheriting property. The leaders need to know that the young women and girls are here and they are not only a statistics. The UN working with other organizations are working hard to improve gender equality and make the lives of the African daughters easier ${ }^{95}$.

Earlier literature has shown a wide variation in female work participation rates (WPR) between census data and NSS data in India. For example, female WPR according to Census data was decline from $28 \%$ in 1961 to $14 \%$ in 1971, which increased to $20 \%$ in 1981 and $22 \%$ in 1991. The figure for males also fluctuated but within a smaller range, between $52 \%$ and $57 \%$ during the corresponding period. The NSS estimates however show little fluctuations during 1972-73 and 1993-94, which vary between $27.5 \%$ to $29 \%$. However, the NSS data shows that the female WPR rate declined to $25.4 \%$ in 1999-00 from $28.3 \%$ in 1993-94. ${ }^{96}$ The census data shows that the WPR of Nagaland in 2001 was $42.6 \%$ in total population, where male work

[^23]participation rate was $46.7 \%$ and female $38.1 \%$. In 2011, it was increased to $49.2 \%$ and male and female work participation rates were $53.4 \%$ and $44.7 \%$ respectively.

Dreze and Sen (1995) maintained that the low gender-ratio which created conditions for discriminations at various levels captured the extent of intra-household gender inequalities ${ }^{97}$.

The MHHDC (2002) estimated that the daily workload of a working class village women in South Asia stretched from 12 to 16 hours women were generally paid lower wages than men and denied of owning land in Bangladesh, women were paid only $71 \%$ of what men earn, while that of Pakistan in rural area was only $59 \%$. In India, the gender gap in work participation range between 41-18\% across the states ${ }^{98}$.

Considerable doubts have been expressed over the accuracy and completeness of the statistics regarding women's work force participation in India as well as in other developing countries. It is now generally agreed that existing techniques of data collection and surveys are not able to capture all the dimensions of women's work, particularly in rural areas and consequent invisibility of women's economic contribution has emerged as a major concern in research on women's work in India (Anker, 1987). ${ }^{99}$

Cultures as the determinant of human behavior pleads for learning the respective male and female roles in the light of belief and value system which states that gender roles are normal, natural, right and proper, Oakley (1974) ${ }^{100}$, a British sociologist and a supporter of the Women's Liberation Movement, comes down strongly on the side of culture as the determinant of gender roles. She pleads that the division of labour by sex is not universal. Human cultures are diverse and endlessly variable. She points out the biasness in Murdock's data and the way Murdock interpreted the data through western and male eyes. Lumbering is done either exclusively by women or shared by both sexes in 14 societies, land clearance in 36, and shared cooking in 38 societies out of 224 societies studied by Murdock (1949) ${ }^{101}$. She cites the Mbuti Pygmies of Congo rain forest and Australian Aborigines of Tasmania not having the sexual division of labour. Similarly, in present day societies women form an important part of many armed forces, particularly those of China, Russia, Cuba and Israel. In India, some $12 \%$ of labourers on building sites are women and in some Asian and Latin American countries, a quarter of the labour force in mines is female. She claims that there are no exclusive female

[^24]roles and that biological characteristics do not bar women from particular jobs. The mothers' role is a cultural construction. Similar view was given by Bettelhelim (1969) ${ }^{102}$, Friedle $(1975)^{103}$ also supports cultural explanation and pleads that higher prestige is attached to the tasks performed by man in particular societies although the same jobs are performed by women in other societies.

Ortner, (1974) pointed out that it is not biology as such but the way in which every culture defines and evaluates female biology, as in every society higher value is placed on culture than on nature and concludes that in terms of her biology, psychological processes, social roles and psychology, woman "appears something intermediate between culture and nature". Although the superiority of culture over nature may not hold good in all societies. Yet Ortner's explanation of cultural evaluation of the biological make up explains sufficiently the devaluation of women in societies at large. ${ }^{104}$

While male participation is high, Female Labour_ Force Participation (FLFP) has been dropping at an alarming rate in India. According to data from National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO), FLFP_ fell from a high point above 40 per cent in the early-to-mid 1990s to 29.4 per cent in 2004-05, 23.3 per cent in 2009-10 and 22.5 per cent in 2011-12. Using different data, a report by the International Labour Organization (ILO) found that by 2009-10, India's FLFP was ranked 11th from the bottom out of 131 countries.

This low average masks considerable variation between rural and urban areas. In 200910, when overall FLFP was 23.3 per cent, it was 26.5 per cent in rural areas and incredibly low in urban areas at 14.6 per cent. It appears that the economic boom unleashed by liberalization has bypassed many of India's women. Even worse: with rapid growth, it appears that women have been dropping out of the workforce in large numbers rather than joining up.

Research suggests it's driven by both the demand and supply side of the labour market. On the supply side, economists have long noted a U-shaped relationship between years of education and FLFP, not just in India but elsewhere. At very low levels of education and income, women have no choice but to work to help support the family. But as men in the family start earning more income, women tend to cut back their work in the formal economy to concentrate more on household activities. It is the women in the middle - those who are literate but have at most some schooling or have only completed high school - who are squeezed both by the pressure to stay at home and by a lack of plentiful jobs that match their intermediate

[^25]level of skills and education. But then, at higher levels of education and income, women reenter the workforce through well-paying jobs that match their education and skills (Subramanya, 2013) ${ }^{105}$.

An appraisal of the economic activities of men and women show that there exists an unequal distribution along the occupational and wage structures (Sethi, 1982) ${ }^{106}$ and also the problem of the visibility of women's work is the problem of equality for women workers. Studies on rural development in India have time and again pointed out that there has occurred a marginalization of the women in the agrarian economy, because they have little scope for mobility and education and also because of task- segregation and relegation of women's tasks to the lower levels of the occupational hierarchy (Boserup, 1970). ${ }^{107}$

The experience of the developing countries shows that apart from the economic marginalization of women the efforts at economic development have resulted in an increase in the work activities of rural women on the one hand, and the shrinking of their resource base and opportunities for well-being as persons on the other (Blumberg, 1981: ${ }^{108}$ Mies, 1987). ${ }^{109}$ These developmental experiences have made many social scientists sit up and raise the issue of "development-with-equity", that is an equitable distribution of social and economic resources. The notion of equity raises the issue of how women should regain control and ownership of resources and how they should be effectively involved in decision making at all levels.

Aderinto (2001), in his paper examines the constraints women face in rural Yoruba Community of Nigeria. In spite of the fact that women face similar kind of discrimination in terms of employment opportunities, access to social and productive resources, education, health status and family decisions, among others, there is one striking similarity between the Indian culture and Nigeria that is tacit preference for the education of the male-child. The paper concludes by suggesting some intervention programs including the mobilization of resources to prepare women for leadership roles, and awareness creation about the problem facing women and indeed rural women in South Western Nigeria. ${ }^{110}$

[^26]Pandey (2005), studies the state of present property rights of women and also the flames within 2004 Bill. She feels that inequalities will remain unless the entire coparcenary system is abolished totally since it has folds within folds of families risk of poverty, increase her livelihood options, enhance prospects of child survival, education and health, reduce domestic violence and empower women. ${ }^{111}$

Jose and Sharmgaratnam (1990) conducted this particular study on women's invisible work, in Trinandrum district, Kerala. The conclusions were much the same as they are even relevant today. According to them, women play a significant role in reproducing the rural household economy by their involvement in household production system. Their role seems to be under played by the conservational statistics and the ambiguity in classifying women's work. ${ }^{112}$

## 2.5: GENDER CONVERGENCE

Okin (1989) ${ }^{113}$ pointed out that women will have an equal opportunity to positions of political influence only after the transformation of the family from a patriarchal into a 'genderfree' institution. As long as they remain financially dependent on their husbands, women cannot simply choose to step out of an oppressive relationship, let alone speak up in public. Only when they have a real exit-option will women are able to use their voice and stand up for themselves.

The trend toward women entering the labour force is far advanced in the United States and most European countries. There is convergence in labour force participation rates between husbands and wives and an increase in women who are breadwinners of families (Gershuny, 2000) ${ }^{114}$.

The goal of domesticity for wives became a strong part of the union movement where men fought for a 'family wage' to allow working-class women to leave their jobs and become full-time homemakers. The organization of work during the early industrial era created what became known as the traditional family, where men specialize in employment and women in domesticity (Skolnick, 1991) ${ }^{115}$. While men's roles in families have not changed in any way commensurate this massive entry of wives into paid labor, there is no doubt that the roles of

[^27]father and husband have grown to include more involvement in child care and housework than in eras past (Coltrane, 1989) ${ }^{116}$.

In a study of men's roles in family life, Scott Coltrane (1996) ${ }^{117}$ suggests that as women move into jobs that require uninterrupted career commitment and their families come to rely on their income, more participation of men in domestic work and childcare is likely. Recent cross-national research shows that as women's education and income increase, so does their husbands participation in household labor (Davis \& Greensteen, 2004) ${ }^{118}$.

Dominant definitions of masculinity are still tied to bread winning and are uneasily stretched to include housework and childcare. These connections - between femininity and nurturance, between masculinity and work outside the home-are centuries in the making and will not be dissolved entirely anytime soon. Change is slow and sometimes painful. Couples who believe in equality but have yet to put it into practice may feel conflicted and at odds about sharing the second shift of domestic labor (Hochschild, 1989) ${ }^{119}$.

There have been small qualitative studies of families where husbands and wives intentionally share parenting and organize their family life without concern for traditional gender expectations (Coltrane and Collins, 2001 ${ }^{120}$ : Dienhart, $1998^{121}$; Risman, 1998) ${ }^{122}$.

More and more families are headed by single parents, at least for a time. While more single fathers exist now than in the past, the substantial majority of single-parent families consist of women and children. Single parents, as a group, are less well-to-do than couples. Single parents have often been both mother and father to their children, and in that way they are perhaps the first and most appropriate model for gender convergence. Some single parents do very well economically, but many more struggle.

European countries have a long standing tradition of offering paid leave and financially supporting care giving as part of health and social service programs (Waldfogel, 2001) ${ }^{123}$. At the other end of the spectrum are Nordic countries such as Sweeden and Norway, whose

[^28]policies indicate a clear desire to encourage mothers to stay in the labor force (Jacobs and Gerson with Gornick, 2004) ${ }^{124}$. Recently, however, Norway has implemented a 'daddy leave' opportunity to close even that small gap in working time. A'daddy leave' reserves some parental caretaking time for fathers only (Cancian and Oliker, 2000) ${ }^{125}$. As women have more economic clout and more education, men tend to do a higher proportion of family work (Davis and Greenstein, 2004) ${ }^{126}$.

From a feminist point of view, these trends point to greater gender equality. As feminist scholars, we argue that both men and women should be expected to contribute to the family income, engage in housework, and provide care. Not only would this lead to more fulfilling partnerships, where deep friendship is truly possible (Schwartz, 1994) ${ }^{127}$.

The American and the French Revolutions clearly marked the beginning of the liberalrights tradition. Within this tradition, citizenship consists primarily of the status, rights and entitlements granted by a state to its members. Usually, three kinds of citizenship rights are distinguished: civil rights, which secure the realization of individual freedom, such as freedom of speech and the right to own property; political rights, which allow for active and passive participation in the exercise of government; and social rights; which guarantee each individual a minimum share in economic wealth and social security (Marshall, 1950) ${ }^{128}$.
Ruth Lister (2003) ${ }^{129}$ argues for a 'synthetic approach', which conceives of citizenship as both status and practice and acknowledges that civil, political and social rights are prerequisites for human agency, and that, in turn, agency is needed to acquire individual rights.

Audre Lorde and Gloria Anzaldrea subscribe to such conscious mobilizations of identity. They suggest a conception of citizenship which allows women of all backgrounds to create commonality by both claiming and transfiguring given identities (Bickford, 1997) ${ }^{130}$.

Lowie (1940) ${ }^{131}$ held that the status of women was determined by four major factors,i.e., treatment of women in society, her legal status, opportunities available to her for

[^29]public activities, and the character and extent of her labour participation. Gide (1977) ${ }^{132}$ gave a comprehensive picture of life options which measured the status of women. They were political expression, work and mobility, family formation-duration and size, education, health and cultural expression. Thus, a great caution was required in summing up the status of female sex in a given society.

Strulik (2004) in his paper looks into changes brought upon the local bodies by the political participation of women after $73^{\text {rd }}$ and $74^{\text {th }}$ Amendment. It was argued that by women's political participation i.e. women's prioritization and agenda setting, women's working style or 'doing politics' gendered knowledge systems and gendered support systems, as well as gendered modes of interaction-the political space gets transformed. This paper gives special emphasis to the role of State officials support or non-cooperation, and governmental guidelines. He adds that the meaning of "politics" and "the State" eventually is reshaped. He argues that the state intervention "women quota" can indeed become a weapon in the hands of women in struggle over the meaning of politics-a process which in turn reflexively influences the broader context of the social construction of gender. ${ }^{133}$

United Nations, (1991), Education and training for girls and women has long been seen as key measures to improve women's social and economic status. Encouragement should be drawn from some of the figures on school attendance. The enrolment of girls in primary and secondary education has caught up with that of boys in most developed countries and in Latin America and the Caribbean, and nearly equals it in East and Southeast Asia and in the Pacific region. It is increasing faster than that of boys in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia but from a lower starting point; South Asia is the only area where girls' secondary enrolments are less than half those of boys. ${ }^{134}$

World Bank, (1991), the extension of primary education has boosted literacy. Between 1970 and 1990 literacy rates improved significantly, especially among younger women: the numbers of illiterate women aged between 20 (twenty) and 24(twenty four) decreased from 80 to 50 percent in sub-Saharan Africa, for example, and from nearly 80 percent to just over 40 percent in northern Africa and western Asia. The gap between men and women has remained wide in every region, however, and population growth means that the actual number of illiterate girls and women has increased. Rates are very much higher in rural areas than in

[^30]urban areas, even among young women. A World Bank study found that 80 percent of rural women workers in India were illiterate. ${ }^{135}$ The participation of young women in further and higher education has increased, in overall numbers and in some traditionally male-dominated areas too-though the evidence is not entirely consistent. In over thirty countries more women are in higher education than men, but regional differences are great. There are fewer than 30 female per 100 male students in sub-Saharan Africa and southern Asian countries. In all regions except Africa women make up at least 30 percent of science and engineering students. For law and business the numbers are more or less equal in the developed regions and Latin America, approaching 40 percent women in Asia and the Pacific, and 26 percent in Africa (United Nations) ${ }^{136}$. Vickers, Jeanne (1991), A study for the United Nations Division for the Advancement of Women (DAW) suggests that in countries badly affected by debt and recession, girls are being taken out of school at a faster rate than boys. ${ }^{137}$

Formal schooling provides the background, contacts and entrance requirements to opportunities in politics and the economy. With increasing globalization, education is associated with the flexibility to adapt to changing conditions (Hill and King 1995) ${ }^{138}$. Knowledge-intensive output is replacing more traditional types of production (World Bank 2000) ${ }^{139}$.

Education influences the development of individuals, their educational experience, and their later working life. Political priorities and cultural values affect employment and also shape education. The availability and quality of schools and teachers depend on resources and on political choices. Boys and girls do not arrive at school on an equal footing; gender affects both their access to schooling and their experience at school. It also shapes girls expectations of what school can offer them, and of what they themselves can achieve. The process starts long before they get to school: in many societies the birth of a girl is tolerated rather than celebrated. In others it is actively regretted.

Many parents' expectations are different for their sons than for their daughters. A girl's future is more often seen in terms of marriage than a job. In many societies, when, whom and on what terms a girl marries are the most important considerations, and determine her role and status. Even where it is quite common for girls to think in terms of a 'career', most also

[^31]realize that some form of compromise will be necessary between this and their reproductive role: a compromise few men anticipate.

The committee on the Status of Women in India (1974) noted: An argument which is often raised in debates regarding women's employment is that their employment deprives men of jobs that they need to support their families. It is assumed that all women who work are only supplementing the family income to ensure a higher standard of living. These theorists have never tried to investigate how many women who work are the sole or main earners in the family. The majority of working women whom we met were supporting either their parents and younger brothers and sisters or their own children (Government of India, 1974 ${ }^{140}$ ).

Thus, in conclusion, there is disparity in employment and income in Nagaland which is prominent and no systematic study has been taken so far. Further, the research scholar is convinced that there is a strong linkage between women's work participation and education which will empower them. Hence the present study will help the policy makers while formulating developmental policy options that will include all the sections of population so as to ensure equal opportunities and an egalitarian society.

[^32]
## CHAPTER III

## GENDER PROFILE OF NAGALAND

## INTRODUCTION:

Improving the status of women has been one of the objectives of the United Nations Millennium Development Goals ${ }^{141}$, yet in fact, there is only little improvement in this direction in Naga society. The traditional and cultural practices are deep rooted in the social system, women seclusion, segregation in work and home, discrimination in property rights, lower status within the family and social institutions, etc. are aspects requiring serious attentions, so as to bring needed changes enabling women to lead better lives and contribute meaningfully towards economic development.

Studies on the status of women in Nagaland are of recent origin, but many of the contemporary studies concerning the status and attitudes towards women are rooted in the past around the world, a brief historical survey of the changing status of women becomes necessary. Scholars working on the status of women opine that many changes have occurred in the traditional conception of role and status of women. This has been made possible due to increasing new opportunities for education and employment for all, emergence of new socioeconomic pattern and privileges of equal legal and political rights in recent years. Women are competing with men on an equal footing and have entered into occupations which were earlier considered as the domain of men ${ }^{142}$. Urban working women all over the Country have proved that they can not only match but surpass men in various skills if equal opportunities are available to them. The participation of women in paid labour force and female enrolment in education has increased considerably over the years. Nevertheless, there is gap between men and women in various fields such as, paid work, education, health, access to resources, information on political and legal rights, effectiveness in implementing public policies etc. Furthermore, the fruitful activity performed by women in the agricultural fields, household activities etc. have not been recognized by the society at large. Sometimes women work in the fields for 15 to 16 hours a day and also do miles of walking to fetch water or gather food and

[^33]firewood (Kranti Rana, 1998) ${ }^{143}$, and thus women have been ignored, both as the subject and object of development.

A brief review of gender profile of Nagaland presented in this section of the thesis gives an overview of the traditional background and a broad socio-economic profile of women in the study area.

### 3.1 WOMEN IN TRADITIONAL NAGA SOCIETY:

Many advocate that Naga women enjoy almost equal rights as men and occupy a better position in the society than their counterparts in the country. However, the ground realities in Naga society are different to the existing egalitarian social norms and ethos for gender equality and enrichment, which is also true for the entire country.

Naga society, in the past, was simple and confined mainly in the villages. The village identity occupied the most important position in respect of every Naga tribe. Naga villages were homogenous, self-sustaining and independent. As far as the administrative system was concerned, there were distinct village administration, distribution of clans, socio-cultural network, and the economic well being of the village was looked after by the village authority. But today, with the advent of modern education with developments and modernization, Naga society has become more complex.

In recent years, there are number of legislations, legal and constitutional provisions at various levels supporting to uplift women's status and empower them. However, in Naga society, in many areas the customary laws and practices prevail over the Indian legal system. Thus, the traditional customs and norms continue to determine the society's attitude towards women. The social norms are extension of family norms, that the Nagas are of a patriarchal society, wherein, the father is the head of the family. A son sets up a separate family after getting married, whereas a daughter goes to the husband's house or live in a different house. These traditional practices give more importance to male. Women are restricted in many areas such as economic, political and social concerns particularly in customary relations.

[^34]
## Women and Society:

In the past women were not entitled to fame and title. Women in Ao society are still categorized as 'aningnoza' (the children of the sky) and 'tetsur tanur' (women and minor)' that reflects society's perception about the inferior position or status of women; differentiating their status from that of the men folk, sometimes rating them as minor citizens irrespective of their work status, age and educational qualifications. The usage of the very term aningnoza or tetsur tanur is no doubt, a derogatory term in a way because even the highly educated and elderly women are grouped under this (minor) category. In order to defend or to defame women, they say, 'parnok tetsur tanuri kechi metet?' (What do these women and children know about?), such usages refer not only in support of them but also to insult the gender. They are in fact, regarded as inferior to men in society and in family circles because of their feminine characteristics ${ }^{144}$.
"Different treatment was meted out to the female child right from her birth. A girl child is expected to do all household chores from her tender age while a boy of her age is given more freedom. This is how the spirit of difference between the two sexes is inculcated from their childhood". Though the modern elite society knows that women and men should go side by side and hand-in-hand in every walk of life, the practice of male domination still prevails in Naga society ${ }^{145}$.

Women are in many ways equal partner in the family and breadwinner. In North-East India, including Nagaland, women from the poorer groups are employed in labour intensive work. A large number of poor women also work as domestic help and as vegetables and other small items vendors. Women are collectors of secondary staple food and fuel wood from the forest to support their family. However, the pressure of traditional customs and norms continue to determine the society's attitude towards women. The social and cultural institutions and the patriarchal family system in conjunction with religious mores and dominant value systems are still surcharged with the spirit of male domination.

## Women in Decision Making Process:

Women are not given privilege to express their opinions in many public gatherings like village citizen's meetings where major policy decisions are taken in Naga society. For instance, among the Ao-Naga society, by custom, women are not allowed to become member of Village Council and therefore, not allowed to attend such Village Citizen's Conference

[^35](Senso Mungdang), and excluding Women participation in decision making opportunities in society, which is prevalent till today. Women participations are still negligible in major decision making bodies, because they are not given equal privileges as men to participate fully in most of the social institutions including religious institutions. Also at home, in some cases, they are restrained to fully participate in family decision making process and often male members decide for them.

## Access to Resources and Work:

Women have very limited rights to inherit and entitled to co-owned property with brothers in Naga society. The property is usually divided among the sons. Women's land rights are limited, conditional and insecure. In rural Nagaland, women performed bulk of agricultural works, like in many of the developing countries for their consumption as well as to supplement their family income, but they seldom have full title or control over the resources.

By traditional practice, an Ao-Naga woman cannot inherit ancestral property (movable and immovable). However, if a woman is gifted with property by her father (landed or otherwise), she acquires right over the property, but in certain cases, she needs to pay her father a nominal price, otherwise at the event of death of the father, the same may go back to her father's heirs (male lineage).

With the advent of education and socioeconomic progress in recent times, numerous changes are taking place in regards to women access to resources and property. Increasing number of women is working in jobs, venturing into business as entrepreneurs etc. In many households, women are bread earners for the family and in some family; both wife and husband contribute equally. Thus, work and earning empower women to acquire assets and for some, daughters are also given share of her parental property in recent years. As regards to inheritance, more often customary law hinders women to get access to property. In some extreme cases, if a woman is issueless or born only daughter/s, and if husband dies, she is not allow living in her house, but make to move out along with her daughter/s, even if the house is built from her own earnings or jointly during her husband's life time, and the property is taken over by her husband's heirs.

Naga women as compared to men, have unequal access to land, other property etc. and limited control over all these resources and opportunities, which is detrimental for her progress. In rural area, in order to grow and process food, women need a number of inputs, most basically land and labour, and for those who are seeking to increase productivity and incomes, other inputs are also required, especially credit, improved technology, training and extension
services. All of which are limited for women, constraining them to contribute significantly in production and earn higher income.

ILO (1989) has rightly pointed that lack of critical resources and opportunities are detrimental for economic development of any region, for the reason that where women's access to factor of production including land is weak, it results in declining food security at the household level ${ }^{146}$.

### 3.2. GENDER DEMOGRAPHIC FEATURES:

Demographic attributes such as population, birth rate, death rate, infant mortality rate, life expectancy at birth, age and sex compositions, etc. indicate both the physical quality of human population and the level of socio-economic development of any region. An understanding of the demographic profile in general and women in particular in the sample area is thus an essential precondition towards addressing the issues of women and development linkage as this will influence the socio-economic conditions of women. An attempt has been made to examine the demographic characteristics in general and women vis-a-vis men in particular in Nagaland.

### 3.2.1. Population in Nagaland:

According to 2011 census, Nagaland has a total population of 1980602. Out of which, the male population is 1025707 and 954895 females (accounting for $51.78 \%$ and $48.21 \%$ respectively). In Nagaland, $71.03 \%$ of the total population resides in rural area and $28.07 \%$ in urban area. Among the districts, Dimapur has the highest percentage of urban population with $51.95 \%$, which is followed by Kohima with $45.60 \%$, while in Mon district, only $13.85 \%$ of its total population lives in urban area. By gender concern, the rural population is comprised of $51.50 \%$ male and $48.49 \%$ female, whereas the urban population is comprised of $52.48 \%$ male and $47.52 \%$ female.

[^36]Table 3.1: Population of Nagaland - 1901-2011

| Year | Population | \% change | Males | \% <br> change | Females | \% <br> change | Sex <br> ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1901 | 101550 | - | 51473 |  | 50077 |  | 973 |
| 1911 | 149038 | 46.76 | 74796 | 31.18 | 74242 | 32.55 | 993 |
| 1921 | 158801 | 6.55 | 79738 | 6.20 | 79063 | 6.10 | 992 |
| 1931 | 178844 | 12.62 | 89536 | 10.94 | 89308 | 11.47 | 997 |
| 1941 | 189641 | 6.04 | 93831 | 4.58 | 95810 | 6.79 | 1021 |
| 1951 | 212975 | 12.30 | 106551 | 11.94 | 106424 | 9.97 | 999 |
| 1961 | 369200 | 73.35 | 191027 | 44.22 | 178173 | 40.27 | 833 |
| 1971 | 516449 | 39.88 | 276084 | 30.81 | 240365 | 25.87 | 871 |
| 1981 | 774930 | 50.05 | 415910 | 33.62 | 359020 | 33.05 | 863 |
| 1991 | 1209546 | 56.08 | 641282 | 35.14 | 568264 | 36.82 | 886 |
| 2001 | 1990036 | 64.53 | 1047141 | 38.76 | 942895 | 39.73 | 909 |
| 2011 | 1980602 | $(-) 0.47$ | 1025707 | -2.09 | 954895 | 1.26 | 931 |

Source: Important economic indicators of Nagaland, 2009,
Provisional Population Totals-Paper 1 of 2011, Nagaland series 14, Census report, 2011
It is observed from the table no.3.1 that the decadal growth of population in Nagaland had undergone a structural break in 1951, which percentage growth trend in population had fluctuated till this period. Thereafter, in 1961 Nagaland has witnessed a dramatic increase by $73.35 \%$, the highest recorded percentage increase during the twelve decades under consideration. In the subsequent decades, the growth in population was consistent. However, again in 2011 census, it showed a negative growth ( $-0.47 \%$ ).

Similarly, gender population data showed inconsistency in growth trend which has fluctuated till 1951. In 1961 the State witnessed the highest percentage increase for both male ( $79.28 \%$ ) and female ( $67.42 \%$ ), and the growth rate of female population was consistently lower than the male from 1951 through 1981. Since then, the female has taken a higher growth rate over male and remains positive, whereas for male, it assumed negative growth during 2001-2011 with -2.05.

### 3.2.2. Sex Ratio:

A perusal of table no.3.1, it is observed that the female population was higher than male in 1941 with a sex ratio of 1021 . Thereafter, the ratio was significantly declined with lowest of 833 in 1961 and was risen steadily in the subsequent decades. As shown in table no. 3.2(a), the sex ratio in Nagaland is 931 , which is lower than the national ratio of 940 . The sex ratio in rural and urban areas are 940 and 908 respectively, which are also lower than that of all India average of 947 for rural and 926 for urban areas as according to the latest 2011 census.

Table 3.2 (a): District wise sex ratio in Nagaland: 2001-2011

| State/District | Sex Ratio 2001 |  |  | Sex Ratio 2011 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Nagaland | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 8}$ |
| Mon | 879 | 883 | 815 | 899 | 902 | 884 |
| Mokokchung | 919 | 936 | 817 | 925 | 946 | 875 |
| Zunheboto | 947 | 970 | 829 | 976 | 993 | 912 |
| Wokha | 927 | 954 | 844 | 968 | 977 | 937 |
| Dimapur | 854 | 864 | 837 | 919 | 937 | 903 |
| Phek | 921 | 938 | 757 | 951 | 969 | 860 |
| Tuensang | 900 | 924 | 784 | 929 | 938 | 889 |
| Longleng | 889 | 889 | - | 905 | 904 | 908 |
| Kiphire | 900 | 900 | - | 956 | 967 | 920 |
| Kohima | 898 | 925 | 849 | 928 | 924 | 934 |
| Peren | 946 | 946 | - | 915 | 900 | 1012 |
| Mean | $\mathbf{9 0 7 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 0 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 6 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 3 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 1 . 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 2 . 1 8}$ |
| Std. dev. | $\mathbf{2 8 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 8 1}$ |
| Std. Error | $\mathbf{8 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3 1}$ |
| Co. $\boldsymbol{\text { arar. }}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4 7}$ |

Source: Primary Census Abstract 2011, Nagaland series 14
Note : - means not available.
In 2011, among the 11 (eleven) districts, in total Zunheboto has the highest sex ratio with 976, whereas, Mon has the lowest with 899. In rural area, Zunheboto exhibited the highest with 993 and lowest was Peren with 900 . Conversely, in urban area, Peren has the highest with 1012 and Phek was the lowest with 860. It appears that, with the exception of urban area in Peren district, the proportion of female population is generally lower than that of male in all the districts. The coefficient of variation among the districts is reasonably low and it has reduced through time (2001-2011), from $3.11 \%$ to $2.73 \%$. In rural area, it reduced from $3.57 \%$ to $3.42 \%$, however a marginal increased from $3.87 \%$ to $4.47 \%$ is evident in urban area.

Table 3.2 (b): Percentage Change in Sex Ratio (from 2001 to 2011)

| State/District | Total | Rural | Urban |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nagaland | $\mathbf{3 . 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4 9}$ |
| Mon | 2.28 | 2.15 | 7.68 |
| Mokokchung | 0.65 | 1.07 | 6.27 |
| Zunheboto | 3.06 | 2.37 | 8.50 |
| Wokha | 4.42 | 2.41 | 9.61 |
| Dimapur | 7.61 | 8.45 | 7.18 |
| Phek | 3.26 | 3.30 | 10.83 |
| Tuensang | 3.22 | 1.52 | 11.30 |
| Longleng | 1.80 | 1.69 | - |
| Kiphire | 6.22 | 7.44 | - |
| Kohima | 3.34 | -0.11 | 9.16 |
| Peren | 3.28 | -4.86 | - |

Source: Primary Census Abstract 2011, Nagaland series 14
Table 3.2(b) shows that the sex ratio during the last decade was increased by $3.44 \%$ in Nagaland. District wise, the percentage increase was highest in Dimapur (7.61\%), followed by Kiphire ( $6.22 \%$ ), and Wokha ( $4.42 \%$ ) and these districts were above the State average. On the other hand, the remaining districts were below the State's average. It may also be observed that Peren district exhibited decline in sex ratio by $-3.28 \%$ in total and $-4.86 \%$ in rural area, although it assumed the highest urban sex ratio in 2011. The percentage change during the decade is not shown for newly constituted urban towns like Peren, Kiphire and Longleng.

This indicates that the proportion of female to male in total population had increased during the last decade in the State and in all the individual districts, except for Peren. Similarly, in both rural and urban areas it was increased in all districts (except for rural Peren- $4.86 \%$ and rural Kohima -0.11\%).

### 3.2.3. Health Indicators:

Being healthy and able to live long brings both direct and indirect benefits to individual and to the society as a whole. It enhances personal well being and enables to realize personal goals by providing opportunity to develop the abilities and fuller utilization of innate potential in an individual. For a society, transition into a condition where people can enjoy long and healthy lives is desirable and valued social change. Thus, health indicators form one of the important ingredients for evaluating development process and in measuring Human Development (NHDR, 2001).

Table 3.3: District wise Health Indicators of Nagaland in 2001 and 2010

| District | 2001 |  | 2010 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Life <br> Expectancy | IMR <br> (per 1000) | Birth rate <br> (per 1000) | Death rate <br> (per 1000) | Infant <br> Death Rate <br> (per 1000) |
| Nagaland | $\mathbf{7 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 8}$ |
| Mon | 75.0 | 27.10 | 11.48 | 1.49 | 1.40 |
| Mokokchung | 72.3 | 35.05 | 18.50 | 2.95 | 1.08 |
| Zunheboto | 73.6 | 31.53 | 13.89 | 1.80 | 2.49 |
| Wokha | 68.6 | 47.42 | 13.46 | 2.57 | 2.86 |
| Dimapur | 73.4 | 37.50 | 20.70 | 3.35 | 2.04 |
| Phek | 74.2 | 29.22 | 15.40 | 3.38 | 2.65 |
| Tuensang | 70.8 | 41.30 | 11.83 | 1.88 | 1.58 |
| Longleng | - | - | 11.00 | 1.09 | 3.06 |
| Kiphire | - | - | 9.97 | 1.25 | 3.52 |
| Kohima | 73.2 | 37.90 | 26.92 | 3.50 | 0.44 |
| Peren | - | - | 12.35 | 1.43 | 3.30 |
| Mean | $\mathbf{7 2 . 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ |
| Std. Dev. | $\mathbf{1 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| Co.var. | $\mathbf{2 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 2 3}$ |

Source: (1) Nagaland HDR, 2004 and (2) Statistical Handbook of 2012.
Life expectancy at birth in Nagaland was 73.4 years in 2001 which was higher than the country's average of 60.7 years during the same year. Longevity in the state was highest in Mon with 75.0 years, while Wokha had the lowest with 68.6 years (National Human Development Report, 2001). The districts wise variation in life expectancy is negligible as its coefficient of variation is $2.71 \%$ and standard deviation is 1.97 only. Gender wise differences could not be gauged due to paucity of secondary data.

The birth rate in Nagaland per thousand populations in 2010 was 16.21 and the total live birth registered was 45,269 , comprising of $53 \%$ males and $47 \%$ of females. This was declined from 29 in 2006 to 22 in 2009.

The death rate was 2.45 per ' 000 population in 2010, with a total death registered, comprised of $55 \%$ of male and $44.97 \%$ female (table 3.3/annexure table no.3.1). A total of 6465 deaths was registered in 2009, comprised $43 \%$ of females and $57 \%$ of males. In 2008, a total of 7347 deaths were registered ( 3149 females $-43 \%$ and 4198 males-57\%). The death rates fall from 4.49 to 3.30 per ' 000 population during 2006 and 2009. The rapid fall in its death rate is primarily due to improvement of health services, better sanitation, education, improvement in nutrition, and living conditions in the State in general. Nagaland witnessed significant decline in both infant mortality and death rates during the last decade.

## Infant Mortality Rate:

Infant mortality rate is a sensitive indicator of human development. There are various indicators of infant and child mortality, among them the most commonly used, is the life. The infant and child mortality are likely to be more sensitive to changes that has a infant mortality rate which refers to the number of deaths per thousand live births in the first year of child's bearing on the quality of life, particularly, to health and longevity of people. ${ }^{147}$ High mortality and morbidity among infant and children below 5 years occurs on account of inadequate care, asphyxia during birth, premature birth, malnutrition, vaccine preventable diseases etc. In 2010, infant mortality rate was recorded at 1.68 per thousand as against 1.72 in 2009. In 2010 the highest mortality was 3.52 in Kiphire and lowest in Kohima with 0.44 .



Figure 3.3: Death Rates per '000 Population in Nagaland


The significant decline in birth rate and the low maternal mortality could be attributed to widespread awareness among the people about the advantages of having smaller families

[^37]coupled with easy availability of contraceptives and medical care in recent years. DHDR sample survey 2013 indicated that $80 \%$ of the rural and $35 \%$ of urban women respondents get access to family planning services. The decline in birth rate is vital to fulfill the objective of stabilizing the growth of population in the country. Moreover, maternal mortality registered in 2010 was only 24 persons, 13 and 11 in rural and urban area. In Nagaland Maternal mortality rate was $<1 / 1000$ and India $4 / 1000$ (NSHDR 2004)

### 3.3 EDUCATIONAL PROFILE

Education is the process of facilitating learning, or the acquisition of knowledge, skills, values, beliefs, and habits. Education is considered as an important variable not only for widening mental horizon of the individual but it also helps a person to make use of rational and scientific approach to solve different problems. Education influences the development of individuals, their educational experience, and their later working life. Political priorities and cultural values affect employment and also shape education. Education opens opportunities and thus empowers individuals. The availability and quality of schools and teachers depend on resources and on political choices.

Formal education was introduced in Nagaland in late nineteenth century by American Baptist Missionaries. Since then, the efforts have been made to educate women through the initiative of American missionaries in Nagaland. The important educational development indicators like literacy rate and enrolment ratio are taken into consideration to examine the gender educational profile and the extent of disparity in educational attainment.

### 3.3.1. Literacy rate:

Nagaland has attained remarkable progress in literacy rate, which stands at $80.11 \%$ in 2011, which is higher than National rate of $74.04 \%$. The literacy rate was increased by $13.52 \%$ from 2001 to 2011 (from $66.59 \%$ to $80.11 \%$ respectively). Ranking of the State in literacy has gone up from $20^{\text {th }}$ in 2001 to $15^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 in the country. Literacy by sex shows that the rates for males has gone up from $71.16 \%$ in 2001 to $83.30 \%$ in 2011 and for females it has increased from $61.46 \%$ to $76.69 \%$ during the same period. For both males and females, the literacy rate is higher than the National rate of $82.14 \%$ and $65.46 \%$ respectively.

It is a continuous phenomenon that the literacy rate for male has been higher than that of female throughout the period under consideration in Nagaland. In 1981, the literacy rate for male was $50.06 \%$, which was increased to $67.62 \%, 71.77 \%$ and $83.29 \%$ in 1991,2001 and 2011 respectively, while the same for female in corresponding periods were $33.89 \%, 54.75 \%, 61.92 \%$ and $76.69 \%$. However, it may be observed from table 3.4 that the extent of gender gap in literacy
rate has been declined over the time as its CV value has declined from $27.24 \%$ in 1981 to $14.87 \%$, $10.42 \%$ and $5.84 \%$ in 1991, 2001 and 2011 respectively.

Table 3.4: Gender Disparities in Literacy Rate

|  | Literacy Rate (LR) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1991 | 2001 | 2011 |
| Total | 61.65 | 66.59 | 80.11 |
| Male | 67.62 | 71.77 | 83.29 |
| Female | 54.75 | 61.92 | 76.69 |
| Gap (\%) | 12.87 | 9.85 | 6.6 |
| CV (\%) | 14.87 | 10.42 | 05.84 |

Source: National HDR 2001, Nagaland HDR 2004. Census of India, 1981, 1991, 2001 \& 2011
Note: CV-Coefficient of Variation.
However, the gender gap is still large in the state, where the female literacy rate is lower than that of male. This indicates the extent of inequality among gender, an enormous gap to bridge, which may require changes in the existing educational policies for girls. The data in table 3.4 reveals that the gap is narrowing down over the last three decades from $12.87 \%$ to $9.85 \%$ during 1991 and 2001 respectively and further declined to $6.6 \%$ in 2011, which is lower than the National level of $16.6 \%$.

It is also observed that the female literacy rate has been increasing at a faster rate than male in Nagaland, which growth rates are estimated to be $3.01 \%$ and $1.80 \%$ per annum respectively during 1981-2011. That the disparity as measured by Coefficient of Variation has reduced by $4.80 \%$ per annum during the same period.

## Literacy Rate by District wise:

Table 3.5: Literacy rates by Districts in 2001 and 2011 and decadal growth in percentages

| Districts | 2001 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  | \% increase from 2011 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Persons | Male | Female | Persons | Male | Female | Persons | Male | Female |
|  | 41.83 | 46.56 | 36.35 | 56.6 | 60.38 | 52.39 | 35.31 | 29.68 | 44.13 |
| Mokokchung | 83.92 | 86.03 | 81.61 | 92.68 | 93.55 | 91.74 | 10.44 | 8.74 | 12.41 |
| Zunheboto | 69.26 | 73.76 | 64.52 | 86.26 | 88.86 | 83.61 | 24.55 | 20.47 | 29.59 |
| Wokha | 80.55 | 85.35 | 75.32 | 87.6 | 90.53 | 84.58 | 8.75 | 6.07 | 12.29 |
| Dimapur | 75.57 | 80.38 | 69.87 | 82.54 | 86.14 | 78.65 | 9.22 | 7.17 | 12.57 |
| Phek | 70.65 | 78.37 | 62.26 | 79.13 | 84.53 | 73.5 | 12.00 | 7.86 | 18.05 |
| Tuensang | 52.15 | 59.83 | 43.45 | 73.7 | 76.76 | 70.4 | 41.32 | 28.30 | 62.03 |
| Longleng | 44.82 | 48.05 | 41.15 | 73.1 | 75.6 | 70.35 | 63.10 | 57.34 | 70.96 |
| Kiphire | 50.23 | 55.71 | 44.1 | 71.1 | 76.54 | 65.44 | 41.55 | 37.39 | 48.39 |
| Kohima | 78 | 83.51 | 71.78 | 85.58 | 89.28 | 81.56 | 9.72 | 6.91 | 13.62 |
| Peren | 65.92 | 72.06 | 59.39 | 79 | 83.96 | 73.57 | 19.84 | 16.51 | 23.88 |
| std dev | 15.03 | 14.87 | 15.48 | 9.98 | 9.47 | 10.73 | 18.03 | 16.35 | 21.42 |
| covar. | 23.19 | 21.25 | 26.20 | 12.66 | 11.50 | 14.30 | 71.93 | 79.41 | 67.72 |

Source: Provisional Population totals, paper 2, Volume 11 of 2011, Nagaland series 14

Among the districts, Mokokchung has the highest literacy rates of $92.68 \%$ (comprising of $93.55 \%$ of male and $91.74 \%$ female) in 2011. The lowest literacy rate was in Mon with $56.6 \%$ (comprising of $60.38 \%$ male and $52.39 \%$ female) in the same year. The most significant growth during the last decade was seen in Longleng, Kiphire and Tuensang with $63.10 \%, 41.55 \%$ and $41.32 \%$ increase, where the growth rate of literacy for female was higher than that of male in the entire districts, with the highest in Longleng district (70.96\%) and lowest $12.29 \%$ was seen in Wokha during the decade. The decadal growth rate of total female literacy is higher than that of the males although female literacy rate is lower than male in all the districts and in the State as well. It is also found that inter district variation is modest, which has reduced during the last decade, that its coefficient of variation falls from $23.19 \%$ in 2001 to $12.66 \%$ in 2011.

## Enrolment:

The enrolment ratio depicts the current flow or the spread of education. Enrolment by levels of school education during the year 2007-08 and 2012-13 showed that girl's enrolment was lower than that of boys.
Table 3.6: School Enrolment in Nagaland in percentage

| Sl no | Different levels of Education | $2007-08$ |  |  | $2012-13$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1 | Higher secondary | 56.62 | 47.38 | 15.44 | 52.67 | 47.33 | 5.13 |
| 2 | Secondary | 52.47 | 47.53 | 33.12 | 50.22 | 49.78 | 9.47 |
| 3 | Upper primary | 51.03 | 48.97 | 18.89 | 50.36 | 49.64 | 22.51 |
| 4 | Primary | 51.86 | 48.14 | 32.55 | 50.46 | 49.54 | 62.89 |
| Nagaland |  | 52.03 | 47.97 | 100 | 50.53 | 49.47 | 100 |

Source: Statistical handbook of Nagaland, 2006, Statistical handbook of Nagaland, 2009, UDISE data 2012-13, Directorate of School Education

In 2007-08, the total enrolment from primary to higher secondary was 479732, comprising of $52.03 \%$ boys and $47.97 \%$ girls. The same in 2012-13 was 562599 , showing an increase in total enrolment by $17.27 \%$, which comprised of $50.53 \%$ and $49.47 \%$ for boys and girls respectively. Enrolment of boys was decreased by $-2.88 \%$, whereas, for girls it increased by 3.13 during the period. It may be gauged from the above table that for girls' enrolment, although it shows gradual increase it remains lower than that of boys' enrolment.

Table 3.7: District wise School enrolment in 2012-2013 in percentage

| Districts | Primary |  |  | Upper primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  |  | Higher secondary |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |  |
| Mon | 49.24 | 50.76 | 10.50 | 49.85 | 50.15 | 8.42 | 51.34 | 48.66 | 8.66 | 56.66 | 43.34 | 4.99 |  |
| Tuensang | 50.11 | 49.89 | 10.50 | 49.36 | 50.64 | 7.90 | 50.50 | 49.50 | 7.48 | 53.62 | 46.38 | 3.25 |  |
| Mokokchung | 51.43 | 48.57 | 9.23 | 50.07 | 49.93 | 12.86 | 47.93 | 52.07 | 7.39 | 48.75 | 51.25 | 6.23 |  |
| Zunheboto | 50.53 | 49.47 | 7.59 | 50.13 | 49.87 | 6.80 | 48.67 | 51.33 | 7.06 | 48.67 | 51.33 | 3.24 |  |
| Wokha | 47.97 | 52.03 | 6.33 | 49.04 | 50.96 | 6.40 | 50.20 | 49.80 | 5.60 | 44.21 | 55.79 | 3.83 |  |
| Dimapur | 51.40 | 48.60 | 25.73 | 51.03 | 48.97 | 25.60 | 50.09 | 49.91 | 30.17 | 52.92 | 47.08 | 42.34 |  |
| Kohima | 49.97 | 50.03 | 9.96 | 50.14 | 49.86 | 11.45 | 50.28 | 49.72 | 16.44 | 54.08 | 45.92 | 27.27 |  |
| Phek | 51.36 | 48.64 | 6.98 | 50.60 | 49.40 | 7.14 | 50.58 | 49.42 | 7.48 | 49.82 | 50.18 | 3.79 |  |
| Longleng | 49.99 | 50.00 | 3.98 | 49.38 | 50.62 | 3.36 | 45.28 | 54.72 | 2.50 | 51.76 | 48.24 | 1.17 |  |
| Kiphire | 50.51 | 49.49 | 4.49 | 51.24 | 48.76 | 4.54 | 52.22 | 47.78 | 3.38 | 54.05 | 45.95 | 1.15 |  |
| Peren | 50.22 | 49.78 | 4.73 | 51.95 | 48.05 | 5.50 | 55.96 | 44.04 | 3.81 | 55.68 | 44.32 | 2.68 |  |
| Nagaland | 50.46 | 49.54 | 62.89 | 50.36 | 49.64 | 22.50 | 50.22 | 49.78 | 9.46 | 52.67 | 47.33 | 5.13 |  |

Source: UDISE data 2012-13, Directorate of School Education.
District wise enrolment in schools is divided into primary, upper primary, secondary and higher secondary levels. The numbers at different levels are shown as 353846 in primary, 126635 in upper primary, 53250 in secondary and 28868 only in higher secondary. The highest concentration of enrolment was found in the primary level with $62.89 \%$ of the total school going children, comprised of $50.46 \%$ boys and $49.54 \%$ girls. Upper primary accounted for $22.50 \%$ of the school going children in aggregate, comprised of $50.36 \%$ of boys and $49.64 \%$ girls respectively. The Secondary level with $9.46 \%$ was comprised with $50.22 \%$ of boys and $49.78 \%$ girls. Higher secondary constituted $5.13 \%$ comprised of $52.67 \%$ and 47.33 respectively for boys and girls. The data showed higher enrolment of boys than girls in all levels. The proportion of enrolment show a downward movement from primary to higher secondary level and also the proportion of girls are smaller than that of boys at all levels.

District wise break up of enrolment in primary level Dimapur with $25.73 \%$ was the highest, and Longleng with $3.98 \%$ lowest. In upper primary Dimapur enrolment was highest and Longleng lowest with $25.60 \%$ and $3.36 \%$ respectively. In secondary level also highest and lowest Dimapur and Longleng had $30.17 \%$ and $2.50 \%$ respectively. In higher secondary level $42.34 \%$ highest and $1.15 \%$ lowest for Dimapur and Kiphire respectively. Enrolment at different levels shows a decreasing trend from upper primary onwards. Girls' proportion of enrolment is found smaller than boys for most of the districts and levels of education.

## Higher Education in Nagaland:

Table 3.8: Number of students in higher educational institutions in Nagaland

| Sl.no | Types of institutions | $2007-08$ |  |  | $2008-09$ |  |  | $2010-11$ |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1 | University | 237 | 202 | 439 | 212 | 260 | 472 | - | - | - |
| 2 | College of general education | 11818 | 10157 | 21975 | 12999 | 11800 | 24799 |  |  |  |
| 3 | Higher professional education | 86 | 173 | 259 | 70 | 159 | 229 | 106 | 193 | 299 |
|  | (ii)Agriculture college | 194 | 131 | 325 | 183 | 122 | 305 | - | - | - |
| 4 | Theology | 690 | 739 | 1429 | 1256 | 1326 | 2582 | 1248 | 1258 | 2506 |
| 5 | Law college | 306 | 143 | 449 | 233 | 119 | 352 | 288 | 128 | 416 |
|  | Total | 13094 | 11545 | 24876 | 14953 | 13786 | 28739 |  |  |  |

Source: Statistical handbook of Nagaland, 2011
Enrolment of students in higher education is drawn from university, colleges, higher professional education institutions, theological and law colleges. The number of students enrolled in these institutions show an increasing trend. The gender segregated data at the university level show an increase and in 2008-09 enrolment of girls (55.08\%) were higher than boys $(44.92 \%)$. Majority of students were in college of general education, where girl's enrolment was lower, but steadily shown an increase from $38.99 \%$ in 2002-07 to $47.58 \%$ in 2008-09. In higher professional colleges such as college of teacher education and in theological college number of girls were more than boys. In relatively challenging streams like agriculture and law the enrolment of girls was lower.

Table 3.9: Number of students undergoing technical courses

| YEAR | Medical \& Allied Courses |  |  |  | Engineering Courses |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Male | $\%$ | Female | $\%$ | Total | Male | $\%$ | Female | $\%$ | total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2008-09$ | 82 | 74 | 29 | 26 | 111 | 151 | 82 | 34 | 18 | 185 |
| $2009-10$ | 69 | 53 | 60 | 47 | 129 | 130 | 80 | 33 | 20 | 163 |
| $2010-11$ | 63 | 53 | 56 | 47 | 119 | 162 | 78 | 46 | 22 | 191 |
| $2011-12$ | 59 | 46 | 68 | 53 | 127 | 149 | 78 | 42 | 22 | 191 |
| $2012-13$ | 79 | 54 | 68 | 46 | 147 | 145 | 69 | 64 | 31 | 209 |

Source : Directorate of IT \&TE, Government of Nagaland, 2012
Table 3.9 above shows the number of students selected to undergo various technical courses such as Medical and allied and Engineering courses ${ }^{148}$. The students chosen to undergo Medical and Allied courses in the year 2008-09 was comprised of $74 \%$ male and only

[^38]$26 \%$ were female. In the following years, the percentage difference was reduced although female continued to be lower except for 2011-12.

It appears that engineering course is still a male domain area of study, whose percentage is very high in all the five years as compared to that of female. In 2008-09, male accounts for $82 \%$ and female were only $18 \%$. From 2009-10 a steady increase is shown and in 2012-13 there were $69 \%$ male and $31 \%$ female selected for technical education.

### 3.4. ECONOMIC PROFILE:

Women's status depends mainly on their rights and privileges and the roles assigned to them, most often on the basis of gender. Status of women is determined to a great extend in terms of socio-economic indicators such as income, property, education and skills that open up opportunities of employment. The role and status of women have undergone notable changes in the State, where the number of salaried and self-employed women have increased in recent years. Though many women have occupied respectable position, there are more women who are still looking out to do something to supplement to their family's income. Women cannot do large scale business for that matter even small scale business because their access to resources is limited, women are still far from being at an equitable position with their counterpart.

However, a woman who does the household chores and carries out other economic activities for the family, even up to 14 hours a day, is not considered as important as her salaried counterpart. Many salaried and self-employed women multi task because they are expected to look after their household and also attend to their work.

### 3.4.1 Employment:

Employment is an occupation by which a person earns a living. Employment is the action of employing someone/ the state of having paid job. Employment in different sectors is divided into cultivators, agricultural labourers, household workers or household industry workers and other workers. Cultivators are those engaged in cultivation of land owned or held from private persons or institutions for payment in money, kind or share. Agricultural labourer is a person who works on another person's land for wages in money or kind or share. Further Household industry worker is defined as an industry where one or more members of the household works at home or within the village in rural areas and only within the precincts of the house where the household lives in urban areas. Other workers include all government
servants, municipal employees, teachers, factory workers, plantation workers and those engaged in trade, commerce, business, transport, banking, mining, construction political or social work, priests, entertainment artists, etc. In effect, all those workers other than cultivators or agricultural labourers or household industry workers are 'Other workers'.

The total number of workers was $9,74,122$ in Nagaland according to 2011 census which was increased from 847796 in 2001, that shows an increase by $14.90 \%$. Out of total working population the female proportion was only $22 \%$ while male shared $78 \%$ in 2011. Out of the total workers, $55.2 \%$ were cultivators, $6.5 \%$ agricultural labourers, $1.7 \%$ household workers and $36.0 \%$ were other workers in 2011. During the decade, the shares of agricultural and household industries have declined while the same for other services has increased in the state. However there are gender variations in employment pattern as indicated in the table no 3.4.2.

### 3.4.2. Employment by Sector:

Table 3.10: Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2001 and 2011 (in \%) in area and gender

| Sectors | 2001 |  |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total | Rural |  | Urban |  |
|  |  | M | F | M | F |  | M | F | M | F |
| Cultivators | 64.7 | 66.1 | 82.3 | 2.6 | 11.2 | 55.2 | 62.0 | 74.7 | 6.2 | 17.1 |
| Agril. <br> Labourers | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 5.1 |
| $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}$ industry Workers | 2.6 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 7.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 5.3 |
| Other Workers | 29.0 | 28.3 | 10.2 | 94.8 | 79.6 | 36.0 | 29.4 | 14.9 | 89.2 | 72.5 |

In rural area, majority of the working population are engaged in agricultural sector. By gender concerns in their respective total, the proportion of agricultural worker is higher ( $82 \%$ ) among female than that of male ( $69 \%$ ), which comprised of $74.7 \%$ of cultivators and $7.7 \%$ of agricultural laborers. Similarly, in household industrial workers the female proportion is higher $(2.7 \%)$ than that of male (1.6\%). In other services the proportion is higher among male (29.4\%) than the female ( $14.9 \%$ ) in 2011 census. On the other hand in urban area, majority of workers were engaged in other services, which is true for both male ( $89.2 \%$ ) and female ( $72.5 \%$ ). Although the proportion of female workers are lower than the male workers in total, the proportion for female workers are higher in agriculture and household works ( $22.2 \%$ and $5.3 \%$ respectively) as against male proportions ( $8.8 \%$ and $2 \%$ respectively) during the same period. This implies that the major economic activity in rural area is agriculture while it is other
services in urban area. The gender segregation of working pattern that emerged from this analysis is agriculture and household works are predominance of female workers while other service is of male workers.

### 3.4.3. District Wise Employment Pattern:

## (i) Cultivators:

In Nagaland, majority of the workers were cultivators (55\%) in 2011. District wise, Mon with 76.9 \% was the highest and lowest was Dimapur with $16.2 \%$. The gender segregated data reveals that in rural area, out of total female workers, $74.7 \%$ was employed as cultivators, which was higher than male's proportion of $62 \%$. The district with the highest female workers engaged as cultivators was Tuensang with $90.7 \%$ and the lowest was Dimapur with $32.7 \%$. While for male, the same was highest in Tuensang with $79.6 \%$ and the lowest was in Dimapur with $28 \%$. While in urban area, out of total female workers, $17.1 \%$ was employed as cultivators against male proportion of $6.2 \%$ in Nagaland. The district wise data indicates that Phek has the highest proportion of female worker engaged as cultivator with $49 \%$, while the lowest was Dimapur with 4\%. Among male, the highest was Peren with $36.8 \%$ and Dimapur with $1.3 \%$ was the lowest.

## (ii) Agricultural Labours:

According to 2011 census, $6.5 \%$ constituted agricultural labourers in Nagaland. This shows that together with cultivators, agricultural sector accounted for $61.7 \%$ of total workers in the state. District wise, the highest proportion was in Zunheboto and the lowest in Kohima with $14.7 \%$ and $1.9 \%$, respectively. The gender segregated data indicates that in rural area, female proportion was higher than the male with $7.7 \%$ and $7 \%$, respectively. Similarly, in urban area the female proportion was higher than that of male with $5.1 \%$ and $2.6 \%$, respectively. The female proportion in rural area ranges from $2.7 \%$ in Kohima to $17.2 \%$ in Zunheboto, whereas, the male proportion ranges from $2.3 \%$ to $14.7 \%$ in Kohima and Zunheboto, respectively. As for urban area, the proportions range from $1.5 \%$ in Kohima to $22.1 \%$ in Longleng for female. For male it ranges from $0.6 \%$ in Wokha to $10.6 \%$ in Longleng.

This explanation implies that the proportions of female as cultivator and labourers in agricultural sector are higher than that of male in both rural and urban areas in Nagaland. Moreover, for both female and male the rural proportions were higher than that of urban proportions, indicating female dominance of workers in the sector.

Table 3.11: District wise percentage of cultivators in Nagaland, 2011.

| Districts | Percentage of cultivators |  |  |  |  | Percentage of agricultural labourers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Rural |  | Urban |  | Total | Rural |  | Urban |  |
|  |  | M | F | M | F |  | M | F | M | F |
| 1.Mon | 76.9 | 78.9 | 86.1 | 13.7 | 31.1 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 3.8 | 8.5 |
| 2.Mokokchung | 48.9 | 56.4 | 66.1 | 5.2 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 10.5 | 9.0 | 6.2 | 8.5 |
| 3.Zunheboto | 56.3 | 58.5 | 66.6 | 4.9 | 16.6 | 15.0 | 14.7 | 17.2 | 4.8 | 14.5 |
| 4.Wokha | 60.1 | 64.0 | 77.1 | 3.6 | 15.9 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 0.6 | 2.4 |
| 5.Dimapur | 16.2 | 28.0 | 32.7 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 8.4 | 13.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 |
| 6.Phek | 68.6 | 66.3 | 81.8 | 16.9 | 49.0 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| 7.Tuensang | 76.6 | 79.6 | 90.7 | 17.6 | 36.6 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 6.1 | 10.4 |
| 8.Longleng | 73.6 | 75.7 | 84.0 | 11.5 | 23.1 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 10.6 | 22.1 |
| 9.Kiphire | 67.1 | 64.9 | 82.4 | 20.5 | 44.4 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 7.0 |
| 10.Kohima | 38.9 | 47.0 | 74.8 | 2.4 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| 11.Peren | 64.6 | 64.4 | 73.5 | 36.8 | 45.8 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 9.5 | 10.1 |
| Nagaland | 55.2 | 62.0 | 74.7 | 6.2 | 17.1 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 5.1 |

Source: Primary Census, Abstract, Data Highlights , Nagaland Series 14

## (iii) Household Industry Workers:

In 2011, the 'household industry workers' constitute $2.3 \%$ of the total workers in Nagaland, which showed a decline from $2.6 \%$ in 2001. Among the districts, Peren showed the highest percentage of household industry workers with $4.2 \%$, an increase from $3.2 \%$ in 2001. Mon and Tuensang Districts had the least percentage of household industry workers with $1.1 \%$ each in 2011.

Table 3.12: District wise Employment in household industries in Nagaland, 2011.

| \multirow{2}{*}{ Districts } |  | Percentage of H/H industry workers |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Rural |  | Urban |  |
|  |  |  | F | M | F |  |
| 1. | Mon | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 5.1 |
| 2. | Mokokchung | 3.8 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 8.1 |
| 3. | Zunheboto | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 9.0 |
| 4 | Wokha | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 6.1 |
| 5 | Dimapur | 3.4 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 6.8 |
| 6 | Phek | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| 7 | Tuensang | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 5.0 |
| 8 | Longleng | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 8.2 |
| 9 | Kiphire | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 3.8 |
| 10 | Kohima | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 11 | Peren | 4.2 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 5.7 |
| Nagaland |  | 2.3 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 5.3 |

Source: Primary Census, Abstract, Data Highlights, Nagaland Series 14
Gender data reveals that except for rural Longleng, in all the districts (in both rural and urban areas) the proportions of female worker were higher than that of male in household industry sector. The highest female proportion in rural area was in Dimapur with $5.5 \%$, and in
urban area it was Zunheboto with $9 \%$. The lowest was Mon with $0.9 \%$ in rural area and Phek with $1.6 \%$ in urban area.

## (iv) Other Workers:

Of the total workers in Nagaland, 36\% accounted for 'other workers' in 2011. Out of which, $49 \%$ are in the rural area and $51 \%$ in urban area. Among the districts, Dimapur exhibited the highest proportion with $74.5 \%$ and the lowest is Mon with $14.7 \%$. In rural area, the highest female worker in other workers category was Dimapur with $48.5 \%$, and in urban it was Kohima with $87.8 \%$. In all the districts, the female proportion happened to be lower than that of male in this sector.

Table 3.13: District wise, \% of Employment in Other Works (Services) in Nagaland, 2011

| Districts |  | Total | Rural |  | Urban |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | M | F | M | F |
| 1. | Mon | 14.7 | 13.1 | 5.0 | 80.3 | 55.3 |
| 2. | Mokokchung | 38.2 | 30.7 | 19.6 | 86.6 | 74.4 |
| 3. | Zunheboto | 26.1 | 24.9 | 13.6 | 87.7 | 59.9 |
| 4 | Wokha | 28.7 | 24.9 | 10.7 | 92.5 | 75.6 |
| 5 | Dimapur | 74.5 | 61.8 | 48.5 | 95.4 | 87.4 |
| 6 | Phek | 25.9 | 28.4 | 11.6 | 81.0 | 48.1 |
| 7 | Tuensang | 18.3 | 16.2 | 5.2 | 74.0 | 48.0 |
| 8 | Longleng | 20.2 | 19.3 | 11.2 | 76.0 | 46.5 |
| 9 | Kiphire | 26.7 | 29.7 | 10.9 | 73.9 | 44.8 |
| 10 | Kohima | 57.4 | 48.9 | 20.0 | 96.0 | 87.8 |
| 11 | Peren | 25.1 | 26.4 | 16.4 | 50.4 | 38.5 |
| Nagaland | 36.0 | 29.4 | 14.9 | 89.2 | 72.5 |  |

Source: Primary Census, Abstract, Data Highlights, Nagaland Series 14

The total number of workers in 2011 census was $9,74,122$ in Nagaland, which was increased from 849982 in 2001, that shows an increase by $14.60 \%$. Out of the total workers, $55.2 \%$ were cultivators, $6.5 \%$ agricultural labourers, $1.7 \%$ household workers and $36.0 \%$ were other workers in 2011. During the last decade the proportion of workers in agricultural sector was declined by $7.5 \%$, yet Nagaland continues to be an agrarian economy as $61.7 \%$ are engaged in this sector. This is followed by service activities, which proportion was increased by $7 \%$ and the lowest proportion is household workers which showed a decline by $1.9 \%$ during 2001-2011.

Figure no.3.4: Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2011


Source: Primary Census, Abstract, Data Highlights, Nagaland Series 14

### 3.5 PROFILE OF SAMPLE AREA

### 3.5.1 General profile

## MOKOKCHUNG DISTRICT:

It is one of the 11 (eleven) districts in Nagaland state, bounded by Assam state on the North, Tuensang and Longleng districts on the east, Zunheboto district on the south and Wokha district and Assam on the west. It lies between $25^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ to $27^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ north latitude and $93^{\circ}$ $53^{\prime}$ to $94^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$ east longitude. The district is sub divided into 8 (eight) Census Circles such as, Ongpangkong, Kubolong, Changtongya, Chuchuyimlang, Tuli, Alongkima, Longchem, and Mangkolemba. The district is comprised of 92 villages and 4 (four) towns ${ }^{149 \& 150}$. Mokokchung district occupies a total area of 1615 sq.km that accounts for $9.74 \%$ of State's geographical area. Mokokchung district has a total population of 193171, comprised of 100229 male and 92942 female.

A general description of population and infrastructure of the sample towns and villages in Mokokchung district is highlighted in this section of the chapter.

[^39]Table 3.14(a): Town/Village Population \& Institutions Profile, Mokokchung District

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Sl } \\ \text { no }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Sample town/ } \\ \text { Village }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Distance from } \\ \text { H.Qs } \\ \text { (in Kms.) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Total } \\ \text { household }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { HH } \\ \text { size }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Population }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Total }\end{array}$ | Male | Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

Source: Provisional Population Totals, 2011, Nagaland series 14

Table 3.14(b): Institutions Profile, Mokokchung District

| Sample town/Village | Institutions infrastructure |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Banks | Health | School/college |
| Mokokchung Town | 10 | hospitals-2 <br> SC-4 | Colleges general-3, Professional colleges- 2, <br> Nursing college-1, ITI-1, HSS-10, HS\&below -26 |
| Ungma village | - | SC-1 | HSS-1, Schools-6 |
| Mokokchung village | - | SC-1 | Schools-3 |
| Khensa village | - | SC-1 | Schools-6 |

Source: Provisional Population Totals, 2011, Nagaland series 14
Mokokchung Town: Mokokchung Town, the headquarters of Mokokchung district is located 152 kms away from the State capital Kohima. According to 2011 census, it has a total population of 55725 comprised of $53.33 \%$ of male and $46.69 \%$ of female. The household size is 4.5 persons.

The town is linked by National Highway (NH) 61 with the state capital that passes through Wokha district. Telephone facilities and Post office are available. Other infrastructure in Mokokchung town are, 1 (one) District hospital, 1(one) TB Hospital and 4(four) Dispensaries/Sub centre that caters to the health care requirements of its citizens and also of other neighboring districts like Longleng, Zunheboto, and Tuensang. There are 8 (eight) Public sector banks, 1(one) Regional Business office of SBI and 1(one) State Co-operative Bank.

The educational institutions in the town are 1 (one) Government B.Ed.College, 1(one) District Institute of Education and Training(DIET) college, 1 (one) Government College, 2(two) Private Colleges, 1(one) Nursing College, 1(one) Industrial Training Institute (ITI)/Vocational Training Provider(VTP), 1(one) government and 6 (six) private Higher Secondary Schools, 20 (twenty) government schools and below, and 11 (eleven) private schools.

## Profile of the three sample villages in Mokokchung district:

Ungma village: The village is 2 kms away from the district headquarters Mokokchung. As per the record of Village council Chairman (VCC) during 2011-2012, there were 2315 households with a total population of 9984 , comprised of $51.84 \%$ male and $48.15 \%$ female. Average household size was 4.3 persons and sex ratio 929 . The village literacy rate was $89 \%$.

There were 4 (four) government primary schools, 1 (one) Government middle school, 1 (one) higher secondary school and 1 (one) private school, with a total of 1112 students and 128 teachers. The village has 1 (one) sub-health centre with 1 (one) Doctor, 1 (one) compounder and 4 (four) nurses.

Mokokchung village: Mokokchung village is situated 2 kms away from Mokokchung town. As per the record of the village council chairman (VCC) during 2011-2012 there were 1315 households with a population of 5340 , comprised of $50.47 \%$ male and $49.53 \%$ female, sex ratio being 981 . The average household size was 4.1 persons and literacy rate of the village 82 per cent.

In respect of educational infrastructure Mokokchung village has 2 (two) government primary schools and 1 (one) government high school with a total of 243 students and 41 teachers. For health care, there is 1 (one) sub-centre manned by 1 (one) compounder, 1 (one) nurse and other supporting staff.

Khensa village: Khensa village is 5 (five) kms away from Mokokchung Town. According to the record of the village council chairman (VCC) in 2011-2012 the village has 745 households, with a population of 4117 comprising of $48.75 \%$ male and $51.25 \%$ female. The average household size of the village was 6.8 persons and sex ratio 1051. Literacy rate of the village was 84.50 per cent.

There were 4(four) government primary and 1(one) government middle school and 1 (one) private school, with a total of 376 students and 46 teachers. The village had 1 (one) subhealth centre with 1 (one) Doctor, 1 (one) compounder and 2 (two) nurses.

All the three sample villages are well connected by pucca roads and means of transportation are local taxis and private vehicles. The villages are connected with telephone facility and the nearest Post office and Banks that the villagers can access is in Mokokchung Town. The villages get piped supply water for drinking, supplemented by village community wells and the electricity connectivity and households with proper toilet facility were 100 per cent.

Women in all the sample villages engage themselves in social and economic activities by registering themselves as members in women organizations. They participate in SHG's and church activities, besides participate in VDB. No specific programme for women being implemented by the villages

## TUENSANG DISTRICT:

Tuensang is the easternmost of the eleven district of Nagaland, with a total geographical area of 4228 sq.km with a population of 196801 , which comprised of 101977 male and 94824 female with a sex ratio of 930 . Its density of population is 76 per sq. km. It has 16 administrative circles.

A general description of population and infrastructure of the sample towns and villages in Tuensang district is highlighted in this section of the chapter.

Table 3.15(a): Town/Village population profile, Tuensang district (2011-12)

| Sample town/ <br> villages | Distance from <br> Headquarters <br> (in Kms) | No. of <br> Households | Size of <br> Households | Population <br> Total | Male | Female | Literacy <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuensang <br> Town | 267 <br> Kms from Kohima | 6802 | 5.4 | 36774 | 19471 | 17303 | 73.7 |
| Tuensang <br> village | 5 kms from <br> Tuensang Town | 1682 | 5.3 | 9029 | 3947 | 5082 | 36.1 |
| Chare village | 60 kms from <br> Tuensang | 453 | 9.1 | 4130 | 2008 | 2122 | NA |
| Kuthur village | 10 kms from <br> Tuensang | 590 | 8.5 | 5071 | 2536 | 2535 | NA |
| Rural total |  | 2725 | 6.6 | 18230 | 8491 | 9739 | NA |

Source: Provisional Population Totals, 2011, Nagaland series 14 and Household survey, 2011-12
NA: not available.
Table 3.15(b): Institutions profile, Tuensang district (2011-12)

| Sample Town/villages | Institutions infrastructure |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Banks | Health | School/college |

Source: Provisional Population Totals, 2011, Nagaland series 14 Household survey, 2011-12

Tuensang Town: Tuensang Town, the headquarters of Tuensang district is in the easternmost part of Nagaland, located 267 kms away from the state capital Kohima. It has a total population of 36774 , comprised of $52.95 \%$ male and $47.05 \%$ female. The household size was 5.4 persons.

Tuensang town is connected through NH no. 61 (Kma-Mkg: 152 kms ) and NH no. 155 (Mkg-Tsg: 115 kms ). Post office and telephone facilities are available. There were only two banks viz, State Bank of India and State co-operative bank. For health care services, the district has 1(one) District Hospital, 3(three) Dispensaries, and 1(one) Nursing college.

Educational institutions in the town are 2 colleges (1-Government college and 1Private college), 1(one) DIET college, and 1(one) ITI, 1 (one) Government higher secondary school, 8(eight) Government and 6(six) private schools. The proportion of household with electricity connectivity was 94 per cent, safe drinking water supply was 82 per cent and sanitation was 85 per cent during the time of survey.

## Profile of the three sample villages of the Tuensang district:

Tuensang village: Tuensang village is 5 kms away from its district headquarters Tuensang town. Tuensang village is the oldest and largest village in eastern Nagaland. As per the record of the village council chairman (VCC), the village had a total population of 9027 consisting of $43.72 \%$ male and $56.29 \%$ female. The average household size in the village was 5.9 persons and sex ratio 798. The literacy rate of the village was 36.1 per cent.

The educational institutions in the village are, 4(four) Government primary schools, 1(one) Government middle school and 2(two) private schools. The total enrolment in government schools was 680 and 18 teachers, while private schools had 390 students and 26 teachers.

Chare village: Chare village is 60 kms away from the district headquarters Tuensang. According to the record of village council chairman (VCC), the village has a total population of 4130 consisting of $48.61 \%$ male and $51.38 \%$ female, the average household size was 4.2 persons during the time of survey (2011-12) and sex ratio was 1000 . The village literacy rate stood at 91 per cent.

The village had the following educational institutions 2(two) Government primary schools and 1 (one) Government middle school with a total enrolment of 163 and 23 teachers.

Kuthur village: Kuthur is 10 kms from Tuensang town, the district headquarters. As per the record of the village council chairman (VCC) the village had a total population of 5071 comprised of $50 \%$ male and $49.99 \%$ female with an average household size of 5.3 persons. The sex ratio of the village was 899 . Literacy rate of the village as per their record was 60 per cent.

The educational institutions in the village were, 3(three) Government primary schools, 1(one) government middle school, 1(one) government high school, and 1(one) private school, with a total of 584 students and 44 teachers.

In all the villages the road connectivity to the villages was pucca and means of public transport was taxis and also bus for Kuthur. For health care services, each village had a sub-health centre with compounder and nurses, but doctor was available only at Kuthur. Households are well connected with electricity and have access to telephone facilities but there are no post office and Bank in the villages and the nearest place for these facilities is at Tuensang town only. Safe drinking water is available in the villages by means of public water supply and community well.

The villages have not implemented women specific programs so far; however, women in the villages participate in VDB, various women organizations, church activities and SHGs.

### 3.5.2 DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF SAMPLE HOUSEHOLDS

## (i) Sample Households and Population:

The sample survey conducted during 2011-12 covers a total household of 503, comprised of 200 from urban area (Mokokchung-100 and Tuensang-100) and 303 from rural area (Mokokchung -150 and Tuensang-153). The total population in sample aggregate was 2261 comprised of $46.83 \%$ in Mokokchung, $53.16 \%$ in Tuensang district.

## Urban area:

In urban area, total sample population was 854 , comprised of $37.77 \%$ of sample aggregate, out of which, Mokokchung and Tuensang constituted $51.17 \%$ and $48.82 \%$ respectively. Male comprised of $48.71 \%$ and female $51.28 \%$ with sex ratio of 1053 .

Table 3.16: Sample households and population in urban area

| District | Sample Town | No. of <br> Households | Households <br> Size | Population <br> Total | Male | Female | Sex ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mokokchung | Mokokchung <br> Town | 100 | 4.3 | 437 <br> $(51.17)$ | 206 | 231 | 1121 |
| Tuensang | Tuensang <br> Town | 100 | 4.1 | 417 <br> $(48.82)$ | 210 | 207 | 986 |
| Total |  | 200 | 4.2 | 854 <br> $(37.77)$ | 416 <br> $(48.71)$ | 438 <br> $(51.28)$ | 1053 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

Town: A total of 100 sample households were studied with a population of 417 comprised Mokokchung Town: A total of 100 sample households were taken with a population of 437 out of which $47.14 \%$ were male and $52.86 \%$ were female. The average household size in the sample town was 4.3 persons, and sex ratio 1121 .
Tuensang of $50.36 \%$ male and $49.64 \%$ female. The average household size was 4.1 persons and sex ratio 986 .

## Rural Area

In rural area, total sample population was 1407 , comprised of $62.23 \%$ of sample aggregate, out of which, Mokokchung and Tuensang constituted $44.21 \%$ and $55.79 \%$ respectively. Male comprised of $51.39 \%$ and female $48.61 \%$ with sex ratio of 946 .

Table 3.17: Profile of Sample households and population in rural area

| District | Sample villages | No. of <br> house- <br> holds | size of <br> house- <br> holds | Male | Female | Population <br> Total | Sex <br> ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Mokokchung | (i) Ungma | 50 | 3.9 | 92 | 105 | 197 | 1141 |
|  | (ii)Mokokchung | 50 | 4.3 | 113 | 106 | 219 | 938 |
|  | (iii) Khensa | 50 | 4.1 | 102 | 104 | 206 | 1020 |
| Sub-total |  | 150 | 4.1 | 307 | 315 | 622 | 1026 |
| Tuensang | (i)Tuensang | 50 | 5.9 | 163 | 130 | 293 | 798 |
|  | (ii) Chare | 54 | 4.2 | 114 | 114 | 228 | 1000 |
|  | (iii) Kuthur | 49 | 5.3 | 139 | 125 | 264 | 899 |
| Sub-total |  | 153 | 5.1 | 416 | 369 | 785 | 887 |
| Total rural |  | 303 | 4.6 | 723 | 684 | 1407 | 946 |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12

## Mokokchung District:

Total rural sample household was 150, with a population of 622 (comprised of $49.36 \%$ male and $50.64 \%$ of female), with a sex ratio of 1026 . Household size is 4.1 .

The three sample villages of Mokokchung district are Ungma, Mokokchung, and Khensa villages with 50 sample households each, covering population of 197, 219 and 206 respectively (comprised of 46.70 \% male and 53.30 \% female in Ungma, $51.60 \%$ of male and 48.40 \% female in Mokokchung and $49.51 \%$ of male and $50.49 \%$ female in Khensa. Average household size was $3.9,4.3$ and 4.1 persons respectively with sex ratio of 1141, 938 and 1020 respectively.

## Tuensang District:

Total rural sample household was 153 , with a population of 785 (comprised of $52.99 \%$ male and $47.01 \%$ of female), with a sex ratio of 887 . Household size is 5.1.

The three sample villages of Tuensang district are Tuensang, Chare and Kuthur, from each of the village the sample households taken was 50,54 and 49 respectively, with a population of 293, 228 and 264 respectively (comprised of $55.63 \%$ male and $44.37 \%$ female in Tuensang, $50 \%$ each in Chare and $52.65 \%$ of male and $47.35 \%$ of female in Kuthur) . The average household size was 5.9 persons, 4.2 and 5.3 persons respectively. The corresponding sex ratios were 798,1000 and 899 respectively.

## (ii) Age composition and sex ratio of sample population:

The sample population has been divided into five different age groups, such as $0-14-$ childern, 15-24 as junior youth, 25-34 as senior youth, 35-59 as adults and 60 years and above as senior citizens.

The total sample population is comprised mostly of adults ( $35-59$ years) with $34.45 \%$. Next follows junior youth (15-24 years), which shared a proportion of $30.16 \%$, followed by senior youth (25-34 years) with $15.48 \%$. However, when compared these two age cohorts, the total youth population accounted for $45.64 \%$. Children and older/senior population shares are relatively smaller in the sample. In both urban and rural areas similar proportions are shown in table no.3.18.

Table 3.18: Age composition and sex ratio of sample population:

| Area | $0-14$ |  | $15-24$ |  | $25-34$ |  | $35-59$ |  | 60 and above |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ | SR | $\%$ | SR | $\%$ | SR | $\%$ | SR | $\%$ | SR | $\%$ | SR |
| Urban | 19.79 | 988 | 24.36 | 891 | 16.63 | 1088 | 35.71 | 1364 | 3.51 | 250 | 100 | 1053 |
| Rural | 12.79 | 1000 | 33.69 | 874 | 14.78 | 1059 | 33.69 | 1000 | 5.05 | 732 | 100 | 1361 |
| Total | 15.44 | 994 | 30.16 | 879 | 15.48 | 1071 | 34.45 | 1128 | 4.47 | 554 | 100 | 1248 |

Source: Field survey 2011-12
The sex ratio is 1248 for the sample aggregate. Among the different age groups in sample aggregate, it was highest for the age group of 35-59 years with 1128 . While the lowest sex ratio was found in the age group of 60 years and above with 554 . The data reveals that the ratio is higher in rural area than in urban area in total sample population. However, in individual age cohort, it was higher in urban area within the age 15-24 through 35-59 and lower for $0-14$ and 60 and above as compared to rural area.

Urban: The sex ratio in the urban area was 1053 in sample data, which was higher than that of 2011 census with 905. Among the sample towns, it was higher in Mokokchung with 1121 than

986 for Tuensang. Among different age groups, it ranges from 1750 for $35-59$ years to 250 for 60 years and above.

Rural: As per sample data the sex ratio in rural area was 1361 as compared to 942 of the 2011 census. It was higher in Tuensang with 1608 than in Mokokchung with 1026. In the sample villages highest and lowest was Ungma and Tuensang village with1141 and 798 respectively.

Among the age-groups, the highest sex ratio was for the age-group of 25-34 years with 1059 and the lowest was of 60 and above years with 732 . Tuensang district was higher with 1608 than Mokokchung at 1026.

### 3.5.3 EDUCATIONAL PROFILE OF SAMPLE POPULATION:

The population in the sample is classified by their levels of education into five categories such as below high school, high school, higher secondary, graduate, and postgraduate and above.
(i) Urban area

## Proportions by levels of education (gender wise):

Out of total sample population (827) in urban area, 250 were graduates, which accounted the highest proportion of $30 \%$, followed by high school with $21.8 \%$ and below high school with $20.2 \%$, and higher secondary with $17.2 \%$. The lowest was Post graduates \& above with $10.6 \%$. The gender segregated data also show that graduates constituted the largest proportions for both male and female sample population, however, the proportion among male $32.84 \%$ is higher than that of female ( $27.76 \%$ ), followed by High school where the proportion among female $(23.29 \%)$ is higher than that of male (20.15\%) and higher secondary (male proportion is higher). The fourth is below high school where the proportion is comparatively higher with female. The lowest is post graduate for both, but female proportion continues to show larger proportion (11\%) than that of male (9.95\%).

Majority of the sample population were of graduates, while the lowest was of post graduate degree for both the sexes. Except for graduate and higher secondary, the female proportions are higher than that of male.


Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Table 3.19: Sex wise \% distribution of population by level of education (urban area).

| Area | Sex | Below high <br> school | High <br> school | Higher <br> secondary | Graduate |  <br> above | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Total | Male | 19.15 | 20.15 | 17.91 | 32.84 | 9.95 | 100 |
|  | Female | 21.18 | 23.29 | 16.47 | 27.76 | 11.29 | 100 |
|  | Total | 20.2 | 21.8 | 17.2 | 30.2 | 10.6 | 100 |
| Mokokchung | Male | 13.86 | 20.79 | 16.83 | 36.63 | 11.88 | 100 |
|  | Female | 19.73 | 17.94 | 18.83 | 28.25 | 15.25 | 100 |
|  | Total | 16.94 | 19.29 | 17.88 | 32.24 | 13.65 | 100 |
| Tuensang | Male | 24.5 | 19.5 | 19 | 29 | 8.00 | 100 |
|  | Female | 22.77 | 29.21 | 13.86 | 27.23 | 6.93 | 100 |
|  | Total | 23.63 | 24.38 | 16.42 | 28.11 | 7.46 | 100 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Similarly, in both the sample districts, graduates constituted the highest proportion of population. However, it is higher in Mokokchung (32\%) than in Tuensang ( $28 \%$ ). Further, the second highest is high school in both the districts, but Tuensang shows a relatively higher proportion than Mokokchung. Interestingly, at lower levels of education (below high school and high school) Tuensang exhibited higher proportion, while at higher levels (higher secondary, graduates and post graduates \& above) Mokokchung exhibited higher proportions.

The gender segregated data in both the sample districts indicated that graduates comprised of larger proportions of population among male as well as female. But the proportions are higher among male in both the sample districts. The lowest is in post graduate \& above level amongst both the gender in the entire sample districts. However, the proportion among female is higher than that of male in Mokokchung; whereas, in Tuensang it is higher among male.

In Tuensang, only at high school level the female proportion is relatively higher as compared to that of male. In contrast, in Mokokchung, the female proportion is relatively
higher at below high school, higher secondary and post graduate $\&$ above as compared to that of male.

The urban data reveals that majority of the sample population were graduates, nevertheless the female proportion was lower than that of male (with $28 \%$ for female as against $32 \%$ for male). For relatively more developed district as Mokokchung has shown a similar distribution ( $37 \%$ male and $28 \%$ female). On the other hand, in Tuensang, which is one of the least developed districts, majority of the sample male population were graduate ( $29 \%$ ), but for female it was high school level (29\%).

## Gender Composition by Levels of Education:

The urban sample population with Graduate degree was comprised of $54 \%$ of male and $46 \%$ of female. This is followed by High school with $45 \%$ of male and $55 \%$ of female, and the Post Graduate and above with $54.55 \%$ of female and $45.45 \%$ of male. The composition of gender among the levels of education shows that female is more than the male at Post Graduate and above, High School and Below High School, while at Graduate and Higher Secondary levels male is more than female.

Figure no.3.6: Composition of gender by levels of education (in \%)-Urban


Source: Field Survey, 2011-12
A similar scenario is revealed by Mokokchung urban sample population. In Mokokchung, the female percentage is highest in below high school (61.11\%) followed by post graduate and above (58.62) and higher secondary (55.26\%), which percentages are higher than that of males'. In other levels, male share higher magnitude with $54 \%$ in graduate and 51.21 percent in High school. Conversely in Tuensang, the female ratio is higher than that of male only in High school with $60.20 \%$. In other levels of education, male share is higher as indicated in annexure 3.15 .

## (i) Rural area:

## Gender Composition by Levels of Education:

Out of total rural population of 1375 in the sample, majority of them (465) were with high school level of education (33.82\%), followed by below high school ( $32.87 \%$ ). This implies that more than $66 \%$ of the population in the rural area were of High School and below level of education. Only $2.40 \%$ and $14.40 \%$ were with post graduate and graduate levels respectively.

By gender concerns, among rural female population, $69 \%$ were with High School and below levels of education and at the same level was $64 \%$ for male. On the other hand $3 \%$ of female population were with post graduate while for male, it was only $2 \%$.


Source: Field Survey, 2011-12

Table 3.20: Educational profile (in percentage) of sample population-RURAL.

| District/area | Sex | Below high <br> school | High <br> school | Higher <br> secondary | Graduate | Post-graduate <br> \& above | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mokokchung | M | 18.58 | 30.07 | 22.30 | 24.66 | 4.39 | 100 |
|  | F | 23.68 | 28.62 | 20.07 | 21.38 | 6.25 | 100 |
|  | T | 21.17 | 29.33 | 21.17 | 23.00 | 5.33 | 100 |
|  | M | 36.41 | 38.83 | 14.81 | 9.95 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | F | 48.21 | 35.54 | 10.74 | 5.23 | 0.28 | 100 |
|  | T | 41.94 | 37.29 | 12.90 | 7.74 | 0.13 | 100 |
| Rural Total | M | 28.95 | 35.17 | 17.94 | 16.10 | 1.84 | 100 |
|  | F | 37.03 | 32.38 | 14.99 | 12.59 | 3.00 | 100 |
|  | T | 32.87 | 33.82 | 16.51 | 14.40 | 2.40 | 100 |

Source: Field Survey, 2011-12
A perusal of table no. 3.20, it is revealed that among the total sample population, the proportion of post graduate and above is smaller, which is lower in Tuensang ( $0.13 \%$ ) than

Mokokchung (5\%). Moreover, the proportions for varying levels of education are more or less equally dispersed in Mokokchung, in total as well as gender concerns (both male and female). On the other hand, in Tuensang, which is one of the relatively least developed districts in the state, more than two third of the population were with only high school and below level of education. Which is same for gender segregated data (male is $75 \%$ and female is $83 \%$ ).

In rural area, majority of the population were at high school level with $35 \%$ for male and $32 \%$ for female. Similarly, Mokokchung has $30 \%$ of male and $29 \%$ of female were with high school level of education. It was same for male (39\%) in Tuensang, but majority of female were with below high school level of education (48\%).

## Composition of Gender by Levels of Education:

Majority of rural sample populations were with high school education, which comprised of $54 \%$ of male and $46 \%$ of female. The lowest proportion was of post graduate and above, which comprised of $61 \%$ of female and $39 \%$ of male.


Source: Field Survey, 2011-12
The composition of gender in all the levels of education, the female proportion is higher only at Post Graduate and above and Below High School levels, while male proportion was higher at Graduate and Higher Secondary and high school levels.

## STATUS OF EDUCATION

Educational profile of the two sample districts was also examined under three categories, such as, who are (i) Currently attending (ii) Attended (iii) Never attended.

## (a) Currently attending:

Of the total sample population, 874 were currently attending schools and colleges that accounts for nearly $40 \%$ of its total, of which, the proportion of male (54\%) is higher than that of female ( $46 \%$ ). On the other hand, 1326 persons had already attended education and currently either working or seeking job that accounted for $60 \%$ (comprised of $48 \%$ male and $52 \%$ female). Further, only $0.5 \%$ has never attended school which is negligible, among those the proportion of male ( $44 \%$ ) was found to be lower than female ( $56 \%$ ).

In rural and urban areas, the proportion of sample population who had attended education but not currently attending was $60 \%$ each. However, the proportion of male ( $46 \%$ ) is lower than female (54\%) in urban area, but in rural area it was $50 \%$ each. The proportion of currently attending education was found to be marginally higher in urban (40\%) than in rural area ( $39 \%$ ). Under this category, in both the areas male proportion ( $52 \%$ - urban and $54 \%$ rural) was higher than the female ( $48 \%$ urban and $46 \%$ rural). The proportion of population who had never attended education was negligible in both the areas, of which in urban area all were male, while in rural area male accounted for $29 \%$ and $71 \%$ female (Annexure no.3.16 and 3.17).

Total urban currently attending different levels of education at the time of survey was $327(40 \%$ of the total sample population), where the percentage of male (52\%) was higher than that of female ( $48 \%$ ). Mokokchung ( $38 \%$ ) and Tuensang had ( $42 \%$ ) of currently attending education. Also it is observed that, in Mokokchung female attendance was highest in below high school with $44.44 \%$ and lowest in high school with $6.17 \%$. Female proportion was higher than male in levels of below high school, higher secondary and post graduate. In Tuensang highest and lowest female were in below high school and post graduate levels with $44.16 \%$ and $1.30 \%$ respectively. Female proportion higher than male was found only in below high school and graduate level.

Total rural currently attending was 547 ( $39 \%$ of total population) consisting of $54 \%$ male higher than that of female at $46 \%$. In rural area, currently attending education consists of $29 \%$ and $48 \%$ in Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively. In Mokokchung female currently attending was higher than male in all levels except graduates where male percentage was higher. The proportion of female was highest in below high school level with $32.58 \%$ and lowest in post graduate level with $7.05 \%$. Similarly, Tuensang also show highest number of female in below high school level with $42.86 \%$ which was higher than male and lowest was in the post graduate level with 0.62 and male percentage was nil at that level.

In rural area currently attending was very high in the lower levels, but the number goes on diminishing at the higher levels only few get to the top. The picture of rural area is a matter of concern.

Table 3.21: Currently attending different levels of education in 2011-12 (in \%)

| Districts |  | Level of education |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sex | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| Mokokchung Town | M | 32.5 | 22.5 | 12.5 | 31.25 | 1.25 | 100 |
|  | F | 44.44 | 6.17 | 16.05 | 20.99 | 12.35 | 100 |
|  | T | 38.51 | 14.29 | 14.29 | 26.09 | 6.83 | 100 |
| Tuensang Town | M | 42.86 | 19.78 | 10.99 | 20.88 | 5.49 | 100 |
|  | F | 44.16 | 19.48 | 10.39 | 24.68 | 1.30 | 100 |
|  | T | 43.45 | 19.64 | 10.71 | 22.62 | 3.57 | 100 |
| Urban Total | M | 38.01 | 21.05 | 11.70 | 25.73 | 3.51 | 100 |
|  | F | 44.87 | 12.82 | 13.46 | 21.79 | 7.05 | 100 |
|  | T | 41.28 | 17.13 | 12.54 | 23.85 | 5.20 | 100 |
| Rural Mokokchung | M | 32.14 | 16.67 | 15.48 | 29.76 | 5.95 | 100 |
|  | F | 32.58 | 17.98 | 17.98 | 23.60 | 7.87 | 100 |
|  | T | 32.37 | 17.34 | 16.76 | 26.59 | 6.94 | 100 |
| Rural Tuensang | M | 35.68 | 37.09 | 16.90 | 10.33 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | F | 42.86 | 33.54 | 19.25 | 3.73 | 0.62 | 100 |
|  | T | 38.77 | 35.56 | 17.91 | 7.49 | 0.27 | 100 |
| Rural Total | M | 34.68 | 31.31 | 16.50 | 15.82 | 1.68 | 100 |
|  | F | 39.20 | 28.00 | 18.80 | 10.80 | 3.20 | 100 |
|  | T | 36.75 | 29.80 | 17.55 | 13.53 | 2.38 | 100 |
| Nagaland (Total) | M | 35.90 | 27.56 | 14.74 | 19.44 | 2.35 | 100 |
|  | F | 41.38 | 22.17 | 16.75 | 15.02 | 4.68 | 100 |
|  | T | 38.44 | 25.06 | 15.68 | 17.39 | 3.43 | 100 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12.
Note: $1=$ Below high school, $2=$ High school, $3=$ Higher Secondary, $4=$ Graduate and $5=$ Post graduate and above; (figures in the parenthesis represent percentage).

## (b) Attended:

The total number of Urban attended was 498, out of the total $46 \%$ were male and $54 \%$ female, which accounts for $60 \%$. In Mokokchung the proportion of female graduates was highest with $32.39 \%$ which was lower than male and lowest was in below high school level with $5.63 \%$. Mokokchung exhibited that majority of female attended only up to secondary level where the percentage was higher than male and from graduation level onwards the number declined and was lower than male. In Tuensang the proportion of female was highest in high school with $35.20 \%$ which was higher than male, and the lowest was $9.60 \%$ in below high school level where the percentage was seen to be higher than male. Similar picture was
seen in Tuensang at lower levels where female proportion was higher and in post graduate level the female percentage was marginally higher than male.

Table 3.22: Attended different levels of Education in 2011-12 (Urban)

| District | sex | Attended $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| Mokokchung Town | M | 1.64 | 19.67 | 19.67 | 40.16 | 18.85 | 100 |
|  | F | 5.63 | 24.65 | 20.42 | 32.39 | 16.90 | 100 |
|  | T | 3.79 | 22.35 | 20.08 | 35.98 | 17.80 | 100 |
|  | M | 9.17 | 19.27 | 25.69 | 35.78 | 10.09 | 100 |
|  | F | 9.60 | 35.20 | 16.00 | 28.80 | 10.40 | 100 |
|  | T | 9.40 | 27.78 | 20.51 | 32.05 | 10.26 | 100 |
| Total Urban | M | 5.19 | 19.48 | 22.51 | 38.10 | 14.72 | 100 |
|  | F | 7.49 | 29.59 | 18.35 | 30.71 | 13.86 | 100 |
|  | T | 6.43 | 24.90 | 20.28 | 34.14 | 14.26 | 100 |
|  | M | 17.76 | 31.31 | 20.25 | 24.14 | 6.54 | 100 |
|  | F | 24.71 | 32.89 | 14.91 | 20.32 | 7.16 | 100 |
|  | T | 21.34 | 32.13 | 17.50 | 22.17 | 6.86 | 100 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
In rural area the total number of attended in different levels of education was 838, comprised of $51.57 \%$ from Mokokchung and $48.42 \%$ from Tuensang. Mokokchung had a total sample of $427(49.65 \%$ male and $50.35 \%$ female) and Tuensang $401(49.63 \%$ male and $50.37 \%$ female). Out of the total from Mokokchung female attended was highest in high school level $30.02 \%$, which was lower than male and lowest in the category was in post graduate level with $5.58 \%$. As for Tuensang, highest was in below high school level which was $52.48 \%$ higher than male percentage and lowest was found in higher secondary level with $3.96 \%$ lower than that of male. The data also revealed that in Mokokchung female attended different levels of education was higher than male only in the lower strata of education and higher than male in post graduate level. Conversely in Tuensang female attended was higher than male only in below high school level and in higher levels male percentage was higher. This is an indication of female not being able to pursue education further to the top except for few privileged ones.

Table 3.23: Attended different levels of Education in 2011-12 (rural)

| Villages | Sex | Attended |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| Ungma village | M | 4.76 | 41.27 | 33.33 | 19.05 | 1.59 | 100 |
|  | F | 5.97 | 41.79 | 20.90 | 25.37 | 5.97 | 100 |
|  | T | 5.38 | 41.54 | 26.92 | 22.31 | 3.85 | 100 |
| Mokokchung village | M | 14.63 | 31.71 | 18.29 | 29.27 | 6.10 | 100 |
|  | F | 25.64 | 26.92 | 14.10 | 24.36 | 8.97 | 100 |
|  | T | 20.00 | 29.38 | 16.25 | 26.88 | 7.50 | 100 |
| Khensa village | M | 19.40 | 34.33 | 25.37 | 17.91 | 2.99 | 100 |
|  | F | 27.14 | 31.43 | 28.57 | 11.43 | 1.43 | 100 |
|  | T | 23.36 | 32.85 | 27.01 | 14.60 | 2.19 | 100 |
| Mokokchung district Total | M | 13.21 | 35.38 | 25.00 | 22.64 | 3.77 | 100 |
|  | F | 20.00 | 33.02 | 20.93 | 20.47 | 5.58 | 100 |
|  | T | 16.63 | 34.19 | 22.95 | 21.55 | 4.68 | 100 |
| Tuensang village | M | 37.70 | 40.98 | 11.48 | 9.84 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | F | 60.66 | 29.51 | 4.92 | 4.92 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | T | 49.18 | 35.25 | 8.20 | 7.38 | 0.00 | 100 |
| Chare village | M | 34.21 | 35.53 | 13.16 | 17.11 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | F | 51.95 | 31.17 | 3.90 | 12.99 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | T | 43.14 | 33.33 | 8.50 | 15.03 | 0.00 | 100 |
| Kuthur village | M | 40.32 | 46.77 | 12.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | F | 45.31 | 51.56 | 3.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | T | 42.86 | 49.21 | 7.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
| Tuensang district Total | M | 37.19 | 40.70 | 12.56 | 9.55 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | F | 52.48 | 37.13 | 3.96 | 6.44 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | T | 44.89 | 38.90 | 8.23 | 7.98 | 0.00 | 100 |
| Rural total | M | 24.82 | 37.96 | 18.98 | 16.55 | 1.95 | 100 |
|  | F | 35.73 | 35.01 | 12.71 | 13.67 | 2.88 | 100 |
|  | T | 30.31 | 36.47 | 15.82 | 14.98 | 2.42 | 100 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12.
Note: $1=$ Below high school, $2=$ High school, $3=$ Higher Secondary, $4=$ Graduate and $5=$ Post graduate and above; (figures in the parenthesis represent percentage)

## (c) Never Attended:

Persons who did not have formal schooling are included in 'never attended' category. Sample study showed that $0.4 \%$ of the sample population had never attended formal schooling, which comprises of $0.3 \%$ male and $0.4 \%$ female. The figures showed that women percentage of never attended category was more which also indicate that women are placed in the disadvantaged group.

In urban area never attended formal schooling was $0.2 \%$ in sample aggregate, Tuensang town had $0.4 \%$ and Mokokchung was nil. Rural data showed $0.5 \%$ in aggregate, three of the six villages had persons who had never attended formal schooling. Ungma village had $0.5 \%$, Mokokchung village had $0.9 \%$, and Chare village 1.7\%.

From the total sample population attended but currently not attending education were 1326 which accounted for $58.65 \%$ of its total. Out of which, $48 \%$ were male whose percentage is lower than that of female at $52 \%$.

### 3.5.4: DISTRIBUTION OF ECONOMICALLY ACTIVE POPULATION

Table 3.24: Distribution of economically active population in the sample area (in \%)

| District | Area | Employed person |  |  | Dependant population |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Mokokchung | Rural | 54.95 | 45.05 | 58.48 | 44.68 | 55.32 | 58.96 |
|  | Urban | 47.12 | 52.88 | 41.52 | 47.16 | 52.84 | 41.04 |
|  | Total | 51.70 | 48.30 | 100.00 | 45.70 | 54.30 | 100.00 |
|  | Rural | 50.68 | 49.32 | 66.22 | 54.38 | 45.62 | 64.78 |
|  | Urban | 43.33 | 56.67 | 33.78 | 54.31 | 45.69 | 35.22 |
|  | Total | 48.20 | 51.80 | 100.00 | 54.35 | 45.65 | 100.00 |
|  | Rural | 52.81 | 47.19 | 62.12 | 50.49 | 49.51 | 62.31 |
|  | Urban | 45.53 | 54.47 | 37.88 | 51.01 | 48.99 | 37.69 |
|  | Total | 50.05 | 49.95 | 100.00 | 50.68 | 49.32 | 100.00 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
To arrive at a conclusion of distribution of economically active population total employed persons from the sample were taken out to show the dependent population in the sample area. Dependent population includes those attending education, minor, illiterate and unemployed persons. In total sample 945 ( $41.80 \%$ ) are employed and 1316 ( $58.20 \%$ ) were dependant population. In Mokokchung district a total of 501 ( $51.50 \%$ male and $48.505 \%$ female) were employed. The dependent population comprised of 558 ( $45.70 \%$ male and $54.30 \%$ female) respectively. In Tuensang district employed persons consists of 444 (48.20\% male and $51.80 \%$ female) and dependent population consisted of 758 ( $54.35 \%$ male and $45.65 \%$ female).

By area concern, economically active population in rural area was $62.12 \%$ and in urban it was $37.88 \%$. The percentage of rural workers by gender show more active male participation with $52.64 \%$, and female participation was only $47.36 \%$. Whereas, in urban area there was more female participation with $54.47 \%$ and male active population consists of only $45.53 \%$, and in total also there were more women who are economically active with (49.95\% male and $50.05 \%$ female)respectively.

ANNEXURE

Annexure 3.1: Birth rate and Death rate in Nagaland

| Year | Birth Rate |  |  | Death Rate |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T | M | F | T | M | F |  |  |
| 2008 | 49133 | 27262 | 21871 | 7347 | 4198 | 3149 |  |  |
| 2009 | 42679 | 22667 | 20012 | 6465 | 3706 | 2759 |  |  |
| 2010 | 45269 | 24192 | 21077 | 6836 | 3762 | 3074 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Birth Rate (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T | M | F | T | T | M |  |  |
| 2008 | 19.87 | $(55.49)$ | $(44.51)$ | 1.72 | $(57.14)$ | $(42.86)$ |  |  |
| 2009 | 21.78 | $(53.11)$ | $(46.89)$ | 1.66 | $(57.32)$ | $(42.68)$ |  |  |
| 2010 | 16.21 | $(53.44)$ | $(46.56)$ | 1.68 | $(55.03)$ | $(44.97)$ |  |  |

Source: Statistical Handbook of Nagaland 2011 and 2012.and Nagaland HDR, 2004.
Annexure 3.2: School Enrolment in Nagaland

| Sl no | Levels of Education | 2007-08 |  |  | 2012-13 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1 | Higher secondary | 39473 | 35542 | 75015 | 15205 | 13663 | 28868 |
| 2 | Secondary | 84139 | 76213 | 160352 | 26742 | 26508 | 53250 |
| 3 | Upper primary | 45292 | 43460 | 88752 | 63774 | 62861 | 126635 |
| 4 | Primary | 80708 | 74905 | 155613 | 178547 | 175299 | 353846 |
| Nagaland |  | $\begin{aligned} & 24961 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 230120 | 479732 | 284268 | 278331 | 562599 |

Source: Statistical handbook of Nagaland 2006 \& 2009
Annexure 3.3: District wise enrolment of Govt. and Private schools in 2012-13

| Sl no | Districts | Primary |  |  | Upper primary |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1 | Mon | 18285 | 18853 | 37138 | 5318 | 5349 | 10667 |
| 2 | Tuensang | 18603 | 18525 | 37128 | 4940 | 5068 | 10008 |
| 3 | Mokok. | 16792 | 15861 | 32653 | 8155 | 8131 | 16286 |
| 4 | Zunh. | 13564 | 13282 | 26846 | 4317 | 4294 | 8611 |
| 5 | Wokha | 10745 | 11655 | 22400 | 3977 | 4133 | 8110 |
| 6 | Dimapur | 46793 | 44244 | 91037 | 16547 | 15878 | 32425 |
| 7 | Kohima | 17615 | 17635 | 35250 | 7272 | 7230 | 14502 |
| 8 | Phek | 12685 | 12012 | 24697 | 4580 | 4471 | 9051 |
| 9 | Longleng | 7043 | 7044 | 14087 | 2103 | 2156 | 4259 |
| 10 | Kiphire | 8019 | 7858 | 15877 | 2947 | 2804 | 5751 |
| 11 | Peren | 8403 | 8330 | 16733 | 3618 | 3347 | 6965 |
|  | Nagaland | 178547 | 175299 | 353846 | 63774 | 62861 | 126635 |

Source: UDISE data 2012-13, Directorate of School Education.

Annexure 3.3: District wise enrolment of Govt. and Private schools in 2012-13 (cont:)

| Sl no | Districts | Secondary |  |  | Higher secondary |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total |
|  |  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 1 | Mon | 2367 | 2243 | 4610 | 817 | 625 | 1442 |
| 2 | Tuensang | 2012 | 1972 | 3984 | 504 | 436 | 940 |
| 3 | Mokok. | 1885 | 2048 | 3933 | 878 | 923 | 1801 |
| 4 | Zunh. | 1831 | 1931 | 3762 | 456 | 481 | 937 |
| 5 | Wokha | 1499 | 1487 | 2986 | 489 | 617 | 1106 |
| 6 | Dimapur | 8050 | 8020 | 16070 | 6470 | 5755 | 12225 |
| 7 | Kohima | 4402 | 4353 | 8755 | 4258 | 3616 | 7874 |
| 8 | Phek | 2015 | 1969 | 3984 | 546 | 560 | 1096 |
| 9 | Longleng | 604 | 730 | 1334 | 176 | 164 | 340 |
| 10 | Kiphire | 941 | 861 | 1802 | 180 | 153 | 333 |
| 11 | Peren | 1136 | 894 | 2030 | 431 | 343 | 774 |
|  | Nagaland | 26742 | 26508 | 53250 | 15205 | 13663 | 28868 |

Source: UDISE data 2012-13, Directorate of School Education.
Annexure 3.4: Distribution of teachers in Govt. and Pvt. Schools in the State (2012-13).

| Sl.no | Districts | Male <br> Teachers | Female <br> Teachers | Total | Primary <br> Teachers | Upper <br> Primary <br> Teachers | secondary <br> Teachers | Higher <br> secondary <br> Teachers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Mon | 1350 | 679 | 2029 | 1220 | 680 | 104 | 25 |
| 2 | Tuensang | 1291 | 715 | 2006 | 1195 | 644 | 139 | 28 |
| 3 | Mokokchung | 1436 | 1718 | 3154 | 1756 | 1081 | 221 | 96 |
| 4 | Zunheboto | 1270 | 1040 | 2310 | 1437 | 804 | 66 | 3 |
| 5 | Wokha | 917 | 560 | 1447 | 857 | 563 | 33 | 20 |
| 6 | Dimapur | 2343 | 3442 | 5785 | 3127 | 2195 | 361 | 102 |
| 7 | Kohima | 1547 | 2243 | 3790 | 1717 | 1236 | 489 | 345 |
| 8 | Phek | 1094 | 541 | 1635 | 978 | 603 | 47 | 7 |
| 9 | Longleng | 640 | 356 | 996 | 667 | 293 | 27 | 9 |
| 10 | Kiphire | 553 | 218 | 771 | 405 | 347 | 19 | 0 |
| 11 | Peren | 664 | 481 | 1145 | 592 | 383 | 127 | 43 |
|  | Nagaland | 13105 | 11993 | 25098 | 13951 | 8829 | 1633 | 673 |

Source: UDISE data 2012-13, Directorate of School Education.
Annexure 3.5: Number of students in higher and professional education in Nagaland

| Sl.no | Types of institution | $2006-07$ |  |  | $2007-08$ |  | $2008-09$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | total |
| 1 | University | NA | NA |  | 237 | 202 | 439 | 212 | 260 | 472 |
| 2 | College of general <br> education | 12037 | 7692 | 19729 | 11818 | 10157 | 21975 | 12999 | 11800 | 24799 |
| 3 | Professional education <br> (i) teacher edu. | 105 | 151 | 256 | 86 | 173 | 259 | 70 | 159 | 229 |
|  | (ii)Agri. college | NA | NA | NA | 194 | 131 | 325 | 183 | 122 | 305 |
| 4 | Theology | 820 | 761 | 1581 | 690 | 739 | 1429 | 1256 | 1326 | 2582 |
| 5 | Law college | 149 | 77 | 226 | 306 | 143 | 449 | 233 | 119 | 352 |
|  | Total | 13111 | 8681 | 21792 | 13094 | 11545 | 24876 | 14953 | 13786 | 28739 |

Source: Statistical handbook of Nagaland, 2011

Annexure 3.6: Number of students undergoing technical courses (Nagaland)

| YEAR | Medical \& Allied courses |  |  |  | Engineering courses |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  |
| $2008-09$ | 82 | 29 | 111 | 151 | 34 | 185 |  |
| $2009-10$ | 69 | 60 | 129 | 130 | 33 | 163 |  |
| $2010-11$ | 63 | 56 | 119 | 162 | 46 | 191 |  |
| $2011-12$ | 59 | 68 | 127 | 149 | 42 | 191 |  |
| $2012-13$ | 79 | 68 | 147 | 145 | 64 | 209 |  |

Source - Directorate of IT \&TE, Government of Nagaland
Annexure 3.7: Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2001

| Sectors | Rural |  |  |  |  |  | Urban |  | Nagaland |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F |  |
| Cultivators | 537861 | 268540 | 269321 | 6572 | 3068 | 3504 | 544433 | 271608 | 272825 |  |
| Agricultural <br> Labourers | 32842 | 17673 | 15169 | 1010 | 468 | 542 | 33852 | 18141 | 15711 |  |
| H/H Industry <br> Workers | 14702 | 4923 | 9779 | 2370 | 1606 | 1764 | 18072 | 6529 | 11543 |  |
| Other Workers | 151981 | 107504 | 44477 | 10164483985 | 17659 | 253625 | 191489 | 62136 |  |  |
| Total | 737386 | 398640 | 338746 | 11159689127 | 23469 | 849982 | 487767 | 362215 |  |  |

Source: Census of India 2001-Provisional Population Totals, Paper-3 of 2001, Nagaland Series-13, 2001
Annexure 3.8: Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2011

| Sectors | Rural |  |  |  | Urban |  |  | Nagaland |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F |  |
| Cultivators | 516783 | 250559 | 266224 | 20919 | 8895 | 12024 | 537702 | 259454 | 278248 |  |
| Agril. Lab. | 55677 | 28133 | 27544 | 7285 | 3724 | 3561 | 62962 | 31857 | 31105 |  |
| H/H Industry <br> workers | 16259 | 6662 | 9597 | 6579 | 2821 | 3758 | 22838 | 9483 | 13355 |  |
| Other workers | 171641 | 118558 | 53083 | 178979 | 128005 | 50974 | 350620 | 46563 | 104057 |  |
| Total | 760360 | 403912 | 356448 | 213762 | 143445 | 70317 | 974122 | 547357 | 426765 |  |

Source: Census Abstract, Data Highlights, Nagaland Series 14, 2011

Annexure 3.9: District wise Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2001

| Districts | Cultivators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F |
| 1.Mon | 107372 | 56710 | 50662 | 640 | 403 | 437 | 108212 | 57113 | 51099 |
| 2.Mokokchung | 65090 | 32438 | 32652 | 276 | 109 | 167 | 65366 | 32547 | 32819 |
| 3.Zunheboto | 26943 | 14719 | 12224 | 716 | 261 | 455 | 27659 | 14980 | 12679 |
| 4.Wokha | 36099 | 16216 | 19883 | 528 | 277 | 251 | 36627 | 16493 | 20134 |
| 5.Dimapur | 28655 | 17451 | 11204 | 339 | 238 | 101 | 28994 | 17689 | 11305 |
| 6.Phek | 49659 | 22084 | 27575 | 2435 | 996 | 1439 | 52094 | 23080 | 29014 |
| 7.Tuensang | 151163 | 75643 | 75520 | 1161 | 684 | 477 | 152324 | 76327 | 75997 |
| 8.Longleng | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9.Kiphire | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10.Kohima | 72880 | 33279 | 39601 | 277 | 100 | 177 | 73157 | 33379 | 39778 |
| 11.Peren | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nagaland | 637861 | 268540 | 269321 | 6572 | 3068 | 3504 | 544433 | 271608 | 272825 |
| Districts | Agricultural Labour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.Mon | 5840 | 3424 | 2414 | 67 | 32 | 35 | 5907 | 3458 | 2449 |
| 2.Mokokchung | 6822 | 3061 | 3761 | 70 | 48 | 22 | 6892 | 3109 | 3783 |
| 3.Zunheboto | 1542 | 583 | 959 | 182 | 29 | 153 | 1724 | 612 | 1112 |
| 4.Wokha | 499 | 241 | 258 | 169 | 77 | 92 | 668 | 318 | 350 |
| 5.Dimapur | 4481 | 2799 | 1682 | 199 | 124 | 75 | 4680 | 2923 | 1757 |
| 6.Phek | 1277 | 707 | 570 | 84 | 33 | 51 | 1361 | 740 | 621 |
| 7.Tuensang | 6219 | 3228 | 2991 | 114 | 71 | 43 | 6333 | 3299 | 3034 |
| 8.Longleng | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9.Kiphire | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10.Kohima | 6162 | 3628 | 2534 | 125 | 54 | 71 | 6287 | 3682 | 2605 |
| 11.Peren | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nagaland | 32842 | 17673 | 15169 | 1010 | 468 | 542 | 33852 | 18141 | 15711 |
| Districts | Household Industry Workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.Mon | 940 | 363 | 587 | 581 | 381 | 200 | 1521 | 734 | 787 |
| 2.Mokokchung | 3525 | 1599 | 1926 | 531 | 186 | 345 | 4056 | 1785 | 2271 |
| 3.Zunheboto | 563 | 171 | 392 | 213 | 90 | 123 | 776 | 261 | 515 |
| 4.Wokha | 993 | 315 | 678 | 442 | 172 | 270 | 1435 | 487 | 948 |
| 5.Dimapur | 2148 | 498 | 1650 | 628 | 415 | 213 | 2776 | 913 | 1883 |
| 6.Phek | 1282 | 437 | 845 | 160 | 41 | 119 | 1442 | 478 | 964 |
| 7.Tuensang | 1461 | 581 | 880 | 101 | 49 | 52 | 1582 | 630 | 932 |
| 8.Longleng | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9.Kiphire | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10.Kohima | 3790 | 969 | 2821 | 714 | 272 | 442 | 4504 | 1241 | 3263 |
| 11.Peren | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nagaland | 14702 | 4923 | 9779 | 3370 | 1606 | 1764 | 18072 | 6529 | 11543 |
| Districts | Other Workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.Mon | 10130 | 8237 | 1893 | 3145 | 2496 | 649 | 13275 | 10733 | 2542 |
| 2.Mokokchung | 22390 | 15376 | 7014 | 10075 | 7795 | 2280 | 32465 | 23171 | 9294 |
| 3.Zunheboto | 26605 | 12552 | 14053 | 5293 | 4193 | 1100 | 31898 | 16745 | 15153 |
| 4.Wokha | 10391 | 8472 | 1919 | 7332 | 5654 | 1678 | 17723 | 14126 | 3597 |
| 5.Dimapur | 24985 | 19342 | 5643 | 40825 | 36448 | 4377 | 65810 | 55790 | 10020 |
| 6.Phek | 13026 | 10015 | 3011 | 3697 | 3069 | 628 | 16723 | 13084 | 3639 |
| 7.Tuensang | 18335 | 14021 | 4314 | 6655 | 5803 | 852 | 24990 | 19824 | 5166 |
| 8.Longleng | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9.Kiphire | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10.Kohima | 26119 | 19489 | 6630 | 24622 | 18527 | 6095 | 50741 | 38016 | 12725 |
| 11.Peren | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nagaland | 151981 | 107504 | 44477 | 101644 | 83985 | 17659 | 253625 | 191489 | 62136 |

Source: Census of India 2001-Provisional Population Totals, Paper-3 of 2001, Nagaland Series-13

Annexure 3.10: District wise Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2011

| Districts | Cultivators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F |
| 1.Mon | 110857 | 56247 | 54610 | 2616 | 1113 | 1503 | 113473 | 57360 | 56113 |
| 2.Mokokchung | 47504 | 23836 | 23668 | 1421 | 770 | 651 | 48925 | 24606 | 24319 |
| 3.Zunheboto | 43892 | 20537 | 23355 | 831 | 298 | 533 | 44723 | 20835 | 21888 |
| 4.Wokha | 46474 | 21972 | 24502 | 999 | 280 | 719 | 47473 | 22252 | 25221 |
| 5.Dimapur | 23007 | 12818 | 10189 | 1510 | 697 | 813 | 24517 | 13515 | 11002 |
| 6.Phek | 52389 | 28497 | 28892 | 2702 | 1040 | 1662 | 55091 | 24537 | 30554 |
| 7.Tuensang | 71927 | 35314 | 36613 | 3285 | 1509 | 1776 | 75212 | 36823 | 38389 |
| 8.Longleng | 22044 | 10935 | 11109 | 457 | 210 | 247 | 22501 | 11145 | 11356 |
| 9.Kiphire | 20069 | 8972 | 11097 | 1363 | 605 | 758 | 21432 | 9577 | 11855 |
| 10.Kohima | 42724 | 18621 | 24103 | 1960 | 676 | 1284 | 44684 | 19297 | 25387 |
| 11.Peren | 35896 | 17810 | 18086 | 3775 | 1697 | 2078 | 39671 | 19507 | 20164 |
| Nagaland | 516783 | 250559 | 266224 | 20919 | 8895 | 12024 | 537702 | 259454 | 278248 |
| Districts | Agricultural Labour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.Mon | 10115 | 5131 | 4984 | 721 | 309 | 412 | 10836 | 5440 | 5396 |
| 2.Mokokchung | 7643 | 4423 | 3220 | 1523 | 914 | 609 | 9166 | 5337 | 3829 |
| 3.Zunheboto | 11197 | 5153 | 6044 | 758 | 290 | 468 | 11955 | 5443 | 6512 |
| 4.Wokha | 6280 | 3220 | 3060 | 158 | 49 | 109 | 6438 | 3269 | 3169 |
| 5.Dimapur | 7977 | 3837 | 4140 | 1013 | 623 | 390 | 8990 | 4460 | 4530 |
| 6.Phek | 3052 | 1434 | 1618 | 107 | 61 | 46 | 3159 | 1495 | 1664 |
| 7.Tuensang | 2890 | 1545 | 1345 | 1023 | 521 | 502 | 3913 | 2066 | 1847 |
| 8.Longleng | 897 | 467 | 412 | 430 | 194 | 236 | 1309 | 661 | 648 |
| 9.Kiphire | 1012 | 476 | 536 | 247 | 128 | 119 | 1259 | 604 | 655 |
| 10.Kohima | 1787 | 910 | 877 | 411 | 197 | 214 | 2198 | 1107 | 1091 |
| 11.Peren | 2845 | 1537 | 1308 | 894 | 438 | 456 | 3739 | 1975 | 1764 |
| Nagaland | 55677 | 28133 | 27544 | 7285 | 3724 | 3561 | 62962 | 31857 | 31105 |
| Districts | Household Industry Workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.Mon | 1175 | 574 | 601 | 433 | 188 | 245 | 1608 | 762 | 846 |
| 2.Mokokchung | 2917 | 1026 | 1892 | 881 | 302 | 579 | 3798 | 1328 | 2470 |
| 3.Zunheboto | 1574 | 662 | 912 | 451 | 163 | 288 | 2025 | 825 | 1200 |
| 4.Wokha | 1435 | 602 | 833 | 530 | 254 | 276 | 1965 | 856 | 1109 |
| 5.Dimapur | 2527 | 799 | 1728 | 2546 | 1154 | 1392 | 5073 | 1953 | 3120 |
| 6.Phek | 1155 | 431 | 724 | 120 | 66 | 54 | 1275 | 497 | 778 |
| 7.Tuensang | 665 | 338 | 327 | 439 | 196 | 243 | 1104 | 534 | 570 |
| 8.Longleng | 477 | 256 | 221 | 121 | 33 | 88 | 598 | 289 | 309 |
| 9.Kiphire | 648 | 271 | 377 | 101 | 36 | 65 | 749 | 307 | 442 |
| 10.Kohima | 1525 | 708 | 817 | 547 | 276 | 271 | 2072 | 984 | 1088 |
| 11.Peren | 2161 | 995 | 1166 | 410 | 153 | 257 | 2571 | 1148 | 1423 |
| Nagaland | 16259 | 6662 | 9597 | 6579 | 2821 | 3758 | 22838 | 9483 | 13355 |
| Districts | Other Workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.Mon | 12519 | 9320 | 3119 | 9218 | 6543 | 2675 | 21737 | 15863 | 5874 |
| 2.Mokokchung | 19966 | 12950 | 7016 | 18212 | 12863 | 5349 | 38179 | 25813 | 12365 |
| 3.Zunheboto | 13491 | 8731 | 4760 | 7272 | 5344 | 1928 | 20763 | 14075 | 6688 |
| 4.Wokha | 11933 | 8538 | 3395 | 10603 | 7181 | 3422 | 22536 | 15719 | 6817 |
| 5.Dimapur | 43347 | 28245 | 15102 | 69423 | 51472 | 17951 | 112770 | 79717 | 33053 |
| 6.Phek | 14149 | 10054 | 4095 | 6603 | 4973 | 1630 | 20752 | 15027 | 5725 |
| 7.Tuensang | 9265 | 7173 | 2092 | 8660 | 6335 | 2325 | 17925 | 13508 | 4417 |
| 8.Longleng | 4277 | 2792 | 1485 | 1883 | 1386 | 497 | 6160 | 4178 | 1982 |
| 9.Kiphire | 5573 | 4110 | 1463 | 2946 | 2182 | 764 | 8519 | 6292 | 2227 |
| 10.Kohima | 25782 | 19351 | 6431 | 40089 | 27401 | 12688 | 65871 | 46752 | 19119 |
| 11.Peren | 11339 | 7294 | 4045 | 4070 | 2325 | 1745 | 15409 | 9619 | 5790 |
| Nagaland | 171641 | 118558 | 53083 | 178979 | 128005 | 50974 | 350620 | 246563 | 104057 |

Source: Primary Census 2011, Abstract, Data Highlights , Nagaland Series 14

## SAMPLE PROFILE TABLES

Annexure 3.11: Age wise composition of sample population (Total)

| Area | 0-14 |  |  |  | 15-24 |  |  |  | 25-34 |  |  |  | 35-59 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | SR | M | F | T | SR | M | F | T | SR | M | F | T | SR |
| Urban | 85 | 84 | 169 | 988 | 110 | 98 | 208 | 891 | 68 | 74 | 142 | 1088 | 129 | 176 | 305 | 1364 |
| Rural | 90 | 90 | 180 | 1000 | 253 | 221 | 474 | 874 | 101 | 107 | 208 | 1059 | 237 | 237 | 474 | 1000 |
| Total | 175 | 174 |  | 994 | 363 | 319 | 682 | 879 | 169 | 181 | 350 | 1071 | 366 | 413 | 779 | 1128 |
| Area | 60 and above |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M |  | F |  | T |  | SR |  | M |  | F |  | T |  | SR |  |
| Urban | 24 |  | 6 |  | 30 |  | 250 |  | 416 |  | 438 |  | 854 |  | 1053 |  |
| Rural | 41 |  | 30 |  | 71 |  | 732 |  | 723 |  | 984 |  | 1407 |  | 1361 |  |
| Total | 65 |  | 36 |  | 101 |  | 554 |  | 1139 |  | 1422 |  | 2261 |  | 1248 |  |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12
Annexure 3.12: Age wise composition of population in the Sample Rural Area and Nagaland

| District | Villages | 0-14 |  |  |  | 15-24 |  |  |  | 25-34 |  |  |  | 35-59 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | T | SR | M | F | T | SR | M | F | T | SR | M | F | T | SR |
| Mokokchung | Ungma Village | 17 | 23 | 40 | 1353 | 19 | 22 | 41 | 1158 | 16 | 25 | 41 | 1563 | 32 | 33 | 65 | 1031 |
|  | Mokokchung Village | 8 | 5 | 13 | 625 | 27 | 25 | 52 | 926 | 29 | 25 | 54 | 862 | 33 | 34 | 67 | 1030 |
|  | Khensa Village | 16 | 12 | 28 | 750 | 21 | 26 | 47 | 1238 | 20 | 17 | 37 | 850 | 39 | 46 | 85 | 1179 |
|  | Sub-Total | 41 | 40 | 8 | 976 | 67 | 73 | 140 | 1090 | 65 | 67 | 132 | 1031 | 104 | 113 | 217 | 1087 |
| Tuensang | Tuensang Village | 19 | 22 | 41 | 1158 | 83 | 51 | 134 | 614 | 11 | 18 | 29 | 1636 | 48 | 39 | 87 | 813 |
|  | Chare Village | 21 | 19 | 41 | 905 | 26 | 31 | 57 | 1192 | 17 | 21 | 38 | 1235 | 41 | 37 | 78 | 902 |
|  | Kuthur Village | 9 | 9 | 18 | 1000 | 77 | 66 | 143 | 857 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 125 | 44 | 48 | 92 | 1091 |
|  | Sub-Total | 49 | 50 | 99 | 1020 | 186 | 148 | 334 | 796 | 36 | 40 | 76 | 1333 | 138 | 124 | 257 | 899 |
| Total Rural |  | 90 | 90 | 180 | 1000 | 253 | 221 | 474 | 874 | 101 | 107 | 208 | 1059 | 237 | 237 | 474 | 1000 |


| District | Village | 60 and above |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | T | SR | M | F | T | SR |
| Mokokchung | Ungma | 8 | 2 | 10 | 250 | 92 | 105 | 197 | 1141 |
|  | Mokokchung | 16 | 17 | 33 | 1063 | 113 | 106 | 219 | 938 |
|  | Khensa | 6 | 3 |  | 500 | 102 | 104 | 206 | 1020 |
|  | Sub Total | 30 | 22 | 52 | 733 | 307 | 315 | 622 | 1026 |
| Tuensang | Tuensang | 2 | - | 2 | - | 163 | 130 | 293 | 798 |
|  | Chare | 8 | 7 | 15 | 875 | 114 | 114 | 228 | 1000 |
|  | Kuthur | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1000 | 139 | 125 | 264 | 899 |
|  | Sub-Total | 11 | 8 | 19 | 727 | 416 | 669 | 785 | 1608 |
| Total Rural |  | 41 | 30 | 71 | 732 | 723 | 984 | 1407 | 1361 |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12

Annexure 3.13: Age wise composition of population in the Sample Urban Area and Nagaland.

| Town | 0-14 |  |  |  | 15-24 |  |  |  | 25-34 |  |  |  | 35-59 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | SR | M | F | T | SR | M | F | T | SR | M | F | T | SR |
| Mokokchung | 35 | 43 | 78 | 1229 | 56 | 51 | 107 | 911 | 30 | 40 | 70 | 1333 | 71 | 92 | 163 | 1296 |
| Tuensang | 50 | 41 | 91 | 820 | 54 | 47 | 101 | 870 | 38 | 34 | 72 | 895 | 58 | 84 | 142 | 1448 |
| Total urban | 85 | 84 | 169 | 988 | 110 | 98 | 208 | 891 | 68 | 74 | 142 | 1088 | 129 | 176 | 305 | 1364 |
| Town | 60 and above |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M |  |  | F |  | T |  | SR | M |  | F | T |  | SR |  |  |
| Mokokchung | 14 |  |  | 5 |  | 19 |  | 357 | 206 |  | 231 | 427 |  | 1121 |  |  |
| Tuensang | 10 |  |  | 1 |  | 11 |  | 100 | 210 |  | 207 | 417 |  | 986 |  |  |
| Total Urban | 24 |  |  | 6 |  | 30 |  | 250 | 416 |  | 438 | 854 |  | 1053 |  |  |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12
Note: Figures in the parentheses indicate $\%$ in respective area total population SR: Sex Ratio

Annexure 3.14: Distribution of sample population by levels of education in Urban area

| Districts | Sex | Below <br> high <br> school | High <br> school | Higher <br> secondary | Graduate | Post- <br> graduate <br> \& above | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mokokchung | Male | 28 | 42 | 34 | 74 | 24 | 202 |
|  | Female | 44 | 40 | 42 | 63 | 34 | 223 |
|  | Total | 72 | 82 | 76 | 137 | 58 | 425 |
| Tuensang | Male | 49 | 39 | 38 | 58 | 16 | 200 |
|  | Female | 46 | 59 | 28 | 55 | 14 | 202 |
|  | Total | 95 | 98 | 66 | 113 | 30 | 402 |
| Sample Total | Male | 77 | 81 | 72 | 132 | 40 | 402 |
|  | Female | 90 | 99 | 70 | 118 | 48 | 425 |
|  | Total | 167 | 180 | 142 | 250 | 88 | 827 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Annexure 3.15: Educational profile (in \%) by composition of gender (Urban)

| Districts | Sex | Below High <br> School | High <br> school | Higher <br> secondary | Graduate | Post-graduate <br> \& above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mokokchung | Male | 38.88 | 51.21 | 44.73 | 54.01 | 41.37 |
|  | Female | 61.11 | 48.78 | 55.26 | 45.98 | 58.62 |
| Tuensang | Total | 16.94 | 19.29 | 17.88 | 32.23 | 13.64 |
|  | female | 51.57 | 39.79 | 57.57 | 51.32 | 53.33 |
|  | Total | 48.42 | 60.20 | 42.42 | 48.67 | 46.66 |
|  | 23.63 | 24.37 | 16.41 | 28.10 | 7.46 |  |

Annexure 3.16: Educational Profile of Sample urban area during 2011-12

| Districts |  | Currently attending |  |  |  |  |  | Attended |  |  |  |  |  | Never Attd. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mokokchung Town | Sex | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |  |  |
|  | M | 26 | 18 | 10 | 25 | 1 | 80 | 2 | 24 | 24 | 49 | 23 | 122 | - | 202 |
|  | F | 36 | 5 | 13 | 17 | 10 | 81 | 8 | 35 | 29 | 46 | 24 | 142 | - | 223 |
|  | T | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ (39) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (14) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (14) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (26) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 161 \\ (38) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (22) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ (20) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (36) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ (18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 264 \\ (62) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 425 \\ (100) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Tuensang <br> Town | M | 39 | 18 | 10 | 19 | 5 | 91 | 10 | 21 | 28 | 39 | 11 | 109 | 2 | 202 |
|  | F | 34 | 15 | 8 | 19 | 1 | 77 | 12 | 44 | 20 | 36 | 13 | 125 | - | 202 |
|  | T | $\begin{gathered} \hline 73 \\ (43) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33 \\ (20) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 18 \\ (11) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (23) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \hline(4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 168 \\ (42) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & (9) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ (28) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ (21) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ (32) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (10) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 234 \\ (58) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 404 \\ (100) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Urban | M | 65 | 36 | 20 | 44 | 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 171 \\ (52) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 12 | 45 | 52 | 88 | 34 | $\begin{array}{r} 231 \\ (46) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 404 \\ & (49) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | F | 70 | 20 | 21 | 34 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 156 \\ & (48) \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 79 | 49 | 82 | 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 267 \\ & (54) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 423 \\ & (51) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | T | $\begin{array}{r} 135 \\ (41) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ (17) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ (13) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ (24) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 327 \\ (40) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & (6) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124 \\ (25) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101 \\ & (20) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 170 \\ (34) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ (14) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 498 \\ (60) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 827 \\ (100) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Nagaland | M | 168 | 129 | 69 | 91 | 11 | $\begin{array}{r} 468 \\ (54) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 114 | 201 | 130 | 155 | 42 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 642 \\ & (48) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (44) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1117 \\ & (50) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | F | 168 | 90 | 68 | 61 | 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 406 \\ & (46) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 169 | 225 | 102 | 139 | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & 684 \\ & (52) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1095 \\ & (50) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | T | $\begin{array}{r} 336 \\ (39) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 219 \\ & (25) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137 \\ & (16) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 152 \\ (17) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ (3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 874 \\ (40) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 283 \\ & (22) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 426 \\ & (32) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 232 \\ & (17) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 294 \\ (13) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & (4) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1326 \\ & (60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (0.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2212 \\ & (100) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Annexure 3.17: Educational Profile of sample population (Rural)

| Villages (Mkg.dist) | Sex | Below metric | $\begin{gathered} \text { High } \\ \text { school } \end{gathered}$ | Higher secondary | Graduate | Post-graduate \& above | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ungma | M | 15 | 29 | 24 | 19 | 1 | 88 |
|  | F | 20 | 34 | 18 | 21 | 6 | 99 |
|  | T | 35 | 63 | 42 | 40 | 7 | 187 |
| Mokokchung Village | M | 16 | 33 | 20 | 32 | 9 | 110 |
|  | F | 25 | 25 | 16 | 27 | 11 | 104 |
|  | T | 41 | 58 | 36 | 59 | 20 | 214 |
| Khensa | M | 24 | 27 | 22 | 22 | 3 | 98 |
|  | F | 27 | 28 | 27 | 17 | 2 | 101 |
|  | T | 51 | 55 | 49 | 39 | 5 | 199 |
| Mokokchung Total | M | 55 | 89 | 66 | 73 | 13 | 296 |
|  | F | 72 | 87 | 61 | 65 | 19 | 304 |
|  | T | 127 | 176 | 127 | 138 | 32 | 600 |
| Tuensang village | M | 56 | 60 | 24 | 23 | - | 163 |
|  | F | 71 | 43 | 9 | 7 | - | 130 |
|  | T | 127 | 103 | 33 | 30 | - | 293 |
| Chare | M | 47 | 31 | 15 | 18 | - | 111 |
|  | F | 56 | 31 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 108 |
|  | T | 103 | 62 | 24 | 29 | 1 | 219 |
| Kuthur | M | 47 | 69 | 22 | - | - | 138 |
|  | F | 48 | 55 | 21 | 1 | - | 125 |
|  | T | 95 | 124 | 43 | 1 | - | 263 |
| Tuensang Total | M | 150 | 160 | 61 | 41 | - | 412 |
|  | F | 175 | 129 | 39 | 19 | 1 | 363 |
|  | T | 325 | 289 | 100 | 60 | 1 | 775 |
| Rural Total | M | 205 | 249 | 127 | 114 | 13 | 708 |
|  | F | 247 | 216 | 100 | 84 | 20 | 667 |
|  | T | 452 | 465 | 227 | 198 | 33 | 1375 |

Source: Sample Survey 2011-12

Annexure 3.18: Educational profile (in percentage) of sample population-RURAL.

| villages | sex | Below high school | High school | Higher secondary | Graduate | Post-graduate \& above | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ungma | M | 42.85 | 46.03 | 57.14 | 47.5 | 14.28 | 47.05 |
|  | F | 57.14 | 53.96 | 42.85 | 52.5 | 85.71 | 52.94 |
|  | T | 18.71 | 33.68 | 22.45 | 21.39 | 3.74 | 100 |
| Mkg. | M | 39.02 | 56.89 | 55.55 | 54.23 | 45.00 | 51.40 |
|  | F | 60.97 | 43.10 | 44.44 | 45.76 | 55.00 | 48.59 |
|  | T | 19.15 | 27.10 | 16.82 | 27.57 | 9.34 | 100 |
| Khensa | M | 47.05 | 49.09 | 44.89 | 56.41 | 60.00 | 49.24 |
|  | F | 52.94 | 50.90 | 55.10 | 43.58 | 40.00 | 50.75 |
|  | T | 25.62 | 27.63 | 24.62 | 19.59 | 2.51 | 100 |
| Tuensang | M | 44.09 | 58.25 | 72.72 | 76.66 | - | 55.63 |
|  | F | 55.90 | 41.74 | 27.27 | 23.33 | - | 44.36 |
|  | T | 43.34 | 35.15 | 11.26 | 10.23 | - |  |
| Chare | M | 45.63 | 50.00 | 62.5 | 62.06 | - | 50.68 |
|  | F | 54.36 | 50.00 | 37.5 | 37.93 | 100 | 49.31 |
|  | T | 47.03 | 28.31 | 10.95 | 13.24 | 0.4 |  |
| Kuthur | M | 49.47 | 55.64 | 51.16 | - | - | 52.47 |
|  | F | 50.52 | 44.35 | 48.83 | 100 | - | 47.52 |
|  | T | 36.12 | 47.14 | 16.34 | 0.3 | - |  |
| Rural Total | M | 28.95 | 35.17 | 17.94 | 16.10 | 1.84 | 100 |
|  | F | 37.03 | 32.38 | 14.99 | 12.59 | 3.00 | 100.00 |
|  | T | 32.87 | 33.82 | 16.51 | 14.4 | 2.4 | 100.00 |

Source: Field Survey, 2011-12
$M=$ male, $F=$ female, $T=$ total

Annexure 3.19: Educational Profile of Sample rural area during 2011-2012

| villages | sex | Currently Attending |  |  |  |  |  | Attended |  |  |  |  |  | Never attended | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Tota 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |  |  |
| Ungma village | M | 12 | 3 | 3 | 7 | - | 25 | 3 | 26 | 21 | 12 | 1 | 63 | - | 88 |
|  | F | 16 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 32 | 4 | 28 | 14 | 17 | 4 | 67 | 1 | 100 |
|  | T | 28 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 57 | 7 | 54 | 35 | 29 | 5 | 130 | 1 | 188 |
| Mokokchung village | M | 4 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 28 | 12 | 26 | 15 | 24 | 5 | 82 | - | 110 |
|  | F | 5 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 26 | 20 | 21 | 11 | 19 | 7 | 78 | 2 | 106 |
|  | T | 9 | 11 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 54 | 32 | 47 | 26 | 43 | 12 | 160 | 2 | 216 |
| Khensa village | M | 11 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 31 | 13 | 23 | 17 | 12 | 2 | 67 | - | 98 |
|  | F | 8 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 31 | 19 | 22 | 20 | 8 | 1 | 70 | - | 101 |
|  | T | 19 | 10 | 12 | 19 | 2 | 62 | 32 | 45 | 37 | 20 | 3 | 137 | - | 199 |
| Total | M | 27 | 14 | 13 | 25 | 5 | 84 | 28 | 75 | 53 | 48 | 8 | 212 | - | 296 |
|  | F | 29 | 16 | 16 | 21 | 7 | 89 | 43 | 71 | 45 | 44 | 12 | 215 | 3 | 304 |
|  | T | 56 | 30 | 29 | 46 | 12 | 173 | 71 | 146 | 98 | 92 | 20 | 427 | 3 | 600 |
| Tuensang village | M | 33 | 35 | 17 | 17 | - | 102 | 23 | 25 | 7 | 6 | - | 61 | - | 163 |
|  | F | 34 | 25 | 6 | 4 | - | 69 | 37 | 18 | 3 | 3 | - | 61 | - | 130 |
|  | T | 67 | 60 | 23 | 21 | - | 171 | 60 | 43 | 10 | 9 | - | 122 | - | 293 |
| Chare village | M | 21 | 4 | 5 | 5 | - | 35 | 26 | 27 | 10 | 13 | - | 76 | 2 | 113 |
|  | F | 16 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 31 | 40 | 24 | 3 | 10 | - | 77 | 2 | 110 |
|  | T | 37 | 11 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 66 | 66 | 51 | 13 | 23 | - | 153 | 4 | 223 |
| Kuthur village | M | 22 | 40 | 14 | - | - | 76 | 25 | 29 | 8 | - | - | 62 | - | 138 |
|  | F | 19 | 22 | 19 | 1 | - | 61 | 29 | 33 | 2 | - | - | 64 | - | 125 |
|  | T | 41 | 62 | 33 | 1 | - | 137 | 54 | 62 | 10 | - | - | 126 | - | 263 |
| Total | M | 76 | 79 | 36 | 22 | - | 213 | 74 | 81 | 25 | 19 | - | 199 | 2 | 412 |
|  | F | 69 | 54 | 31 | 6 | 1 | 161 | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 75 | 8 | 13 | - | 202 | 2 | 363 |
|  | T | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 67 | 28 | 1 | 374 | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 156 | 33 | 32 | - | 401 | 4 | 775 |
| Rural <br> Total | M | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 93 | 49 | 47 | 5 | 297 | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 156 | 78 | 68 | 8 | 411 | 2 | 713 |
|  | F | 98 | 70 | 47 | 27 | 8 | 250 | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 146 | 53 | 57 | 12 | 417 | 5 | 672 |
|  | T | 20 1 | 16 3 | 96 | 74 | 13 | 547 | 25 1 | 302 | 131 | 124 | 20 | 828 | 7 | 1385 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12. Note: 1.Below high school, 2. High school, 3.Higher Secondary,
4.Graduate and 5.Post graduate and above

Annexure $3.20: \%$ of currently attending education (Rural)

| villages |  | Level of education |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sex | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| Ungma village | M | 48 | 12 | 12 | 28 | 0 | 100 |
|  | F | 50 | 18.75 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 6.25 | 100 |
|  | T | 49.12 | 15.79 | 12.28 | 19.30 | 3.51 | 100 |
| Mokokchung village | M | 14.29 | 25.00 | 17.86 | 28.57 | 14.29 | 100 |
|  | F | 19.23 | 15.38 | 19.23 | 30.77 | 15.38 | 100 |
|  | T | 16.67 | 20.37 | 18.52 | 29.63 | 14.81 | 100 |
| Khensa village | M | 35.48 | 12.90 | 16.13 | 32.26 | 3.23 | 100 |
|  | F | 25.81 | 19.35 | 22.58 | 29.03 | 3.23 | 100 |
|  | T | 30.65 | 16.13 | 19.35 | 30.65 | 3.23 | 100 |
| Total | M | 32.14 | 16.67 | 15.48 | 29.76 | 5.95 | 100 |
|  | F | 32.58 | 17.98 | 17.98 | 23.60 | 7.87 | 100 |
|  | T | 32.37 | 17.34 | 16.76 | 26.59 | 6.94 | 100 |
| Tuensang village | M | 32.35 | 34.31 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | F | 49.28 | 36.23 | 8.70 | 5.80 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | T | 39.18 | 35.09 | 13.45 | 12.28 | 0.00 | 100 |
| Chare village | M | 60.00 | 11.43 | 14.29 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | F | 51.61 | 22.58 | 19.35 | 3.23 | 3.23 | 100 |
|  | T | 56.06 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 9.09 | 1.52 | 100 |
| Kuthur village | M | 28.95 | 52.63 | 18.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | F | 31.15 | 36.07 | 31.15 | 1.64 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | T | 29.93 | 45.26 | 24.09 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 100 |
| Total | M | 35.68 | 37.09 | 16.90 | 10.33 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | F | 42.86 | 33.54 | 19.25 | 3.73 | 0.62 | 100 |
|  | T | 38.77 | 35.56 | 17.91 | 7.49 | 0.27 | 100 |
| Rural Total | M | 34.68 | 31.31 | 16.50 | 15.82 | 1.68 | 100 |
|  | F | 39.20 | 28.00 | 18.80 | 10.80 | 3.20 | 100 |
|  | T | 36.75 | 29.80 | 17.55 | 13.53 | 2.38 | 100 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Annexure 3.21: Educational Profile of Sample urban area during 2011-12

| Districts | Sex | Currently attending |  |  |  |  |  | Attended |  |  |  |  |  | NA | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mokokchung Town |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |  |  |
|  | M | 26 | 18 | 10 | 25 | 1 | 80 | 2 | 24 | 24 | 49 | 23 | 122 | - | 202 |
|  | F | 36 | 5 | 13 | 17 | 10 | 81 | 8 | 35 | 29 | 46 | 24 | 142 | - | 223 |
|  | T | 62 | 23 | 23 | 42 | 11 | 161 | 10 | 59 | 53 | 95 | 47 | 264 | - | 425 |
| Tuensang Town | M | 39 | 18 | 10 | 19 | 5 | 91 | 10 | 21 | 28 | 39 | 11 | 109 | 2 | 202 |
|  | F | 34 | 15 | 8 | 19 | 1 | 77 | 12 | 44 | 20 | 36 | 13 | 125 | - | 202 |
|  | T | 73 | 33 | 18 | 38 | 6 | 168 | 22 | 65 | 48 | 75 | 24 | 234 | 2 | 404 |
| Urban | M | 65 | 36 | 20 | 44 | 6 | 171 | 12 | 45 | 52 | 88 | 34 | 231 | 2 | 404 |
|  | F | 70 | 20 | 21 | 34 | 11 | 156 | 20 | 79 | 49 | 82 | 37 | 267 |  | 423 |
|  | T | 135 | 56 | 41 | 78 | 17 | 327 | 32 | 124 | 101 | 170 | 71 | 498 | 2 | 827 |
| Nagaland | M | 168 | 129 | 69 | 91 | 11 | 468 | 114 | 201 | 130 | 155 | 42 | 642 | 4 | 1117 |
|  | F | 168 | 90 | 68 | 61 | 19 | 406 | 169 | 225 | 102 | 139 | 49 | 684 | 5 | 1095 |
|  | T | 336 | 219 | 137 | 152 | 30 | 874 | 283 | 426 | 232 | 294 | 91 | 1326 | 9 | 2212 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

Annexure 3.22: Distribution of economically active population in the sample area

| District | Area | Employed persons |  |  | Dependant population |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Mokokchung | Rural | 161 | 132 | 293 | 147 | 182 | 329 |
|  | Urban | 98 | 110 | 208 | 108 | 121 | 229 |
|  | Total | 259 | 242 | 501 | 255 | 303 | 558 |
|  | Rural | 149 | 145 | 294 | 267 | 224 | 491 |
|  | Urban | 65 | 85 | 150 | 145 | 122 | 267 |
|  | Total | 214 | 230 | 444 | 412 | 346 | 758 |
| Sample total | Rural | 310 | 277 | 587 | 414 | 406 | 820 |
|  | Urban | 163 | 195 | 358 | 253 | 243 | 496 |
|  | Total | 473 | 472 | 945 | 667 | 649 | 1316 |

## Chapter IV

## WORK PARTICIPATION AND INCOME

In Nagaland as well as in other parts of the world women play a major role in the home in managing domestic affairs and work outside the home. Nagas are a patriarchal society where traditional practices give more importance to male in social, political and economic spheres although women are in many ways, equal partner in the family and as bread winner. Many Naga women contribute to household income significantly, and many women are employed in labour intensive work, doing the tedious job and earning a meager income to manage their family. Work opportunities for urban dwellers are limited, whereas women in rural areas apart from working in agricultural sector they take up marginal occupations to supplement family income by collection of fuel wood, fodder, fishes and engage themselves in small animal husbandry, dairying, piggery etc. Women are also engaged in marketing of many rural and forest produce along with their domestic tasks.

### 4.1 WORK PARTICIPATION RATE

The WPR of Nagaland in 2001 was $42.6 \%$ in total population, where male work participation rate was $46.7 \%$ and female $38.1 \%$. In 2011 , it was increased to $49.2 \%$ and male and female work participation rates were $53.4 \%$ and $44.7 \%$ respectively.
Table 4.1: Number of Workers and Work Participation Rate by Sex in Nagaland

| Year | Area | No.of workers |  |  | Work participation rate |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Persons | Male | Female | Persons | Male | female |
| $\bar{\sigma}_{\mathrm{N}}$ |  | 849982 | 487767 | 362215 | 42.6 | 46.7 | 38.1 |
|  | Rural | 737386 | 398640 | 338746 | 45.0 | 47.1 | 42.9 |
|  | Urban | 112596 | 89127 | 23469 | 31.9 | 45.7 | 14.9 |
|  | Total | 974122 | 547357 | 426765 | 49.2 | 53.4 | 44.7 |
|  | Rural | 760360 | 403912 | 356448 | 54.0 | 55.7 | 52.2 |
|  | Urban | 213762 | 143445 | 70317 | 37.3 | 47.6 | 25.8 |

Source: Census of India 2001, Provisional Population Totals, paper -3, Census of India 2011, Primary Census Abstract, Nagaland Series 14, Statistical Handbook of Nagaland, 2012.

In 2011, the WPR in rural area was $54.0 \%$ in total population, for male and female were $55.7 \%$ and $52.2 \%$, respectively. In 2001 the corresponding figures for rural total was $45.0 \%$ and the male and female WPRs were $47.1 \%$ male and $42.9 \%$ female. Thus figures show significant increase in total as well as gender wise WPRs during the last decade.

In urban area, the WPR in 2011 was $37.3 \%$, and the male and female WPR was $47.6 \%$ and $25.8 \%$ respectively. In 2001 the corresponding figures for its total population was $31.9 \%$, where male and female WPRs were $45.7 \%$ and $14.9 \%$ respectively.

The figures indicate that there has been increase in WPRs in total as well as gender concerns during the last decades. Over the last decade the percentage increase in WPR of women has been higher than that of male, which implies that a higher proportion of women has joined work force than that of male. However, it may be noted that female work participation rate has been consistently lower than that of male in both rural and urban areas, and so is total population. Moreover, the WPR is higher in rural area for both female and male than that of urban area.

Figure no.4.1 :Work participation Rate by sex and gender gap (1961 to 2011), Nagaland


Source: Census of India 2011, primary Abstract, Data highlights, Nagaland Series 14
Figure no.4.1 and table no 4.2 shows the WPR for female and male during the last five decades. The growth rates have been estimated with the exponential method. Table no.4.2 reveals that the gender gap is rising over the decades at the rate of $3.5 \%$ and the female WPR increases at a much faster rate (33\%) than the Male WPR growth rate of 3.2\%.

Table 4.2: Decadal Growth rate of WPR in Nagaland by sex and gender gap (1961 to 2011)

|  | Male | Female | Gender Gap |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Constant | -60.92 | -61.65 | -67.79 |
| Regression Coefficient | .032 | .33 | .035 |
|  | $(19.311)^{*}$ | $(9.619)^{*}$ | $(3.120)$ |
| R | .99 | .97 | .842 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | .98 | .95 | .709 |
| Std.Err. | .002 | .003 | .011 |
| F | 372 | 92 | 9.737 |
| N | 5 | 5 | 5 |

Note: Decadal growth rate estimated with Exponential method and figures in the parenthesis represent 't' value. *Significance level at $1 \%$

### 4.1.1 District wise work participation rate in 2001 and 2011:

Table 4.3(a): Work Participation rate in 2001 (in \%), Nagaland.

| District | Work participation rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F |
| 1.Mon | 51.4 | 53.6 | 48.8 | 33.2 | 42.2 | 15.6 | 50.2 | 52.9 | 47.2 |
| 2.Mokokchung | 48.9 | 50.9 | 46.7 | 35.3 | 47.5 | 22.2 | 47.1 | 50.5 | 43.4 |
| 3.Zunheboto | 38.2 | 39.6 | 36.7 | 29.8 | 38.2 | 20.4 | 36.9 | 39.4 | 34.3 |
| 4.Wokha | 38.6 | 40 | 37.2 | 22.6 | 30.4 | 19.6 | 34.9 | 37.6 | 31.9 |
| 5.Dimapur | 35 | 45.5 | 22.9 | 30.6 | 48.3 | 13.3 | 33.4 | 46.5 | 18 |
| 6.Phek | 48.1 | 47.6 | 48.6 | 49.3 | 56.2 | 9.6 | 48.2 | 48.4 | 47.9 |
| 7.Tuensang | 44.1 | 45 | 43.1 | 26.5 | 39.2 | 40.1 | 41.3 | 44 | 38.2 |
| 8.Longleng | 52.6 | 53.1 | 52 | NA | NA | NA | 52.6 | 53.1 | 52 |
| 9.Kiphire | 41.2 | 41.5 | 40.8 | NA | NA | NA | 41.2 | 41.5 | 40.8 |
| 10.Kohima | 48 | 49.3 | 46.6 | 32.6 | 43.9 | 19.3 | 42.6 | 47.4 | 37.3 |
| 11.Peren | 45.8 | 46.3 | 45.3 | NA | NA | NA | 45.8 | 46.3 | 45.3 |
| Nagaland | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 1}$ |

Source: Census of India 2011, primary Abstract, Data highlights, Nagaland Series 14
NA: Not available, during the period there were no urban towns in the area/districts.

The work participation rate in 2001 was 42.6 in Nagaland, where male rate was higher than that of female rate $(46.7 \%$ and $38.1 \%$, respectively). In rural area, WPR shows a similar picture ( $45 \%$-total, $47.3 \%$ male and $42.5 \%$ female), but in urban area the rate was much lower with $31 \%$, its female rate was only $15.6 \%$, and male was $43.8 \%$.

A perusal of district wise data of WPR, Longleng and Mon districts show the highest ( $52.6 \%$ and $50 \%$, respectively) and the lowest was Dimapur (33.4\%), followed by Wokha and Zunheboto ( $34 \%$ and $36 \%$ respectively). In the entire districts, the urban WPR rate was lower than that of rural rate, and male rate is higher than female rate in both rural and urban areas, except for Tuensang where female rate was marginally higher.

Table 4.3(b): District wise work participation rate in 2011, Nagaland.

| District | Work participation rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | F | Total |  |  |
|  | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F |  |
| 1.Mon | 62.4 | 62.8 | 61.9 | 37.7 | 44.6 | 29.9 | 59.0 | 60.3 | 57.6 |  |
| 2.Mokokchung | 56.2 | 59.2 | 53.0 | 39.5 | 50.0 | 27.6 | 51.4 | 56.5 | 46.0 |  |
| 3.Zunheboto | 62.0 | 61.8 | 62.2 | 33.7 | 42.2 | 24.4 | 56.5 | 57.8 | 55.1 |  |
| 4.Wokha | 50.3 | 51.7 | 49.0 | 35.1 | 43.0 | 26.7 | 47.1 | 49.8 | 44.4 |  |
| 5.Dimapur | 42.5 | 48.9 | 35.6 | 37.6 | 51.9 | 21.9 | 40.0 | 50.5 | 28.5 |  |
| 6.Phek | 51.0 | 50.2 | 51.7 | 38.8 | 46.5 | 29.9 | 49.1 | 49.6 | 48.6 |  |
| 7.Tuensang | 53.0 | 53.8 | 52.2 | 36.5 | 44.0 | 28.0 | 49.9 | 51.9 | 47.8 |  |
| 8.Longleng | 64.6 | 64.2 | 65.0 | 38.0 | 45.7 | 29.5 | 60.5 | 61.4 | 59.6 |  |
| 9.Kiphire | 47.5 | 47.3 | 47.7 | 28.2 | 34.4 | 21.6 | 43.2 | 44.4 | 42.0 |  |
| 10.Kohima | 48.9 | 51.8 | 45.7 | 35.5 | 45.6 | 24.7 | 42.8 | 49.0 | 36.2 |  |
| 11.Peren | 64.2 | 64.5 | 63.8 | 66.3 | 67.3 | 65.4 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.0 |  |
| Nagaland | $\mathbf{5 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 3}$ | 37.4 | $\mathbf{4 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 7}$ |  |

Source: Source: Census of India 2011, Primary Abstract, Data Highlights, Nagaland Series-14

In total, District wise data of 2011 shows that, total work participation rate was $49.2 \%$, consisting of $53.4 \%$ male and $44.7 \%$ female. Highest WPR among districts was Peren with $64.5 \%$ and lowest was found to be Dimapur with $40.0 \%$.

Further District wise data shows that in rural area the WPR was $54 \%$ in aggregate with $55.7 \%$ of male and $52.3 \%$ female. Highest WPR among districts was Longleng with $64.6 \%$ and lowest in Dimapur with $42.5 \%$ in 2011. Gender wise data in aggregate showed $55.7 \%$ and $52.3 \%$ for male and female respectively.

For urban area the total WPR was $37.4 \%$ comprising of 47.9 and $25.9 \%$ male and female respectively. The district with the highest WPR in urban area was Peren with $66.3 \%$ and lowest was Kiphire with $28.2 \%$. In urban area male work participation ranges from 34.4\% to $67.3 \%$, whereas for female work participation rate starts from a mere $21.6 \%$ to $29.9 \%$ excluding Peren whose work participation rate is remarkably high at $65.4 \%$ no match for the other districts.

## Increase in WPR:

There is significant increase in WPR in State's total and all the districts during the last decade (2001-2011) under all categories, viz in aggregate, rural and urban area as well as by gender._The percentage increase in WPR during 2001 to 2011 is indicated in the table here below:

Table 4.3( c): \% Increase in WPR during the last decade (2001 to 2011) in Nagaland

| State/Districts | Rural |  |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F |  |
| Nagaland | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 3 2}$ |  |
| Mon | 21.40 | 17.16 | 26.84 | 13.55 | 5.69 | 91.67 | 17.53 | 13.99 | 22.03 |  |
| Mokokchung | 14.93 | 16.31 | 13.49 | 11.90 | 5.26 | 24.32 | 9.13 | 11.88 | 5.99 |  |
| Zunheboto | 62.30 | 56.06 | 69.48 | 13.09 | 10.47 | 19.61 | 53.12 | 46.70 | 60.64 |  |
| Wokha | 30.31 | 29.25 | 31.72 | 55.31 | 41.45 | 36.22 | 34.96 | 32.45 | 39.18 |  |
| Dimapur | 21.43 | 7.47 | 55.46 | 22.88 | 7.45 | 64.66 | 19.76 | 8.60 | 58.33 |  |
| Phek | 6.03 | 5.46 | 6.38 | -21.30 | -17.26 | 211.46 | 1.87 | 2.48 | 1.46 |  |
| Tuensang | 20.18 | 19.56 | 21.11 | 37.74 | 12.24 | -30.17 | 20.82 | 17.95 | 25.13 |  |
| Longleng | 22.81 | 20.90 | 25.00 | NA | NA | 189.22 | 15.02 | 15.63 | 14.62 |  |
| Kiphire | 15.29 | 13.98 | 16.91 | NA | NA | NA | 4.85 | 6.99 | 2.94 |  |
| Kohima | 1.88 | 5.07 | -1.93 | 8.90 | 3.87 | 27.98 | 0.47 | 3.38 | -2.95 |  |
| Peren | 40.17 | 39.31 | 40.84 | NA | NA | NA | 40.83 | 39.31 | 41.28 |  |

Source: Based on Census of India 2011, primary Abstract, Data highlights,
Nagaland Series 14

The female WPR has been consistently lower than that The percentage increase in total WPR was $15.49 \%$, female WPR was increased faster than male rate $(17.32 \%$ and $14.35 \%$, respectively) during the last decade. Among the districts, Zunheboto and Wokha have experienced the highest increase in total and male WPR; moreover it was higher among female than that of male. Among female, the highest growth were in Zunheboto ( $60.64 \%$ ) and Dimapur ( $58.33 \%$ ). Districts such as Kohima (female) and Phek (total and male) have witnessed decline in WPR.

## Gender gap:

It is observed that the gender gap in WPR is higher in urban than rural area. In the table no.4.3 (d) it may be observed that the process of convergence is on but rather very insignificant in both rural and urban areas. In rural area, it was declined from 4.8 to 3.4 percentage points and urban by a relatively higher margin from 28.2 to 22 percentage points.

Table 4.3(d): Gender gap in WPR (2001 and 2011) in Nagaland

| District | 2011 |  |  | 2001 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total |
| 1.Mon | 0.9 | 14.7 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 26.6 | 5.7 |
| 2.Mokokchung | 6.2 | 22.4 | 10.5 | 4.2 | 25.3 | 7.1 |
| 3.Zunheboto | -0.4 | 17.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 17.8 | 5.1 |
| 4.Wokha | 2.7 | 16.3 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 10.8 | 5.7 |
| 5.Dimapur | 13.3 | 30 | 22 | 22.6 | 35 | 28.5 |
| 6.Phek | -1.5 | 16.6 | 1 | -1 | 46.6 | 0.5 |
| 7.Tuensang | 1.6 | 16 | 4.1 | 1.9 | -0.9 | 5.8 |
| 8.Longleng | -0.8 | 16.2 | 1.8 | 1.1 | NA | 1.1 |
| 9.Kiphire | -0.4 | 12.8 | 2.4 | 0.7 | NA | 0.7 |
| 10.Kohima | 6.1 | 20.9 | 12.8 | 2.7 | 24.6 | 10.1 |
| 11.Peren | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 1 | NA | 1 |
| Nagaland | 3.4 | 22 | 8.7 | 4.8 | 28.2 | 8.6 |

Source: Based on Census of India 2011, primary Abstract, Data highlights, Nagaland Series 14

In 2001, the Female WPR (FWPR) was higher than male by $0.9 \%$ only in Tuensang urban area and by $1 \%$ in Phek rural area. In 2011, rural FWPR was higher than male more districts viz. Zunheboto, Phek and Longleng.

## Employment in Sample Data:

In the sample population, 947 were workers, which comprised of 469 (49.52\%) male and 478 ( $50.47 \%$ ) female. Out of total male workers, (307) $65.46 \%$ were in rural area and (162) $34.54 \%$ in urban area. For female, (276) $57.74 \%$ were in rural area and (202) $42.26 \%$ in urban area. This implies that the WPR was $41.88 \%$ in sample total population.

In Mokokchung, out of the sample population, 289 were workers in rural area, which comprised of $55 \%$ male and $45 \%$ female. This shows that the WPR was $47.11 \%$ in its sample population. The male WPR was higher with $55 \%$ than that of female with $45 \%$. This implies that among male, more than half of the population were workers, while among female, it was lower. The sample working population of rural Tuensang was 294, comprised of $52.7 \%$ male and $47.3 \%$ female. The WPR for male was lower than female in both rural and urban area in Tuensang.

### 4.2 EMPLOYMENT IN FORMAL AND INFORMAL SECTORS (Sample rural and urban areas)

Formal sector encompasses all jobs with normal hours and regular wages, and are recognized as income. Sources on which income taxes must be paid; it is the opposite of informal sector. The difference between formal and informal sector is a thing of regulation. The formal includes reported payroll items, income taxes, employee taxes and any other official economic factors. The informal sector refers to that part of the economy that are not taxed, regulated, monitored or included in the GNP. Often times called "under the table", jobs in the informal sector are not reported to the government due to the fact that these jobs go unreported, and they are unable to have taxes taken out: such jobs are paid in cash and are often times less secure or stable due to the fact that they are not protected by govt. regulation.

Informal sector also refers to the large volume of self-employed in a developing country who are engaged in small-scale labour-intensive work such as tailoring, food preparation, trading, shoe-repairing, etc. These people are often regarded as unemployed or underemployed as they cannot be included in national employment statistics, but they are often highly productive and make a significant contribution to national income. The informal sector here includes both the rural and the urban sector, and would encompass the categories of self-employed, wage workers, workers in household production, as well as those engaged in petty occupations and all other forms of casual labour ${ }^{151}$.

The secondary data pertaining to formal and informal employment is not available and hence the study is based on primary data collected during 2011-12.

In the present analysis, the male and female workers in the sample (2011-2012) were classified into formal and informal sectors. The result is presented in the following section of the chapter as follows:

Table 4.4(a): Employment in formal and informal sector in sample areas of Nagaland, 2011-12

| Area | Total workers |  |  | Formal sector |  |  |  | Informal sector |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |  |
| Rural total | 52.66 | 47.34 | 61.56 | 63.16 | 36.84 | 46.83 | 43.85 | 56.15 | 83.64 |  |
| Urban total | 44.51 | 55.49 | 38.44 | 43.71 | 56.29 | 53.17 | 48.39 | 51.61 | 16.36 |  |
| Nagaland | 49.52 | 50.48 | 100 | 52.82 | 47.18 | 100.00 | 44.13 | 55.87 | 100.00 |  |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12 (Annexure no. 7 and 8)
In aggregate formal sector the total worker was 568 ; out of this $53.17 \%$ were in urban and 46.83 in rural areas. The total worker in the sector was comprised of $52.82 \%$ male and $47.18 \%$ female. In rural area 266 workers were in formal sector, where the proportion of male

[^40]workers was found to be higher than that of female workers ( $63.16 \%$ male and $36.84 \%$ for female). Whereas in urban area with a total of 302 workers, the proportion of male workers was lower than that of female ( $43.71 \%$ male and $56.29 \%$ female).

Employment in informal sector in aggregate was 379 workers, $83 \%$ were in rural and $16.36 \%$ in urban areas; comprised of $44.13 \%$ male and $55.87 \%$ female. In both rural and urban areas, the proportion of female was higher than that of male ( $43.85 \%$ male and $56.15 \%$ female in rural area and $48.39 \%$ and $51.61 \%$ in urban area)

## District Wise Sample Data:

Table 4.4(b): \% of Employment in formal and informal sector in sample Districts, 2011-12

| Area | Total workers |  |  | Formal sector |  |  |  | Informal sector |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |  |
| Mokokchung <br> Rural | 50.02 | 44.98 | 49.57 | 62.86 | 37.14 | 65.79 | 41.53 | 58.47 | 35.96 |  |
| Tuensang rural | 50.34 | 49.66 | 50.43 | 63.74 | 36.26 | 34.21 | 44.33 | 55.67 | 64.04 |  |
| Rural Total | $\mathbf{5 2 . 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |
| Mokokchung <br> urban | 45.45 | 54.55 | 57.42 | 45.00 | 55.00 | 52.98 | 46.94 | 53.06 | 79.03 |  |
| Tuensang urban | 42.23 | 56.77 | 42.58 | 42.25 | 57.75 | 47.02 | 53.85 | 46.15 | 20.97 |  |
| Urban Total | $\mathbf{4 4 . 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12 (Annexure no. 7 and 8)
The male and female workers in the sample were disaggregated into formal and informal sectors in the sample rural and urban areas of Mokokchung and Tuensang. The rural total workers was comprised more of male (52.66\%) than female (47.34), while its urban workers was comprised of $55.49 \%$ female and $44.51 \%$ of male. Similar condition is observed in both the sample districts.

District wise, rural aggregate data show that formal workers comprised of $65.79 \%$ in Mokokchung and $34.21 \%$ in Tuensamg. In both the sample districts male proportion is higher than that of female ( $62.86 \%$ and $63.74 \%$ for Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively for male and for female $37.14 \%$ and $36.26 \%$ for Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively).

The sample urban aggregate workers in formal sector comprised of $52.98 \%$ in Mokokchung and $47.02 \%$ in Tuensang. On the contrary to its counterpart, in urban area the proportion of female workers are higher than that of male in both the sample districts (comprised of $45.00 \%$ and $42.25 \%$ of male for Mokokchung and Tuensang; and for female 55\% and 57.75\% for Mokokchung and Tuensang, respectively).

The sample rural aggregate informal workers are comprised of $35.96 \%$ in Mokokchung and $64.04 \%$ in Tuensamg. In both the sample districts, male proportion is lower
than that of female in rural area $(41.53 \%$ and $44.33 \%$ in Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively for male and $58.47 \%$ and $55.67 \%$ in Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively for female).

The sample urban aggregate workers in informal sector are comprised of $79.03 \%$ in Mokokchung and $20.97 \%$ in Tuensang. In urban area, the proportion of female workers is higher than that of male in Mokokchung district (comprised of $53.06 \%$ and $46.94 \%$, respectively), while in Tuensang male proportion is higher than that of female ( $53.85 \%$ for male and for female 46.15\%) .

Despite small variations among sample districts, in general, it may be inferred that the proportion of female workers is higher than that of male. Employment in formal sector encompasses more of male than female workers and in informal sector, female proportion is higher than that of male workers. However, in urban area female participation was found to be more than the male in both the sectors.

### 4.3 NATURE OF EMPLOYMENT:

Participation in work alone is not enough, quality of women's work is also equally critical. A key challenge is to overcome a situation where women may gain employment with relative ease, but where their employment is either concentrated in poorly paid or unskilled job characterized by the absence of upward mobility and opportunity. For example: women are most often concentrated in "feminized" professions, such as nursing and teaching, office work, care of the elderly and disabled- termed "horizontal occupational segregation"-where they tend to remain in lower job categories than men. Typically, because these functions are carried out by women, they are the lowest paid, in addition to offering limited or no opportunity for advancement. The term "feminization of poverty" is often used to illustrate the fact that a substantial percentage of poor are women and that the gap between women and men in poverty has not lessened, but may well have widened in the past decade.

The nature of work in informal sector is assumed to be less stable and secure. The present study therefore attempted to analyze the differences in the nature of works in the sample by disaggregating into regular and seasonal workers in formal and informal sectors. The result in terms of rural and urban areas is presented as follows:

## (A) Regular and Seasonal Workers:

## (i) Rural Area:

Table 4.5(a): Nature of employment by sector ( $\%$ in respective total in rural area)

| Sl no | District | Regular Employment |  |  |  | Seasonal Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Activity | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Mokokchung | Formal | 79.14 | 72.22 | 76.42 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Informal | 20.86 | 27.78 | 23.58 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2 | Tuensang | Formal | 81.69 | 67.35 | 75.83 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Informal | 18.31 | 32.65 | 24.17 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 3 | Total Rural | Formal | 80 | 70.50 | 76.22 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Informal | 20 | 29.50 | 23.78 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12 (Annexure no.9)

Out of total 583 workers in rural area, $60 \%$ were regular workers and $40 \%$ seasonal workers. Among the total regular workers of 349, $76 \%$ were in formal sector and $24 \%$ in informal sector. A total 234 seasonal workers were confined in informal sector. The same condition is found in both the sample districts.

Regular workers in rural area, as gender segregated data show that among female workers, $70.50 \%$ works in formal sector and $29.50 \%$ in informal sector. The same among male is $80 \%$ and $20 \%$, respectively. In both the sample districts, the proportions of formal workers are higher than that of informal workers for both female and male. Nevertheless in formal sector, the proportion of female is lower than the male; however in informal sector, it is higher among female than that of male.

## (ii) Urban area:

Table 4.5(b): Nature of employment by sector (\% in respective total in urban area)

| Sl no | District | Activity | Regular Employment |  |  | Seasonal Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Mokokchung | Formal | 82.76 | 80.00 | 81.22 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Informal | 17.24 | 20.00 | 18.78 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2 | Tuensang | Formal | 93.75 | 94.25 | 94.04 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Informal | 6.25 | 5.75 | 5.96 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 3 | Total Urban | Formal | 87.42 | 86.29 | 86.78 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Informal | 12.58 | 13.71 | 13.22 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Out of total 364 workers in urban area, $95.60 \%$ were regular workers and only 4.40 \% were seasonal workers. Among the total regular workers of $348,86.78 \%$ were in formal sector and $13.22 \%$ in informal sector. A total 16 seasonal workers were confined in informal sector. Almost the same condition is found in both the sample districts.

As gender segregated data show that among regular female workers, $86.29 \%$ works in formal sector and $13.71 \%$ in informal sector. The same among male is $87.42 \%$ and $12.58 \%$, respectively. In both the sample districts, the proportions of regular formal workers are higher than that of informal workers for both female and male, but in formal sector, the female proportion is lower than that of male; however in informal sector it is higher among female than that of male.

The proportion of regular worker is higher in urban than rural area. Among the regular workers, very few of them are found in informal sector, which is comparatively higher in rural area. All the seasonal workers are confined in informal sector. The gender segregated data also reveals the same but male proportion in formal sector is higher while in informal sector female proportion is higher in vice versa.

## (B) Gender composition of Regular and Seasonal Workers:

## (i) Rural Area:

Table 4.6(a) Gender composition by nature of employment in formal and informal sectors in rural area:

| Rural Area | \% of persons <br> employed |  |  | Nature of work (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regular |  |  | Seasonal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mokokchung | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Formal sector | 62.9 | 37.1 | 60.6 | 62.9 | 37.1 | 76.4 | - | - | - |
| Informal sector | 43.0 | 57.0 | 39.4 | 53.7 | 46.3 | 23.6 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 100 |
| Sub-Total | 55.0 | 45.0 | 100 | 60.7 | 39.3 | 100 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 100 |
| Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Formal sector | 63.7 | 36.3 | 31.0 | 63.7 | 36.3 | 75.8 | - | - | - |
| Informal sector | 44.3 | 55.7 | 69.0 | 44.8 | 55.2 | 24.2 | 44.3 | 55.7 | 100 |
| Sub-Total | 50.3 | 49.7 | 100 | 59.2 | 40.8 | 100 | 44.3 | 55.7 | 100 |
| Rural Total | 52.7 | 47.3 | 100 | 60.2 | 39.8 | 100 | 41.5 | 58.5 | 100 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12 (Annexure no. 9)

In rural total, regular workers are constituted by $60.2 \%$ of male and $39.8 \%$ female, while the seasonal workers are comprised of $41.5 \%$ male and $58.5 \%$ female. The nature of employment by gender composition in rural area, the male work participation is higher than
female as regular worker, whereas for seasonal workers female work participation is higher than that of male. As more women than male are concentrated in low end of the spectrum, in low paying and insecure seasonal jobs, which would have negative implications on their level of income and economic status.

District wise data also show that, in both the sample districts female proportion is higher than that of male in seasonal nature of work like agriculture. While in regular formal sector, male constituted a higher proportion of worker than female in both the districts. The regular informal sector of Mokokchung district is constituted by more of male workers ( $61 \%$ against $39 \%$ of male and female, respectively, whereas, at Tuensang the regular informal sector is consisted of more of female workers ( $55 \%$ of female and $45 \%$ of male).

## (ii) Urban Area:

Table 4.6(b): Nature of employment in formal and informal sectors

| Urban Area | Number of persons employed |  |  |  |  |  | Nature of work |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Regular |  |  |  | Season |  |
| Mokokchung | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Formal sector | 45.0 | 55.0 | 76.6 | 45.0 | 55.0 | 81.2 | - | - | - |
| Informal sector | 46.9 | 53.1 | 23.4 | 40.5 | 59.5 | 18.8 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 100 |
| Sub-Total | 45.5 | 54.5 | 100 | 44.2 | 55.8 | 100 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 100 |
| Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Formal sector | 42.3 | 57.7 | 91.6 | 42.3 | 57.7 | 94.0 | - | - | - |
| Informal sector | 53.8 | 46.2 | 8.4 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 6.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 100 |
| Sub-Total | 43.2 | 56.8 | 100 | 42.4 | 57.6 | 100 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 100 |
| Urban Total | 44.5 | 55.5 | 100 | 43.4 | 56.6 | 100 | 68.8 | 31.2 | 100 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Employment in urban area was also disaggregated into formal and informal sectors, which were further divided into regular and seasonal workers. In sample aggregate data, regular workers constitute $43.4 \%$ male and $56.6 \%$ female and seasonal workers were $68.8 \%$ male and $31.2 \%$ female in urban area.

The urban data reveal that employment as regular worker in both formal and informal sector was higher for female than male in both the districts. Mokokchung comprised of 45\% male and $55 \%$ female regular workers in formal sector, and in informal sector it was $40.5 \%$ male and $59.5 \%$ female as regular workers in informal sector. Similarly, Tuensang district consist of $42.3 \%$ male and $57.7 \%$ female as regular worker in formal sector and it was $44.4 \%$ male and $55.6 \%$ female as regular workers in informal sector.

All the Seasonal workers are found to be confined in informal sector in both the districts, which comprised of $66.7 \%$ male and $33.3 \%$ female in Mokokchung and in Tuensang it is $75 \%$ male and $25 \%$ female.

### 4.4 ACTIVITIES IN FORMAL AND INFORMAL SECTOR

The workers in informal sector were employed in the activities such as cultivators, vegetable vendors, casual labour, carpentry, transport, petty business/trade, household industry, home based food processing, tailoring/handloom/weaving etc. The respondents had been classified into different categories according to the economic activity they take up and segregated according to gender. The gender segregated data on sample total is given as follows:

## A. Rural Area:

Table 4.7(a): Gender Segregated \% of formal and informal workers (sample total Rural)

| SL NO. | ACTIVITIES | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | FORMAL: | 53.07 | 35.61 | 44.80 |
|  | Other service |  |  |  |
| 22 | INFORMAL: | 21.36 | 40.65 | 30.49 |
|  | Cultivators |  |  |  |
| 3 | Vegetable vendor | 0.00 | 3.96 | 1.87 |
| 4 | Casual labour | 11.00 | 1.08 | 6.30 |
| 5 | Carpentry | 1.62 | 0.00 | 0.85 |
| 6 | Food processing | 12.62 | 16.55 | 14.48 |
| 7 | Tailoring/weaving | 0.00 | 2.16 | 1.02 |
| 9 | social/pol. workers | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
|  | TOTAL RURAL: | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
As rural sample aggregate data show that nearly $45 \%$ of the workers in rural area are engaged in formal activities, while $55 \%$ are in informal activities. Among the informal workers, majority of them are engaged in cultivation (30\%), followed by food processing ( $14.48 \%$ ) and casual labour ( $6.30 \%$ ). Others informal activities are vegetable vendors (1.87\%), tailoring and weaving, carpentry etc.

Gender segregated data show that, among female workers in rural area, most of them are into cultivation ( $40.65 \%$ ), which for male is only $21.36 \%$. This is followed by services in formal sector with $35.61 \%$ among female, and $53.07 \%$ is for male. For both female and male the next activity is food processing ( $16.55 \%$ and $12.62 \%$, respectively). Other activities among female are vegetable vendors, tailoring and weaving, and only $1 \%$ is into casual labour work.

There are no carpenter and social workers. Among male other activities are casual labour ( $11 \%$ ), carpentry ( $1.62 \%$ ) and social workers. There are no tailors, weavers and vegetable vendors.

The fact leads to the inference that in Nagaland majority of the female workers in rural area are engaged in informal activities, mostly of agriculture. Whereas, male are commonly engaged in formal activities/services where income are higher and regular, and also jobs are more secured.


Table 4.7(b): \% of formal and informal workers in rural sample districts.

| SL.NO | ACTIVITIES | Mokokchung |  |  | Tuensang |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | FORMAL: | 66.88 | 49.62 | 59.04 | 38.26 | 22.76 | 30.61 |
|  | Other service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | INFORMAL: | 12.50 | 27.07 | 19.11 | 30.87 | 53.10 | 41.84 |
|  | Cultivators |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Vegetable vendor | 0.00 | 3.01 | 1.37 | 0.00 | 4.83 | 2.38 |
| 4 | Casual labour | 5.00 | 0.00 | 2.73 | 17.45 | 2.07 | 9.86 |
| 5 | Carpentry | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 2.01 | 0.00 | 1.02 |
| 6 | Food processing | 13.75 | 18.05 | 15.70 | 11.41 | 15.17 | 13.27 |
| 7 | Tailoring/weaving | 0.00 | 2.26 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 2.07 | 1.02 |
| 8 | Social/pol. Workers | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | TOTAL (Rural) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

Among the sample districts, Mokokchung being relatively developed, it has a higher proportion of rural workers in formal services than that in Tuensang ( $59 \%$ and $31 \%$, respectively). For Tuensang, almost $70 \%$ are engaged in informal activities, among them majority are into cultivation (41.84\%). Comparatively, cultivators comprised of only $19 \%$ in Mokokchung.

The gender segregated data show that in both the districts, cultivation, vegetable vendors, tailoring and weaving are predominantly of female's works, whereas food processing is relatively equally shared.

Furthermore, in Mokokchung majority of male (66.88\%) as well as female (49.62\%) rural workers are engaged in formal services, while in Tuensang its proportions are only $38.26 \%$ of male and $22.76 \%$ of female.

Among the rural female workers, nearly 50 \% in Mokokchung and 78\% in Tuensang are engaged in informal activities (for male it is $34 \%$ in Mokokchung and $63 \%$ in Tuensang). Among those female informal workers in Tuensang, $53 \%$ are into cultivation, while the same is only $27 \%$ in Mokokchung. Next is food processing with $18 \%$ in Mokokchung and $15 \%$ in Tuensang.

## Gender composition by activities:

The total sample cultivator in the rural area was 180 , comprised of $35.56 \%$ male and $64.44 \%$ female. Total number of cultivators in rural Mokokchung was 55 comprised of $32.73 \%$ male and $67.27 \%$ female, and the other activities taken up in rural areas were petty business (of $50.98 \%$ of female and $49.02 \%$ of male), and weaving where $100 \%$ are women. In rural Tuensang 125 (comprised of $36.80 \%$ and $63.20 \%$ female) were cultivators; other activities were casual labour ( $25 \%$ of female and $75 \%$ of male), business mostly petty ( $51.90 \%$ of female and $48.10 \%$ of male), and weaving where $100 \%$ is women. In Services the workers includes $62.36 \%$ of male and $37.64 \%$ of female.

Table 4.8: Gender composition in Employment by economic activities (Rural)

| Activity (rural) | Mokokchung |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Cultivators | 32.73 | 67.27 | 18.77 | 36.80 | 63.20 | 42.52 | 35.56 | 64.44 | 30.66 |
| Vegetable vendors | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Casual labour | 100 | - | 2.73 | 70.83 | 29.17 | 16.33 | 75.00 | 25.00 | 9.54 |
| Carpentry | 100 | - | 0.68 | - | - | - | 100 | - | 0.34 |
| Transport | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Business/trade | 49.02 | 50.98 | 17.41 | 44.83 | 55.17 | 9.86 | 48.10 | 51.90 | 13.63 |
| Household industry <br> (i)Food processing | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (ii) <br> Tailoring/handloom/ <br> weaving | - | 100 | 1.02 | - | 100 | 0.68 | - | 100 | 0.85 |
| Other workers/ <br> services | 61.85 | 38.15 | 59.04 | 63.33 | 36.67 | 30.61 | 62.36 | 37.64 | 44.80 |
| Political \& social <br> workers | 100 | - | 0.34 | - | - | - | 100 | - | 0.17 |
| Total (Rural) | 54.95 | 45.05 | 100 | 51.02 | 48.98 | 100 | 52.81 | 47.19 | 100 |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12

## Village Wise Analysis:

## (i) Mokokchung District

Table 4.9(a): Village wise employment by economic activities

| Activity | Mokokchung |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ungma village |  |  | Mokokchung village |  |  | Khensa village |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Cultivators | 64.29 | 35.71 | 14.29 | 47.37 | 52.63 | 19.00 | 8.70 | 91.30 | 24.21 | 35.71 | 64.29 | 19.11 |
| Vegetable vendor | - | 100 | 1.02 | - | 100 | 3.00 | - | - | - | - | 100 | 1.37 |
| Casual labour | 100 | - | 3.06 | 100 | - | 4.00 | 100 | - | 1.05 | 100 | - | 2.73 |
| Carpentry | - | - | - | 100 | - | 1.00 | 100 | - | 1.05 | 100 | - | 0.68 |
| Household industries <br> (i)food processing | 37.50 | 62.50 | 24.49 | 63.64 | 36.36 | 11.00 | 54.55 | 45.45 | 11.58 | 47.83 | 52.17 | 15.70 |
| (ii)Tailoring/ weaving/ Handloom | - | 100 | 2.04 | - | - | - | - | 100 | 1.05 | - | 100 | 1.02 |
| Other workers/Services | 56.36 | 43.64 | 56.12 | 59.68 | 40.32 | 62.00 | 68.42 | 31.58 | 60.00 | 61.85 | 38.15 | 59.04 |
| Political and social workers | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | 1.05 | 100 | - | 0.34 |
| Total (rural MKG.) | 53.06 | 46.94 | 100 | 58.00 | 42.00 | 100 | 52.63 | 47.37 | 100 | 54.61 | 45.39 | 100 |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12

Employment by economic activities in the three villages of Mokokchung district shows that the highest number of workers was in services sector with $59.04 \%$, followed by cultivators $19.11 \%$ and thirdly the household industries with $15.70 \%$. The other economic activities like vegetable vendor show $1.37 \%$ only, but almost all agricultural household sell agricultural products though not in large quantity. Casual labours were $2.73 \%$ of total workers consisting of male only in Mokokchung, also carpentry $0.68 \%$ consisting of male only. Political and social workers were $0.34 \%$.

It is further revealed that in Mokokchung district highest number of cultivators is in Khensa village with $24(8.70 \%$ male and $91.30 \%$ female) and lowest in Ungma village comprised of $14(64.29 \%$ male and $35.71 \%$ female). The other activity comprise household industries and Ungma village had the highest number employed in this activity, from out of the total population $24(37.50 \%$ male and $62.50 \%$ female $)$. Lowest was found in Mokokchung village with $11(63.64 \%$ male and $36.36 \%$ female). The third activity where highest concentration is found was the services sector. In services sector Mokokchung village had the highest number with $62(59.68 \%$ male and $40.32 \%$ female). The lowest was Ungma with $56(56.36 \%$ male and $43.64 \%$ female) in the service sector.

## (ii) Tuensang District:

Table 4.9(b): Village wise employment by economic activities

| Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Tuensang village |  |  | Chare village |  |  | Kuthur village |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Cultivators | 50.00 | 50.00 | 14.43 | 39.62 | 60.38 | 52.48 | 32.14 | 67.86 | 58.33 | 37.40 | 62.60 | 41.84 |
| Vegetable vendor | - | 100 | 2.06 | - | - | - | - | 100 | 5.21 | - | 100 | 2.38 |
| Casual labour | 75.00 | 25.00 | 4.12 | 66.67 | 33.33 | 5.94 | 100 | - | 19.79 | 89.66 | 10.34 | 9.86 |
| Carpentry | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | 3.13 | 100 | - | 1.02 |
| Household industries : <br> (i)Food processing | 42.31 | 57.69 | 26.80 | 40.00 | 60.00 | 4.95 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 8.33 | 43.59 | 56.41 | 13.27 |
| (ii) Tailoring/weaving /handloom | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | 3.13 | - | 100 | 1.02 |
| Other workers/services | 58.82 | 41.18 | 52.58 | 70.27 | 29.73 | 36.63 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 2.08 | 63.33 | 36.67 | 30.61 |
| Political and social workers | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total (Rural Tuensang) | 52.58 | 47.42 | 100 | 52.48 | 47.52 | 100 | 46.88 | 53.13 | 100 | 50.68 | 49.32 | 100 |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12
In rural Tuensang, $41.84 \%$ were cultivators followed by services sector with $30.61 \%$ and thirdly the household industries with $13.27 \%$. There were $2.38 \%$ vegetable vendors composed of female workers only and casual labour constitutes $9.86 \%$ which include both male and female. Activity like Carpentry was male domain with $1.02 \%$ and in weaving only female with $1.02 \%$ workers.

In Tuensang district similar activities were found like that of Mokokchung, except for casual labour activity where female participation was $10.34 \%$ in aggregate. In aggregate highest participation was found in cultivation with $41.84 \%$. The highest number of cultivators was found in Kuthur village consisting of $56(32.14 \%$ male and $67.86 \%$ female), and lowest was Tuensang village with only 14 persons consisting of (50\%) male and female respectively. Services sector takes the second consisting of $30.61 \%$ in aggregate. In this sector the highest participation was found in Tuensang village composed of 51 workers ( $58.82 \%$ male and $41.18 \%$ female), and the lowest was in Kuthur village with only 2 persons ( $50 \%$ ) for male and female respectively. Household industries had the lowest number in aggregate with Tuensang village having the highest number comprised of 26 persons ( $42.31 \%$ male and $57.69 \%$ female), the lowest was Chare village with 5 persons ( $40 \%$ and $60 \%$ ) for male and female respectively. The other household activity was weaving where it is only female participation 3 in Kuthur village.

In rural area, informal activities like casual labour and carpentry are exclusively taken up by male in Mokokchung and Tuensang, except for casual labour activity in Tuensang where female consists of $29.17 \%$, and activity such as vegetable vendor, weaving are taken up by female in both the districts. In business and trade which are usually petty business were taken up by both male and female in both the districts composed of $49.02 \%$ male and $50.98 \%$ female in Mokokchung and $44.83 \%$ male and $55.17 \%$ female in Tuensang.

## B. URBAN AREA:

Table 4.10: Gender Segregated \% in formal and informal sectors (Urban)

| Sl no. | Activity | Mokokchung |  |  | Tuensang |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1 | FORMAL | 79.59 | 77.27 | 78.37 | 92.19 | 94.19 | 93.33 | 84.57 | 84.69 | 84.64 |
|  | Other workers/ services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | INFORMAL | 1.02 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 1.56 | 0 | 0.67 | 1.23 | 0.51 | 0.84 |
|  | Cultivators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Vegetable Vendors |  | 1.82 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.02 | 0.56 |
| 4 | Casual Labour | 3.06 |  | 1.44 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.85 |  | 0.84 |
| 5 | Business/Trade | 14.29 | 19.09 | 16.83 | 6.25 | 4.65 | 5.33 | 11.11 | 12.76 | 12.01 |
| 6 | Household Industry: | 0 | 0.91 | 0.48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.51 | 0.28 |
|  | (i)Food Processing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (ii)Tailoring/handloom/ weaving | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.16 | 0.67 | 0 | 0.51 | 0.28 |
| 7 | Political \& Social workers | 2.04 |  | 0.96 | 0 | 0 |  | 1.23 |  | 0.56 |
|  | Total (Urban) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Based on SaSample Survey, 2011-12(Annexure no.11)

As urban sample aggregate data show that nearly $87 \%$ of the workers in urban area are engaged in formal services, while only $13 \%$ are in informal activities. Among the informal workers, majority of them are engaged in business ( $12 \%$ ), followed by casual labour and cultivators $(0.84 \%$ each $)$. Others informal activities are vegetable vendors, tailoring and weaving, carpentry etc.

Gender segregated data show that, among both female and male workers in urban area, majority of them are into services in formal sector for male is $84 . \%$ and female is $84.69 \%$ ). This is followed by business in informal sector with $11 \%$ among male and $12.76 \%$ among for female. Vegetable vendors, food processing and tailoring/weaving etc are all females, although they constituted only small proportions.

## Gender Composition:

Table 4.11: Employment by Economic Activities (urban)

| Activity | Mokokchung |  |  | Tuensang |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Cultivators | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.96 | 100 | - | 0.67 | 66.67 | 33.33 | 0.84 |
| Vegetable vendors | - | 100 | 0.96 | - | - | - | - | 100 | 0.56 |
| Casual labour | 100 | - | 1.44 | - | - | - | 100 | - | 0.84 |
| Business/trade | 40.00 | 60.00 | 16.83 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 5.33 | 41.86 | 58.14 | 12.00 |
| Household industry <br> (i)Food processing | - | 100 | 0.48 | - | - | - | - | 100 | 0.28 |
| (ii)Tailoring/handloom/weaving | - | - | - | - | 100 | 0.67 | - | 100 | 0.28 |
| Other workers/ <br> services | 47.85 | 52.15 | 78.37 | 42.14 | 57.86 | 93.33 | 45.21 | 54.79 | 84.64 |
| Political \& social workers | 100 | - | 0.96 | - | - | - | 100 | - | 0.56 |
| Sub-total | 47.12 | 52.88 | 100 | 42.67 | 57.33 | 100 | 45.25 | 54.75 | 100 |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12 (Annexure no.12)
In urban area only $0.84 \%$ of the sample population were cultivators, in which male percentage was higher at $66.67 \%$ and $33.33 \%$ only for female. Other economic activities like casual labour accounts for $0.84 \%$, where $100 \%$ of the workers are male, in trade $12 \%$ ( comprised of $41.86 \%$ of male and $58.14 \%$ of female), vegetable vendors $0.56 \%$ in aggregate, where all workers were female. The area with highest employment was other workers/services with $84.64 \%$, male and female worker in this sector was $45.21 \%$ and $54.79 \%$. In other activity such as in political and social work it was $0.56 \%$, all male where women's participation was nil. It is observed on the basis of economic activities that women actively participate in almost all the activities alongside men except in areas where socially accepted norms seem to have followed. In our society the domains are demarcated and therefore in some category of work
only female work such as vegetable vendors, weaving and tailoring, food processing etc. and in some other only males do the work such as political/social workers, casual labour etc.

It is observed that in total urban work participation, female accounted for higher proportion than that of male. Among the economic activities in urban area, Services is the principal work and next is business, where in both, female participation rate is higher than that of male. Works such as vegetable vendors, weaving and tailoring, food processing etc. are domains of female. On the other, political/social workers and casual labour are of male.

### 4.5 EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION

## (i) Employment by age and Education:

The general perception is that raising educational levels increase women labour participation rate. Hence, employment by educational levels such as below high school, high school, higher secondary, graduates and post-graduates and above was determined for women vis-à-vis male according to their age groups.

Table 4.12: Employment by age and educational level in sample rural areas of
Mokokchung and Tuensang

| Age Group | Educational Levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mokokchung | Below High school |  | High School |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| $16-24$ | - | 100 | 1.72 | 40.00 | 60.00 | 5.10 | 42.86 | 57.14 | 10.77 |
| $25-34$ | 75.00 | 25.00 | 7.47 | 43.75 | 56.25 | 16.33 | 55.56 | 44.44 | 27.69 |
| $35-59$ | 45.95 | 54.05 | 68.52 | 52.24 | 47.76 | 68.37 | 63.89 | 36.11 | 55.38 |
| $60 \&$ <br> above | 33.33 | 66.67 | 22.22 | 80.00 | 20.00 | 10.20 | 100 | - | 6.15 |
| Sub-Total | 44.44 | 55.56 | 100 | 53.06 | 46.94 | 100 | 61.54 | 38.46 | 100 |
| Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $16-24$ | 50.00 | 50.00 | 1.15 | 100 | - | 1.30 | - | - | - |
| $25-34$ | 15.38 | 84.62 | 7.47 | 11.11 | 88.89 | 11.69 | 42.86 | 57.14 | 28.00 |
| $35-59$ | 44.90 | 55.10 | 84.48 | 68.75 | 31.25 | 83.12 | 77.78 | 22.22 | 72.00 |
| $60 \&$ above | 50.00 | 50.00 | 6.90 | 66.67 | 33.33 | 3.90 | - | - | - |
| Sub-Total | 43.10 | 56.90 | 100 | 62.34 | 37.66 | 100 | 68.00 | 32.00 | 100 |
| Rural Total | $\mathbf{4 3 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12

Table 4.12: continued:

| Age Group | Educational Levels |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mokokchung | Graduate |  |  | Post-graduate \& above |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| $16-24$ | - | 100 | 4.76 | - | - | - |
| $25-34$ | 57.69 | 42.31 | 41.27 | 57.14 | 42.86 | 77.78 |
| $35-59$ | 62.50 | 37.50 | 50.79 | 100 | - | 22.22 |
|  <br> above | 100 | - | 3.17 | - | - | - |
| Sub-Total | 58.73 | 41.27 | 100 | 66.67 | 33.33 | 100 |
| Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $16-24$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $25-34$ | 40.00 | 60.00 | 27.78 | - | - | - |
| $35-59$ | 61.54 | 38.46 | 72.22 | - | - | - |
| $60 \&$ above | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-Total | 55.56 | 44.44 | 100 | - | - | - |
| Rural Total | $\mathbf{5 8 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | - | - | - |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

## Rural Mokokchung:

In rural sample area of Mokokchung there were 289 workers. The employed persons were disaggregated according to their age and educational level. The total working population in sample is comprised of $50.02 \%$ male and $44.98 \%$ female in rural Mokokchung. Out of total sample population the highest proportion of workers had high school level qualification consisting of $98(53.06 \%$ male and $46.94 \%$ female), followed by higher secondary $65(61.54 \%$ male and $38.46 \%$ female), and the third was graduates $63(58.73 \%$ male and $41.27 \%$ female), followed by below high school consisting of 54 ( $44.44 \%$ male and $55.56 \%$ female). On the other hand the least was post-graduates with $9(66.67 \%$ male and $33.33 \%$ female).

The sample working population was further divided into four age groups 16-24 years, 25-34 years, 35-59 years and 60 years and above. In sample aggregate, the highest proportion of workers were in the age group 35-59 years, having high school qualification consisting of ( $53.06 \%$ male and $46.94 \%$ female), and the lowest proportion of workers were found among post-graduates and most of them were in the age group of 25-34 years consisting of ( $57.14 \%$ male and $42.86 \%$ female) in Mokokchung district.

## Rural Tuensang:

In rural Tuensang there were 294 workers, consisting of $51.02 \%$ male and $48.98 \%$ female. Out of the total sample population, the highest number of workers was found to have below high school qualification consisting of $174(43.10 \%$ male and $56.90 \%$ female), attended
high school level consists of $77(62.34 \%$ male and $37.66 \%$ female), followed by higher secondary $25(68 \%$ male and $32 \%$ female), and the least was graduates with $18(55.56 \%$ male and $44.44 \%$ female). There were no workers with post-graduate degree in the rural sample area.

The highest number of workers in rural Tuensang, were in the age group of 35-59 years with $82.31 \%$ of the total workers. The lowest was found in the age group of 16-24 years. The percentage of working population as well as attainment of education was lower among female than male in rural Tuensang.

Table 4.13: Employment by age and educational level in sample urban areas of Mokokchung and Tuensang

| Age group | Educational level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below high school |  | High School |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  |  |  |
| Mokokchung | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |  |
| $16-24$ yrs | 100 | - | 10.00 | - | - | - | 50.00 | 50.00 | 5.00 |  |
| $25-34$ | - | - | - | 50.00 | 50.00 | 5.71 | 20.00 | 80.00 | 25.00 |  |
| $35-59$ | 44.44 | 55.56 | 90.00 | 36.67 | 63.33 | 85.71 | 44.44 | 55.56 | 67.50 |  |
| $60 \&$ above | - | - | - | 100 | - | 8.57 | - | 100 | 2.50 |  |
| Sub-total | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 42.86 | 57.14 | 100 | 37.50 | 62.50 | 100 |  |
| Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $16-24$ yrs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| $25-34$ | - | - | - | - | 100 | 2.33 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 11.11 |  |
| $35-59$ | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 26.83 | 73.17 | 95.35 | 45.16 | 54.84 | 86.11 |  |
| $60 \&$ above | - | - | - | 100 | - | 2.33 | 100 | - | 2.78 |  |
| Sub-total | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 27.91 | 72.09 | 100 | 47.22 | 52.78 | 100 |  |
| Urban total | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 34.62 | 65.38 | 100 | 42.11 | 57.89 | 100 |  |


| Age group | Educational level |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Graduate |  |  | Post-graduate |  |  |
| Mokokchung | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| $16-24$ yrs | - | - | - | - | 100 | 2.38 |
| $25-34$ | 38.10 | 61.90 | 26.60 | 66.67 | 33.33 | 14.29 |
| $35-59$ | 52.63 | 47.37 | 69.51 | 45.71 | 54.29 | 83.33 |
| 60 \& above | 75.00 | 25.00 | 4.88 | - | - | - |
| Sub-total | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 47.62 | 52.38 | 100 |
| Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $16-24$ yrs | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $25-34$ | 52.38 | 47.62 | 43.75 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 12.50 |
| $35-59$ | 55.56 | 44.44 | 56.25 | 23.08 | 10 | 81.25 |
| 60 \& above | - | - | - | 100 | - | 6.25 |
| Sub-total | 54.17 | 45.83 | 100 | 31.25 | 68.75 | 100 |
| Urban total | 51.54 | 48.46 | 100 | 43.10 | 56.90 | 100 |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12

## Urban Mokokchung:

Mokokchung consist of 209(45.45\% male and 54.07\% female) workers and Tuensang $155(42.58 \%$ male and $57.42 \%$ female) in urban area. The workers in the area were classified into four age groups. It is seen in table 4.12 that among urban workers the highest was in the age group of 35-59 years in both the districts similar to that of rural area, and the least workers were found in the age group of 16-24 years in Mokokchung, whereas it was nil in Tuensang in this age group. For Tuensang the least number of workers were in the age group of 60 years and above.

On the other hand, employment by education reveal that, the highest number of workforce were graduates consisting of $51.54 \%$ male and $48.46 \%$ female. The least number of workers are found to have below high school level of education consisting of $50 \%$ each for male and female in aggregate.

By gender concern, in Mokokchung among female the highest number of workers were graduates sharing the same percentage with male $50 \%$ in their respective total. The least number of workers belong to below high school where male and female share $50 \%$ respectively. In Tuensang, highest number of female workers had high school qualification composed of $73.17 \%$ in the respective total and the least number of workers is found to have below high school level education.

Table 4.14: Employment by age and education in sample total of Nagaland during 2011-12

| Age group | Educational level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below high school |  | High School |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  |  |  |
| Mokokchung | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |  |
| $16-24$ yrs | 50.50 | 50.50 | 3.13 | 40.00 | 60.00 | 3.73 | 37.50 | 62.50 | 7.77 |  |
| $25-34$ | 75.00 | 25.00 | 6.25 | 44.44 | 55.56 | 13.43 | 40.74 | 59.26 | 26.21 |  |
| $35-59$ | 45.65 | 54.35 | 71.88 | 47.42 | 52.58 | 72.39 | 55.56 | 44.44 | 61.17 |  |
| $60 \&$ above | 37.50 | 62.05 | 18.75 | 78.57 | 21.43 | 10.45 | 80.00 | 20.00 | 4.85 |  |
| Sub-total | 45.31 | 54.69 | 100 | 49.62 | 50.38 | 100 | 51.46 | 48.54 | 100 |  |
| Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $16-24$ yrs | 50.00 | 50.00 | 1.08 | 100 | - | 0.83 | - | - | - |  |
| $25-34$ | 15.38 | 84.62 | 6.99 | 10.00 | 90.00 | 8.33 | 45.45 | 54.54 | 18.03 |  |
| $35-59$ | 45.28 | 54.72 | 85.48 | 52.38 | 47.62 | 87.05 | 57.14 | 42.86 | 80.33 |  |
| $60 \&$ above | 50.00 | 50.00 | 6.45 | 75.00 | 25.00 | 3.33 | 100 | - | 1.64 |  |
| Sub-total | 43.55 | 56.45 | 100 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 55.74 | 44.26 | 100 |  |
| Nagaland | 44.00 | 56.00 | 100 | 49.61 | 50.39 | 100 | 53.05 | 46.95 | 100 |  |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12

Table 4.14: continued:

| Age group | Educational level |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Graduate |  |  | Post-graduate |  |  |
| Mokokchung | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| $16-24$ yrs | - | 100 | 2.05 | - | 100 | 1.96 |
| $25-34$ | 48.94 | 51.06 | 32.19 | 61.54 | 38.46 | 25.49 |
| $35-59$ | 56.67 | 43.33 | 61.64 | 48.65 | 51.35 | 72.55 |
| 60 \& above | 83.33 | 16.67 | 4.11 | - | - | - |
| Sub-total | 54.11 | 45.89 | 100 | 50.98 | 49.02 | 100 |
| Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $16-24$ yrs | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $25-34$ | 50.00 | 50.00 | 39.39 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 12.50 |
| $35-59$ | 57.50 | 42.50 | 60.61 | 23.08 | 76.92 | 81.25 |
| 60 \& above | - | - | - | 100 | - | 6.25 |
| Sub-total | 54.54 | 45.45 | 100 | 31.25 | 68.75 | 100 |
| Nagaland | 54.25 | 45.75 | 100 | 46.27 | 53.73 | 100 |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12
As per the survey conducted during 2011-12 in Nagaland, employment in the sample area by age is shown which indicates the variation in employment in different age groups. Distribution of workers by age in sample areas show that the highest number of workers was in the age group of 35-59 years and the lowest was in the age group of 16-24 years. Employment by education show that highest number of workers fall in the category of below high school $250(44 \%$ male and $56 \%$ female) and high school level consists of $254(49.61 \%$ male and $50.39 \%$ female). This was followed by graduates with 212 workers, where the percentage of male and female was $54.25 \%$ and $45.75 \%$ respectively. The lowest number of workers was found in the post graduate level with 67 ( $46.27 \%$ male and $53.73 \%$ female). This indicates that female workers have lower levels of education than male except in post graduate level where female percentage is higher.

Table 4.15: Employment by educational level in sample rural areas of Mokokchung \& Tuensang

| Area | Educational levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below High School |  |  | High School |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  | Graduate |  |  | Post-gratuate \& above |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | ${ }^{\text {T }}$ |
| MKG | 44.44 | 55.56 | 100 | 53.06 | 46.94 | 100 | 61.54 | 38.46 | 100 | 58.73 | 41.27 | 100 | 66.67 | 33.33 | 100 |
| TSG | 43.10 | 56.90 | 100 | 62.34 | 37.66 | 100 | 68.00 | 32.00 | 100 | 55.56 | 44.44 | 100 | - | - | - |
| Sub-total | 43.42 | 56.58 | 100 | 56.82 | 43.18 | 100 | 62.50 | 37.50 | 100 | 58.54 | 41.46 | 100 | - | - | - |
| Source: Sample survey 2011-12$M K G=$ Mokokchung and TSG $=$ Tuens |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The total number of workers in rural sample areas was 583 consisting of 289 (49.57\%) from Mokokchung and 294(50.43\%) from Tuensang. Employment by educational level shows
that highest number of workers had only below high school level education with ( $43.42 \%$ for male and $56.58 \%$ for female). This was followed by high school level with $176(56.82 \%$ male and $43.18 \%$ female). In higher secondary level it was $88(62.50 \%$ male and $37.50 \%$ female), Graduates composed of $82(58.54 \%$ male and $41.46 \%$ female). The lowest was found to be in the post-graduate level with 9 ( $66.67 \%$ male and $33.33 \%$ female) in rural Mokokchung, it was nil in rural Tuensang.

The data indicate that female employment is lower than male at all levels of education in the rural sample areas. Lower levels of education among female comes in the way of employment and employability.
Table 4.16: Employment by educational level in sample urban areas

| Area | Educational levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below High School |  |  | High School |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  | Graduate |  |  | Post-graduate \& above |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| MKG | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 42.86 | 57.14 | 100 | 37.50 | 62.50 | 100 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 47.62 | 52.38 | 100 |
| TSG | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 27.91 | 72.09 | 100 | 47.22 | 52.78 | 100 | 54.17 | 45.83 | 100 | 31.25 | 68.75 | 100 |
| Sub- | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 34.62 | 65.38 | 100 | 42.11 | 57.89 | 100 | 51.54 | 48.46 | 100 | 43.10 | 56.90 | 100 |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12
$M K G=$ Mokokchung and TSG=Tuensang

In urban area of the two sample districts the working population is classified according to their educational levels. The total employed in urban sample population was 364 consists of ( $44.51 \%$ male and $55.49 \%$ female). Of the total workers Mokokchung consisted of 209 ( $57.42 \%$ ) and Tuensang $155(42.58 \%)$. Out of the total sample the highest proportion of workers was graduates in both the districts consisting of $130(51.54 \%$ male and $48.46 \%$ female). This was followed by high school level with 78 ( $34.62 \%$ male and $65.38 \%$ female), and higher secondary $76(42.11 \%$ male and $57.89 \%$ female). There were 58 post graduates comprised of ( $43.10 \%$ male and $56.90 \%$ female). The lowest was found to be having below high school level qualification in both the districts with 22 workers, consist of $50 \%$ each of male and female in Mokokchung and Tuensang.

The above analysis reveal that except in the level of below high school and graduates, in all the other levels of education female employment was higher than male in the urban area.

Table 4.17: Employment by educational level in sample areas of Nagaland during 2011-12

| Area | Educational levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below High School |  |  | High School |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  | Graduate |  |  | Post-graduate \& above |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Mkg | 45.31 | 54.69 | 100 | 49.62 | 50.38 | 100 | 51.46 | 48.54 | 100 | 54.11 | 45.89 | 100 | 50.98 | 49.02 | 100 |
| Tsg | 43.55 | 56.45 | 100 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 55.74 | 44.26 | 100 | 54.54 | 45.45 | 100 | 31.25 | 68.75 | 100 |
| Nagal and | 44.00 | 56.00 | 100 | 49.61 | 50.39 | 100 | 53.05 | 46.95 | 100 | 54.25 | 45.75 | 100 | 46.27 | 53.73 | 100 |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12

The estimates of Employment by education in Nagaland in the two sample districts during 2011-12 show that, the highest number of workers in Nagaland was below high school level education with $28.52 \%$ in Mokokchung and $71.48 \%$ in Tuensang. The lowest was workers having post-graduate level of education consisting of $76.12 \%$ in Mokokchung and $23.88 \%$ in Tuensang. By gender concern the highest number of female workers was below high school, followed by high school level, graduates, higher secondary and the least were the post-graduates. As compared to male employment at each level of education, female accounted a higher proportion at high school and below and post graduate levels, where as male workers were more than the female workers with higher secondary and graduates levels of education.

## (ii) Relationship between Education and Employment:

Education is vital for women work participation and to earn a decent income, which improve their status and also enable to improve family welfare. The impact of education on employment among female population was analyzed with the help of simple regression method, taking the levels of education as independent variable and employment status of women as dependent variable. The employed are those women workforce who are engaged in economically productive activities from which they earn an income, on the other hand, the unemployed are those potential labour force who are willing to work and looking for job ${ }^{152}$. For the employment, the employed are assigned ' 1 ' and unemployed persons are assigned value ' 0 '. For the education, the respondents' attained levels of education are assigned values, viz., illiterate $=0$, primary and below $=1$, upper primary to high school $=2$, higher secondary $=3$, graduate $=4$ and post graduate and above $=5$.

The result is indicated in the table as follows.

[^41]Table 4.18: Simple Regression Analysis


Note: *Significance at $1 \%$ and ** at 5\% levels. Figures in the parentheses represent't' values Source: Source: Sample Survey 2011-12

The results show that in urban area, the effect of education on employment among women is significant, while the same for rural area is insignificant. Therefore, the hypothesis stating that increase in the levels of education increases women's employment may be accepted for urban female workers, while the same is rejected for rural female workers.

In urban area the result indicates that, the regression coefficient is 0.106 , which is significant at $1 \%$ as the ' $t$ ' value is [5.64]. This implies that an additional level of education leads to an increase in employment (chances of being employed) by $10 \%$. Its $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value is 0.138 , which means that about $14 \%$ of the variation in female employment is explained by attainment of educational levels in urban area. For urban sample districts, similar situation is observed where the coefficient for Mokokchung is relatively more significant than Tuensang (at $1 \%$ and $5 \%$, respectively). In Mokokchung district urban area, an addition in the educational level increases the women employment by $12 \%$ and in Tuensang district, it increases by $8 \%$.

This finding indicates the importance of education for women to be employed and having a positive impact on their economic status.

### 4.6 EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME (SAMPLE DATA):

## (i) Gender Disparity in Income Distribution

For economic empowerment of women, their work participation is an important constituent towards measuring the gender equality and inequality. The per capita income continues to be an indicator of the economic well-being of the people. Hence, income of sample households and also by gender was taken in order to find out the economic well being of the people.

Table 4.19: Employment and income of sample areas of Mokokchung and Tuensang district

| Area |  <br> gender composition |  |  | Monthly Per Capita Income <br> (in rupees) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male <br> (in \%) | Female <br> (in \%) | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Mokokchung Rural | 50.02 | 44.98 | 289 | 15413 | 9787 | 12882 |
| Tuensang Rural | 50.34 | 49.66 | 294 | 9730 | 4821 | 7292 |
| Sub- total | $\mathbf{5 2 . 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 6 3}$ |
| Mokokchung <br> Urban | 45.93 | 54.07 | 209 | 28070 | 24596 | 26191 |
| Tuensang Urban | 42.58 | 57.42 | 155 | 22104 | 20796 | 21353 |
| Sub- total | $\mathbf{4 4 . 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 6 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 1 3 1}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 9 . 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 8 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4 7 0}$ |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
The per capita income of the working population in the sample total was estimated at Rs. 15,470 per month. The per capita monthly income was found to be higher among male (Rs.17152) than that of female (Rs.13821). Also by area, it was higher among male in both rural and urban areas than that of female.

The sample data reveals that in total women population, the proportion of workers in urban area ( $50.48 \%$ ) was higher than that of rural area ( $47.34 \%$ ). Similarly, the average per capita income in urban area was higher (Rs.22, 921) than that in rural area (Rs.7160). In rural area the number of female worker as well as per capita income is lower than male. In urban area, although the total female working population is more, their per capita income is lower than male; which implies that more female workers are engaged in lower paid jobs as compared to male workers.

Despite the differences, many respondents strongly feel that her contribution to family income help raise the standard of living of their family. A significant proportion of her earning is spent for children's education and provides better food for the family.

## (ii) Per capita Income by Sector:

## Rural area:

Table 4.20: Employment and income in formal and informal sectors in the sample rural area during 2011-12.

| Area | INCOME PER WORKER(monthly <br> in Rs) in Formal sector |  |  | INCOME PER WORKER <br> (monthly in Rs) in informal sector |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Mokokchung | 17441 | 15331 | 16657 | 10859 | 4244 | 7087 |
| Tuensang | 17984 | 14894 | 16864 | 4410 | 4290 | 3001 |
| Rural total | 17629 | 15184 | 16728 | 6683 | 2743 | 4471 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

In rural area 583 were total workers consisting of $307(52.66 \%$ male) and 276 ( $47.34 \%$ female) respectively. Formal sector worker consist of $266(63.16 \%$ male and $36.84 \%$ female), and in informal sector it was 317 ( $43.85 \%$ male and $56.15 \%$ female) in aggregate.

The average monthly per capita income of rural workers in the formal sector was Rs.16728; where male income was Rs. 17629 and female Rs. 15184 per month. In informal sector average income was Rs. 4471 and male and female income was Rs. 6683 and Rs. 2743 respectively. By area concern, Mokokchung average per capita monthly income of male and female was Rs. 17441 and Rs. 15331 respectively. In Tuensang male and female average per capita monthly income was Rs. 17984 and Rs. 14894 respectively.

The average per capita income of informal workers in the districts was Rs. 4471 and income of male and female is Rs. 6683 and Rs. 2743 respectively. By district in Mokokchung male income was Rs. 10859 and Rs. 4244 for female, and in Tuensang it was Rs. 4410 and Rs. 4290 for male and female respectively.

Income earning in informal sector was found to be lower than that of formal sector, it was also found out that female income per worker is lower than male in both the districts in this sector.

## Urban Area:

Table 4.21: Employment and income in formal and informal sectors in the sample Urban area during 2011-12.

| Area | $\begin{array}{c}\text { INCOME PER WORKER (monthly in Rs) } \\ \text { in formal sector }\end{array}$ |  | INCOME PER WORKER (monthly in Rs) in |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
|  | informal sector |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

Employment and income in the urban area was studied for formal and informal sector to know the proportion of employment and income from the two sectors per month. In urban area out of the total 364 workers $302(43.71 \%$ male and $56.29 \%$ female), were employed in formal and 62(48.39\% male and $51.61 \%$ female) were found to be employed in informal sector.

Income earning in informal sector was found to be lower than that of formal sector, it was also found out that female income per worker is lower than male in both the districts in this sector.

The average per capita income of urban workers in the formal sector was Rs. 25658 where male income was Rs. 26330 and female Rs. 25136 per month. In Mokokchung average per capita income of male and female was Rs. 30676 and Rs. 28657 respectively. In Tuensang male and female average per capita income was Rs. 21114 and Rs. 21358 respectively.

Workers in informal sector were less in number in both the districts. The average per capita income of informal workers in the districts was Rs. 16694 and income of male and female is Rs. 22600 and Rs. 11156 respectively. By district in Mokokchung male income was Rs. 21130 and Rs. 9904 for female, and in Tuensang it was Rs. 27429 and Rs. 16583 for male and female.

## Sample Total:

Table 4.22: Employment and income in formal and informal sectors in
Nagaland during 2011-12

| Area | INCOME PER WORKER(monthly in Rs) <br> in Formal sector |  |  | INCOME PER WORKER(monthly in Rs) in <br> informal sector |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Rural | 17629 | 15184 | 16728 | 6683 | 2743 | 4471 |
| Urban | 26330 | 25136 | 25658 | 22600 | 11156 | 16694 |
| Nagaland | 21457 | 21497 | 21476 | 9509 | 4025 | 6470 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Income per worker in formal and informal sectors in Nagaland during 2011-12 in rural area was (Rs. 17629 male and 15184 female) respectively in formal sector. Informal sector also show higher income for male at (Rs. 6683 for male and Rs. 2743 for female) respectively. In urban area income per worker in formal sector was (Rs. 26330 for male and Rs. 25136 for female), and in informal sector it was (Rs. 22600 for male and Rs. 11156 for female) respectively. In aggregate, the average per capita income of male and female was almost same in formal sector (Rs. 21457 for male and Rs. 21497 for female), and informal sector show that male income was higher than female (Rs. 9509 and Rs.4025) for male and female respectively.

In the present analysis employment in formal sector in aggregate is $62.36 \%$ male and $37.64 \%$ female, consisting of $61.85 \%$ male and $38.15 \%$ female respectively in Mokokchung, Tuensang had $63.33 \%$ male and $36.67 \%$ female. In informal sector aggregate employment were $43.54 \%$ male and $53.75 \%$ female, consisting of $44.17 \%$ male and $58.83 \%$ female in Mokokchung and $45.10 \%$ and $59.90 \%$ for male and female respectively in Tuensang.

Average per capita income in the sample rural area for male was Rs. 18011 and Rs. 14558 for female in formal sector and for both the districts female income is lower than that of male. In informal sector average per capita income was Rs. 6214 and Rs. 3058 for male and female respectively and in informal sector also income earning by female was much lower than that of male.

## (iii) Education and Income :

Income is the money that is earned from doing work or received from investments. It is assumed that there is positive relationship between education and monthly income for women. Education is the most important factor that enables women to get access to paid work and earn income, which in turn, help to improve her status at home and the society as well.

A simple regression model is use to examine the impact of education on monthly income among the working women.

For education (independent variable), the levels of education attained are assigned values, viz., primary and below $=1$, upper primary to high school $=2$, higher secondary $=3$, graduate $=4$ and post graduate and above $=5$ are assigned values). The absolute average monthly income (dependent variable) of the female workers was taken as dependant variable in the analysis.

The result of the analysis that shows the impact of education on average monthly income of women is as follows:

Table 4.23: Simple Regression Analysis

|  | Mokokchung District |  | Tuensang District |  | Sample Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Urban | Rural | Urban | Rural |
| constant | 3774.19 | 6768 | 7900.74 | 2288.48 | 1589.976 | 3243.140 |
| Coefficient <br> $(\beta)$ | 9210.23 | 2352.11 | 4680.157 | 2802.11 | 7228.57 | 3152.08 |
| t |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R | $5.701^{*}$ | $3.394^{*}$ | $4.153^{*}$ | $4.171^{*}$ | $7.203^{*}$ | $6.859^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | .52 | .33 | .42 | .38 | .49 | .44 |
| Std. Error | 1615.48 | 692.96 | 1126.99 | 671.79 | 1003.57 | 459.58 |
| F | 32.504 | 11.521 | 17.24 | 17.398 | 51.88 | 47.03 |
| N | 88 | 94 | 82 | 108 | 170 | 202 |

*Significance at $1 \%$
Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

The results show that there is positive relation between education and average monthly income of the women. The regression coefficients are significant at $1 \%$. The urban area result suggests that, for every increase in the level of education, the average monthly income of women worker is increased by Rs.7228.57, whereas, the same for rural area leads to an increase in average monthly income of Rs. 3152.08. The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value suggests that about $23 \%$ of the variation in average monthly income is explained by education for urban total, and the same for rural area is $19 \%$. As compare the impact of education on average monthly income by area, it is higher in urban than that in rural area. The ' $t$ ' values are [7.203] and [6.859] for urban and rural respectively, showing significance at $1 \%$ level. Thus, the findings support the hypothesis that higher education enables women to earn higher income, which is found higher in urban area.

Comparatively, the results between the two sample districts, in urban area, the impact of education on monthly income is positive in both the districts, moreover it is relatively higher for Mokokchung with Rs. 9210.23 per month as compared to Rs. 4680.15 per month for Tuensang. The difference could be due to fact that Mokokchung being more developed than Tuensang (Tuensang is one of the least developed districts in Nagaland, which needs further investigation. Nevertheless, both districts show high level of significance of coefficient, at $1 \%$. Further, about $27 \%$ and $17 \%$, respectively, of variation in average monthly income is explained by the levels of education.

In rural area, the resultant increase in income was relatively higher in Tuensang than Mokokchung with Rs. 2802 and Rs. 2352 respectively, which were significant at $1 \%$. Moreover, only $11 \%$ and $14 \%$ respectively, of variation in income is explained by education.

### 4.7 INCOME DISTRIBUTION

Another important variable which has its bearing on the economic status especially for female is her income. The standard of living of a family is based on the economic returns of that family. It is, therefore, imperative to have a perusal at the economic returns of both male and female under study in the sample areas. It is estimated from the sample survey conducted during 2011-12 that there is difference in income earned by male and female in formal sector that ultimately influence the status of women and also income is unevenly distributed within the gender. Income distribution was studied under formal and informal sector and Gini Coefficient (GC) worked out to assess the extent of inequality.

## (i) Rural Formal Sector:

Table 4.24: Income distribution in Formal sector in rural area (sample total)

| Income | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean <br> Income <br> (RS) | \% of male <br> workers | Cf of <br> male <br> $(\%)$ | \% of <br> Income | Cf of <br> Income <br> $(\%)$ | \% of <br> female <br> workers | Cf of <br> female <br> $(\%)$ | Mean <br> Income <br> (RS) | \% of <br> Income | Cf of <br> Income <br> $(\%)$ |
| Up to 5000 | - | - | -- | -- | -- | 7.14 | 7.14 | 4857 | 2.28 | 2.28 |
| $5001-10000$ | 8885 | 16.07 | 16.07 | 8.10 | 8.10 | 13.27 | 20.41 | 8500 | 7.43 | 9.71 |
| $10001-15000$ | 13503 | 23.81 | 39.88 | 18.24 | 26.34 | 42.86 | 63.27 | 13486 | 38.06 | 47.77 |
| $15001-20000$ | 18484 | 36.31 | 76.19 | 38.07 | 64.41 | 22.45 | 85.72 | 18136 | 26.81 | 74.58 |
| $20001-25000$ | 22816 | 14.88 | 91.07 | 19.26 | 83.67 | 7.14 | 92.86 | 23443 | 11.03 | 85.62 |
| $25001-30000$ | 27529 | 4.17 | 95.24 | 6.51 | 90.18 | 6.12 | 98.98 | 29000 | 11.69 | 97.31 |
| $30001-35000$ | 34250 | 2.38 | 97.62 | 4.63 | 94.81 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $35001-40000$ | 38500 | 2.38 | 100 | 5.20 | 100.01 | 1.02 | 100 | 40000 | 2.69 | 100 |
| $40001 \&$ above | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
GC male $=.18$ and GC female $=.21$

| Fig no.4.3: Distribution of income in formal sector (rural) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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The average income of male workers was higher than female workers in rural formal sector which were Rs. 17629 and Rs.15184. From rural sample data it is found that as low as $2.28 \%$ of the total income (with Rs. 4857 in average per month) was received by $7.14 \%$ of female workers, whereas none of the male population was in the lowest level of income in the sample. The highest income earner among male was $2.38 \%$ who received $5.20 \%$ of the total income (an average of Rs. 38500 per month), as for female, highest income earned was by $1.02 \%$ of workers who received only $2.69 \%$ of their respective total. Among female workers in the sector, cumulative frequency data show that at the bottom of the income spectrum $63 \%$ of the workers receive an average monthly income of Rs. 4857 to Rs.13486, comprised of $38 \%$ of the female total income. On the other hand, the second top $6 \%$ of the female worker receive an average monthly income of Rs. 29000 while the top $1 \%$ receives Rs 40,000 per month

The income is more equitably distributed among male workers (as its Gini Coefficient is 0.18 ) than that of female (with Gini Coefficient of 0.21 ). Moreover, the income of the female workers is lower and concentrated more at the lower range of income distribution.

## (ii) Rural Informal Sector:

Table 4.25: Income distribution in informal sector in the sample rural area of Mokokchung and Tuensang during 2011-12

| Income | Mean <br> Income | \% of <br> male | CF of <br> male \% | \% of <br> income | CF of male <br> income \% | Mean <br> income of <br> female | \% of <br> female | Cf of <br> female <br> workers | \% of <br> income | CF of <br> female <br> income $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upto 5000 | 2991 | 56.12 | - | 25.11 | - | 2328 | 51.69 | - | 43.86 | - |
| $5001-10000$ | 6676 | 29.5 | 85.62 | 29.46 | 54.57 | 2611 | 46.06 | 97.75 | 43.85 | 87.71 |
| $10001-15000$ | 13091 | 7.91 | 93.53 | 15.5 | 70.07 | 13333 | 1.69 | 99.44 | 8.19 | 95.9 |
| $15001-20000$ | 18200 | 3.6 | 97.13 | 9.8 | 79.87 | 20000 | 0.56 | 100 | 4.1 | 100 |
| $20001-25000$ | 25000 | 1.44 | 98.57 | 5.38 | 85.25 |  | - |  | - |  |
| $25001-30000$ | - | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |
| $30001-35000$ | - | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |
| $35001-40000$ | 40000 | 0.72 | 99.29 | 4.31 | 89.56 |  | - |  | - |  |
| $40001 \&$ above | 97000 | 0.71 | 100 | 10.44 | 100 |  | - |  | - |  |
| total | 6683 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 2743 | 100 |  | 100 |  |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

GC Male $=0.33$, GC Female $=0.10$


The average monthly income per worker was higher for male Rs. 6683 than female with Rs.2743. The distribution of income among male workers in rural informal sector, out of total income the lowest income earned was $25.11 \%$ by $56.12 \%$ workers (with an average monthly income of Rs.2991), and $51.69 \%$ female received $43.86 \%$ of the respective total income (average monthly income per worker is Rs.2328). The highest income earner consists of $0.72 \%$ male, received $25.11 \%$ of income (average of Rs. 97 , 000 per month). For female the highest income level was only at the range of Rs.15001-20000 which was received by only $0.56 \%$ and
$4.10 \%$ of the respective total (average of Rs. 20000 per worker). The estimates show that female income was significantly lower than that of male throughout the income distribution ranges. The distribution of income among female is uniformly low and equitable as its GC is only 0.10 , while among male it is 0.33 , showing relatively unequal distribution.

## (iii) Urban formal sector:

Table 4.26: Income distribution in formal sector in the sample urban areas during 2011-12

| Income | Mean income of Male | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { 0f } \\ \text { male } \end{gathered}$ | Cf of male \% | \% of income | Cf of male income \% | Mean income of female | $\%$ of female | Cf of female \% | \% of income | cf of female income \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upto 5000 | 2475 | 1.52 |  | 0.14 |  | 4060 | 2.94 |  | 0.48 |  |
| 5001-10000 | 8760 | 3.79 | 5.31 | 1.26 | 1.4 | 9428 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.5 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 13.53 | 3.97 | 4.45 |
| 10001-5000 | 13589 | 14.39 | 19.7 | 7.43 | 8.83 | 13466 | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 30.59 | 9.14 | 13.59 |
| 15001-0000 | 19133 | 22.73 | 42.43 | 16.52 | 25.35 | 18559 | 20 | 50.59 | 14.77 | 28.36 |
| 20001-5000 | 24134 | 21.97 | 64.4 | 20.14 | 45.49 | 23561 | $\begin{aligned} & 17.6 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 68.24 | 16.54 | 44.9 |
| 25001-0000 | 28612 | 12.88 | 77.28 | 13.99 | 59.48 | 28541 | 10 | 78.24 | 11.35 | 56.25 |
| 30001-5000 | 33720 | 3.79 | 81.07 | 4.85 | 64.33 | 33444 | 5.29 | 83.53 | 7.04 | 63.29 |
| 35001-0000 | 39400 | 3.79 | 84.86 | 5.67 | 70 | 39708 | 7.06 | 90.59 | 11.15 | 74.44 |
| 40001 \& above | 52135 | 15.14 | 100 | 30 | 100 | 68256 | 9.41 | 100 | 25.56 | 100 |
| Sub-total | 26330 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 25136 | 100 |  | 100 |  |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
GC (male) $=.26$ and GC (female) $=.33$

| Fig no. 4.5: Distribution of income in Formal Sector (urban) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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In urban formal sector, the average income of female was Rs.25, 136, which is somewhat lower than male average of Rs.26,330. The data show that more of urban formal workers were within the middle income group for both male and female.

In urban area $1.52 \%$ male received the lowest income of $0.14 \%$ of total income (average of Rs. 2475 per month), whereas the highest income earner consists of $15.15 \%$
received $30 \%$ of total income (average of Rs. 52,135 per month). Among female, the lowest were $2.94 \%$ whose income was $0.48 \%$ of total income (average of Rs. 4060 per month), the highest income earner consists of $9.41 \%$ who received $25.56 \%$ of their respective total income (average of Rs. 68256 per month). The income distribution is relatively equitable among male (as its GC is .26) than that of female (with GC of .33) in urban formal sector.

## (iv) Urban Informal Sector:

Table 4.27: Income distribution in informal sector in the sample urban areas during 2011-12

| Income | Mean <br> Income in Rs. (male) | \% of male | CF of male \% | \% of income | CF of income | Mean income in Rs. (female) | \% of female | CF of female workers \% | \% of income | Cf of income female) \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upto 5000 | 5000 | 3.33 |  | 0.74 |  | 3333 | 18.75 |  | 5.6 |  |
| 5001-10000 | 8333 | 50 | 53.33 | 18.44 | 19.18 | 9405 | 65.63 | 84.38 | 55.32 | 60.92 |
| 10001-15000 | 13000 | 3.33 | 56.66 | 1.92 | 21.1 | 15000 | 6.25 | 90.63 | 8.4 | 69.32 |
| 15001-20000 | 20000 | 6.67 | 63.33 | 5.9 | 27 | 19500 | 3.13 | 93.76 | 5.46 | 74.78 |
| 20001-25000 | 25000 | 3.33 | 66.66 | 3.69 | 30.69 | - | - |  | - | - |
| 25001-30000 | 30000 | 10 | 76.66 | 13.27 | 43.96 | - | - |  | - | - |
| 30001-35000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| 35001-40000 | 40000 | 10 | 86.66 | 17.7 | 61.66 | 40000 | 3.12 | 96.88 | 11.2 | 85.98 |
| 40001 \& above | 65000 | 13.34 | 100 | 38.34 | 100 | 50000 | 3.12 | 100 | 14.02 | 100 |
| Sub-total | 22600 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 11156 | 100 |  | 100 |  |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

$$
\text { GC }(\text { Male })=.44 \text { and GC }(\text { Female })=.25
$$



The average monthly income of male workers was higher than female in urban informal sector in sample data (Rs. 22600 and Rs.11156, respectively). In this sector, $3.33 \%$ of male were in the lowest spectrum of income distribution who receive $0.74 \%$ of total income (average of Rs. 5000 per month), and for female it was $18.75 \%$ who receive $5.60 \%$ of total
income (average monthly income of Rs.3333). As for the highest income, male consist of $13.33 \%$ of workers who receive $38.35 \%$ of income (with an average of Rs. 65,000 per month) and for female workers $3.13 \%$ receive $14.01 \%$ of their respective total income (average of Rs. 50000 per month). $90 \%$ of the female workers are confined within the income range of Rs. 5000 to Rs. 15000 , receiving about $69 \%$ of the income, while $6 \%$ at the top receiving $25 \%$ of the income. For male, about $86.66 \%$ of workers receive only $62 \%$ of income while $13 \%$ at the top receive $38 \%$ of income.

The distribution of income is more equitable among female with GC of .25 , while for male it is relatively unequal distribution with GC of .44.

Average income of the female workers was lower than that of male in both formal $(16.10 \%)$ and informal ( $143.63 \%$ ) sectors in rural area. The same in urban area was only $4.75 \%$ in formal sector and informal sector it was $102.58 \%$. The fact reveals that although male average income in both the sectors and areas are higher, the income gap among gender is much higher in informal sector than the formal sector.

Moreover, female workers are concentrated by and large at the lower spectrum of the income distribution especially among informal workers in both rural and urban areas as indicated by cumulative frequency distributions of workers and income. In formal sector, they are generally concentrated in the middle income groups in both rural and urban areas.

Gini Coefficient values indicate that in informal sector income distribution are comparatively inequitable among male as compared to female and vice versa for formal sector.

### 4.8 INCOME AND EXPENDITURE BY GENDER

(i) Income of Male and Female headed households:

Male headed households (MHHs) are studied often but studies relating to women headed households (FHHs) are few. Under adverse familial conditions, if women become the heads then they are required to assume different roles and responsibilities and have to act as providers, protectors, and guides for other dependent members. In the present study, attempt has been made to locate the numbers of the households where the heads were women vis-à-vis men.

In both the areas under study, men were found to be the heads of households with the exception of a very few households headed by women in the sample area. Income and expenditure per month for male and female headed households were studied in the sample rural and urban areas.

Male and female headed households:
In the sample total there were 439 households headed by male comprised of $279(63.55 \%)$ in rural area and $160(36.45 \%)$ in urban area, and female headed total was 64 comprised of $24(37.50 \%)$ in rural and $40(62.50 \%)$ in urban area.

In rural area, there were a total of 279 MHHs and 24 FHHs , consists of 134(48.03\%) in Mokokchung, and $145(51.97 \%$ ) in Tuensang male headed. FHHs consists of $16(66.67 \%)$ in Mokokchung and 8 (33.33\%) in Tuensang. MHHs constituted $92 \%$ of total households and only $8 \%$ were female headed.

In urban there was 160 MHHs, consisting of $85(53.12 \%$ ) in Mokokchung and $75(46.88 \%)$ in Tuensang, and female headed were 40 comprised of $15(37.50 \%)$ and 25 ( $62.50 \%$ ) households from Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively. In sample aggregate $81 \%$ of male and only $19 \%$ female.

## Average Monthly Income by Household Heads:

Table 4.28 (a): Household average monthly income by gender in rural areas during 2011-12

| Districts | MHH |  | FHH |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of <br> H/h | Average monthly <br> income(in Rs) | No. of <br> H/h | Average monthly <br> income (in Rs) | No. of <br> H/h | Average monthly <br> Income(in Rs) |
| Mkg-Rural | 134 | 24515 | 16 | 27875 | 150 | 24820 |
| Tsg-Rural | 145 | 14226 | 8 | 10150 | 153 | 14012 |
| Rural Total | $\mathbf{2 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 6 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3 6 3}$ |
| Mkg-Urban | 85 | 58188 | 15 | 35200 | 100 | 54740 |
| Tsg-Urban | 75 | 35502 | 25 | 25880 | 100 | 33097 |
| UrbanTotal | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 3 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 9 1 8}$ |
| Rural-total | 279 | 19167 | 24 | 21633 | 303 | 19363 |
| Urban-total | 160 | 47554 | 40 | 29375 | 200 | 43918 |
| Nagaland | $\mathbf{4 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 5 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 4 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 1 2 6}$ |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

## Rural Area:

Household average monthly income was estimated for male and female headed households, separately in the sample. It was found that the average monthly income of FHHs in rural area of Mokokchung was higher than MHHs by $13.70 \%$. The average monthly income of male MHHs was Rs.24, 515 and FHHs was Rs.27, 875 in Mokokchung. In Tuensang, the average monthly income of MHHs was Rs.14, 226 and for female headed it was as low as Rs.10, 150, showing higher income for MHHs by $40 \%$. In aggregate, income of FHHs was more than MHHs by $12.86 \%$ (at Rs. 21633 and Rs. 19167 per month, respectively).

## Urban Area:

In aggregate the average monthly income of male and female headed households in urban sample area was Rs. 47554 and Rs. 29375 respectively, that MHHs was higher by
$61.88 \%$. The average monthly income of MHHs was Rs. 58188 and FHHs was Rs. 35200 in Mokokchung; show a gap of $65 \%$. In Tuensang the average monthly income of MHHs was Rs.35502and for FHHs it was Rs.25880, with a gap of $37 \%$.

## Sample Total:

In total, household's average monthly income by gender in Nagaland reveals that the income of MHHs Rs. 29513 was higher than FHHs by $11 \%$ (Rs.26472).

The data shows that the average monthly income is higher with MHH than that of FHH in sample aggregate. But the rural aggregated sample data show the same is higher with FHH by $12.86 \%$ and in Mokokchung by $13.70 \%$. In urban area it was higher with MHH by $61.88 \%$. By area concern, the average income was higher for female headed households than MHHs in rural area and vice versa in urban area and in sample aggregate.

## (ii) Expenditure of Male and Female headed households

Table 4.28(b): Household average monthly expenditure by gender

| Districts |  | MHHs |  | FHHs |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of H/H | Average monthly expenditure(in Rs) | No. of H/H | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average monthly } \\ \text { expenditure (in Rs) } \end{gathered}$ | No. of H/h | Average monthly expenditure(in Rs) |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mkg- | 134 | 17809 | 16 | 16800 | 150 | 17701 |
| Tsg- | 145 | 11368 | 8 | 9000 | 153 | 11244 |
| Total | 279 | 14461 | 24 | 14200 | 303 | 14441 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mkg- | 85 | 39447 | 15 | 23733 | 100 | 37090 |
| Tsg- | 75 | 23490 | 25 | 16752 | 100 | 218055 |
| Total | 160 | 31967 | 40 | 19370 | 200 | 29448 |
| Sample Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 279 | 14461 | 24 | 14200 | 303 | 14441 |
| Urban | 160 | 31967 | 40 | 19370 | 200 | 29448 |
| Nagaland | 439 | 20842 | 64 | 17431 | 503 | 20408 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

## Rural Area:

The average monthly expenditure of rural area shows that the MHH's expenditure is higher by 2\% at Rs. 14461 and Rs. 14200 for FHHs. By area, rural Mokokchung, expenditure of FHHs was higher than MHHs as their average income was also higher. In rural area, average income was low and expenditure of a household is dependent on its monthly income and therefore spent accordingly. In Tuensang, FHH's expenditure was lower than that of MHHs

## Urban Area:

The average monthly expenditure in urban area was much higher for male headed households (by 65\%) with Rs. 31967 and Rs. 19370 for FHHs in aggregate. In both the districts
the average monthly expenditure was higher for MHHs. This will imply that the income of FHHs is lower hence their expenditure is also lower affecting the standard of living of female headed households.

## Sample Total:

Average monthly expenditure of 439 MHHs was Rs. 20842 and the same for 64 FHHs was Rs.17431, that MHH's expenditure is higher by $20 \%$.

By area, rural area comprised of 279 MHHs whose monthly expenditure was Rs.14461, and 24 FHHs average monthly expenditure was Rs.14200, with a marginal difference of $2 \%$. In urban area average monthly expenditure was higher with Rs. 31967 for 160 MHHs than 40 FHHs with an average of Rs.19370, with a significant difference of $65 \%$.

The fact reveals that the average monthly expenditure is determined by average income. That rural Mokokchung, expenditure of FHHs was higher than MHHs as their average income was also higher. In rural area, average income was low and expenditure of a household is dependent on its monthly income and therefore spent accordingly. The income of FHHs is lower hence their expenditure is also lower affecting the standard of living of female headed households.

## (iii) Household Expenditure by gender

The expenditure pattern of male and female seem to differ, where female tend to spend relatively more on human development related items than the male. This underscores the importance for women to work and earn income not just for her status but for the welfare of her family and community at large.


Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

In urban aggregated data, among female the major expenditure is incurred on food and children education ( $29 \%$ each). For male it is on food ( $24 \%$ ), followed by property ( $22 \%$ ), children education and health care ( $21 \%$ each). In sample districts, urban female in Mokokchung spent mainly on food and children education ( $29 \%$ each) while in Tuensang it is on food ( $29 \%$ ). Among urban male in Mokokchung spent by and large on food and next on property, and in Tuensang it is on property (28\%). Expenditure on self, health care and others are lower irrespective of gender.


Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

In rural aggregate data as shown in figure no.4.8, both male and female incurred higher expenditure on food and children education, but the expenses on those items are relatively higher with the female than that of male. Expenditure on property is relatively higher among male.

### 4.9 TIME SPENT FOR WORK

Table 4.29: Differences in time spent by gender

| Area | ACTIVITIES (in hours per person) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Household activities |  |  |  |  | Economic activities |  |  | Social | Total |
|  | Sex | Cooking | Washing \& cleaning | Child care \&children education | Fuel \& Water collection | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sub } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Marketing buying \& selling | Place Of work | Sub total |  |  |
| Mokokchung |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | M | 0.34 | 1.24 | 0.30 | 0.41 | 2.29 | 0.24 | 5.57 | 6.21 | 1.10 | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | F | 2.52 | 2.06 | 2.16 | 0.50 | 7.24 | 1.26 | 5.69 | 7.35 | 1.52 | $\begin{gathered} 16.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Urban | M | 0.55 | 1.09 | 0.52 | 0.07 | 2.23 | 0.46 | 5.44 | 6.30 | 1.21 | $\begin{gathered} 10.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | F | 2.20 | 2.03 | 1.17 | 0.16 | 5.56 | 1.36 | 5.58 | 7.34 | 1.28 | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | M | 0 | 1.83 | 0 | 0.70 | 2.53 | 0 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 2.43 | 9.36 |
|  | F | 2.99 | 2.76 | 2.70 | 1.40 | 9.85 | 0 | 3.72 | 3.72 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Urban | M | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 1 | 7.00 |
|  | F | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 3.26 | 9.26 |

Source: Sample Survey 2011-12
Differences in time spent are taken for household activities, economic activities and social activities in hours per person.

## Household activities:

The average time spent for all household chores is much higher for female in both rural and urban areas ( 7.24 and 5.56 hours per day, respectively) as compared to male average (2.29 and 2.23 hours per day, respectively). Among the household activities, for female, more time is spent on cooking in both rural ( 2.52 hours) and urban areas ( 2.20 hours). For male, it is on washing in both rural and urban areas (1.24 and 1.09 hours per day)

The average time spent for all household chores is much higher for female in both rural and urban areas of Tuensang ( 9.85 and 4.00 hours per day, respectively) as compared to male average ( 2.53 and 4.00 hours per day, respectively). Among the household activities, for female, more time is spent on cooking in both rural ( 2.99 hours) and urban areas ( 1.00 hours). For male, it is on washing in both rural ( 1.83 hours per day) and urban areas for all household activities time spent was ( 1.00 hours per day).

## Economic activities:

Moreover, in both rural and urban areas, it is female who spent more time on economic activities ( 7.35 and 7.34 hours, respectively) as compared with male average time ( 6.21 and
6.30 hours, respectively). However, among the activities, male spent longer time in the place of work than female in both rural and urban areas. But for marketing (selling and buying) and other economic activities, female spent more time than that of male.

In both rural and urban areas of Tuensang, it is female time spent on economic activities is lower than male, which shows ( 3.74 and 3.00 hours, respectively) as compared with male average time ( 4.40 and 3.00 hours, respectively). However, among the activities, male spent longer time in the place of work 4,40 hours in rural area and for female it was 3.72 hours per day. In urban area it was 2 hours each for both male and female. But for marketing (selling and buying) it was nil in rural area and 1 hour each for both male and female.

## Social activities:

The average time spent on social activities is found to be relatively equal between male and female in Mokokchung. In rural Tuensang male spent more time in social activities and in urban area it was female who spent more time.

Thus, time spent for household, economic and social activities it was female who spend more time in both rural and urban area (16.51 and 14.58 hours per day) in Mokokchung as compared to male (10.00 and 10.14 hours per day). As for Tuensang total time spent for the three activities in rural and urban area show that female time spent is higher with (14.57 and 9.26 hours per day as compared to male ( 9.36 and 7.00 hours per day)

Thus, the average time spent by a working female for household chores economic activities and social activities is found to be considerably higher as compared to male. Many working women respondents have mentioned that time management is difficult; women get torn between their personal and professional lives. Women who are in paid employment, working in farms, working in family enterprises or their own business establishments are still viewed as the family manager back home. Most women cannot spare their time to attend training programs because they have to shift from their place of work to household works. Women are expected to return home at a certain time, cook, clean and take care of family affairs. Women usually work longer hours to fit all their work in. From the study it is obvious that many women put in almost equal hours in household activities, which is an unpaid work, and to paid employment. Self employed women usually work at home to save time and to attend to children and take care of household chores. However, for male, their major time is spent in work place and minimum time in household chores. That women are doubled burdened with household activities that they do not get time for leisure or personal
development and care. Yet their contribution for the welfare of the family is not recognized or counted in many cases.

## ANNEXURE -

Annexure no.4.1: District wise Total Workers and Work Participation rate in 2001

| District | Total Workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
|  | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F |
| 1.Mon | 128915 | 72038 | 56977 | 124282 | 68726 | 55556 | 4633 | 3312 | 1321 |
| 2.Tuensang | 185209 | 100080 | 85129 | 177178 | 93473 | 83705 | 8031 | 6607 | 1424 |
| 3.Mokokchung | 108779 | 60612 | 48167 | 97827 | 52474 | 45353 | 10952 | 8138 | 2814 |
| 4.Zunheboto | 62057 | 32598 | 29459 | 55653 | 28025 | 27628 | 6404 | 4573 | 1831 |
| 5.Wokha | 56453 | 31424 | 25029 | 47982 | 25244 | 22738 | 8471 | 6180 | 2291 |
| 6.Dimapur | 102260 | 77351 | 24945 | 60269 | 40090 | 20179 | 41991 | 37225 | 4766 |
| 7.Kohima | 134689 | 76318 | 58371 | 108951 | 57365 | 51586 | 25738 | 18953 | 6785 |
| 8.Peren | 71620 | 37382 | 34238 | 65244 | 33243 | 32001 | 6376 | 4139 | 2237 |
| Nagaland | 849982 | 487767 | 362215 | 737386 | 398640 | 338746 | 112596 | 89127 | 23469 |

Source: Census of India 2001, Series 13, Nagaland
Annexure no.4.2: District wise Total workers and work participation rate in 2011

| District | Total Workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F |
| 1.Mon | 134666 | 71272 | 63394 | 12988 | 8153 | 4835 | 147654 | 79425 | 68229 |
| 2.Mokokchung | 78030 | 42235 | 35795 | 22037 | 14849 | 7188 | 100067 | 57084 | 42983 |
| 3.Zunheboto | 70154 | 35083 | 35071 | 9312 | 6095 | 3217 | 79466 | 41178 | 38288 |
| 4.Wokha | 66122 | 34332 | 31790 | 12290 | 7761 | 4526 | 78412 | 42096 | 36316 |
| 5.Dimapur | 76858 | 45699 | 31159 | 74492 | 53946 | 20546 | 151350 | 99645 | 51705 |
| 6.Phek | 70745 | 35416 | 35329 | 9532 | 6140 | 3392 | 80277 | 41556 | 38721 |
| 7.Tuensang | 84747 | 44370 | 40377 | 13407 | 8561 | 4846 | 98154 | 52931 | 45223 |
| 8.Longleng | 27677 | 14450 | 13227 | 2891 | 1823 | 1068 | 30568 | 16273 | 14295 |
| 9.Kiphire | 27302 | 13828 | 13473 | 4657 | 2951 | 1706 | 31959 | 16780 | 15179 |
| 10.Kohima | 71818 | 39590 | 32228 | 43007 | 28550 | 14457 | 114825 | 68140 | 46685 |
| 11.Peren | 52241 | 27636 | 24605 | 9149 | 4613 | 4536 | 61390 | 32249 | 29141 |
| Nagaland | 760360 | 403912 | 356448 | 213762 | 143445 | 70317 | 974122 | 547357 | 426765 |

Source: Primary Census Abstract, Data Highlights Nagaland, Series 14
Annexure no.4.3: Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2001

| Sectors | Rural |  |  |  | Urban |  |  | Nagaland |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F |  |  |
| Cultivators | 537861 | 268540 | 269321 | 6572 | 3068 | 3504 | 544433 | 271608 | 272825 |  |  |
| Agricultural <br> Labourers | 32842 | 17673 | 15169 | 1010 | 468 | 542 | 33852 | 18141 | 15711 |  |  |
| H/H industry <br> Workers | 14702 | 4923 | 9779 | 2370 | 1606 | 1764 | 18072 | 6529 | 11543 |  |  |
| Other Workers | 151981 | 107504 | 44477 | 101644 | 83985 | 17659 | 253625 | 191489 | 62136 |  |  |
| Total | 737386 | 398640 | 338746 | 111596 | 89127 | 23469 | 849982 | 487767 | 362215 |  |  |

Source: Census of India 2001-Provisional Population Totals, Paper-3 of 2001, Nagaland Series-1

Annexure no.4.4: Sectoral Employment in Nagaland in 2011

| Sectors | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Nagaland |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F |
| Cultivators | 516783 | 250559 | 266224 | 20919 | 8895 | 12024 | 537702 | 259454 | 278248 |
| Agricultural <br> labour | 55677 | 28133 | 27544 | 7285 | 3724 | 3561 | 62962 | 31857 | 31105 |
| H/H industry <br> workers | 16259 | 6662 | 9597 | 6579 | 2821 | 3758 | 22838 | 9483 | 13355 |
| Other workers | 171641 | 118558 | 53083 | 178979 | 128005 | 50974 | 350620 | 246563 | 104057 |
| Total | 760360 | 403912 | 356448 | 213762 | 143445 | 70317 | 974122 | 547357 | 426765 |

Source: Census Abstract, Data Highlights, Nagaland Series 14

## SAMPLE AREA TABLES:

## EMPLOYMENT IN DIFFERENT SECTORS

Annexure no.4.5: Sector wise work participation in the sample rural and urban areas of Tuensang district -

| District/ area | Sectors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuensang | Primary |  |  | Secondary |  |  | Tertiary |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Rural | 46 | 79 | 125 | 47 | 32 | 79 | 57 | 33 | 90 | 150 | 144 | 294 |
| Urban | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 60 | 80 | 140 | 65 | 85 | 150 |
| Total | 47 | 79 | 126 | 51 | 37 | 88 | 117 | 113 | 230 | 215 | 229 | 444 |
| Mokokchung |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 18 | 37 | 55 | 36 | 29 | 65 | 107 | 66 | 173 | 161 | 132 | 293 |
| Urban | 6 | 3 | 9 | 14 | 22 | 36 | 78 | 85 | 163 | 98 | 110 | 208 |
| Total | 24 | 40 | 64 | 50 | 51 | 101 | 185 | 151 | 336 | 259 | 242 | 501 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Annexure no.4.6: Employment in formal and informal sector in sample areas of
Nagaland during 2011-12

| Area | Total workers |  |  | Formal sector |  |  | Informal sector |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Mokokchung Rural | 159 | 130 | 289 | 110 | 65 | 175 | 49 | 65 | 114 |
| Tuensang rural | 148 | 146 | 294 | 58 | 33 | 91 | 90 | 113 | 203 |
| Rural Total | 307 | 276 | 583 | 168 | 98 | 266 | 139 | 178 | 317 |
| Mokokchung urban | 95 | 114 | 209 | 72 | 88 | 160 | 23 | 26 | 49 |
| Tuensang urban | 67 | 88 | 155 | 60 | 82 | 142 | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| Urban Total | 162 | 202 | 364 | 132 | 170 | 302 | 30 | 32 | 62 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12
Annexure no.4.7: Employment in formal and informal sector in sample areas of
Nagaland during 2011-12

| Area | Total workers |  |  | Formal sector |  |  | Informal sector |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Rural total | 307 | 276 | 583 | 168 | 98 | 266 | 139 | 178 | 317 |
| Urban total | 162 | 202 | 364 | 132 | 170 | 302 | 30 | 32 | 62 |
| Nagaland | 469 | 478 | 947 | 300 | 268 | 568 | 169 | 210 | 379 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12

## NATURE OF EMPLOYMENT IN FORMAL AND INFORMAL SECTOR

Annexure no.4.8: Nature of employment in formal and informal sectors (Rural)

| Area | Number of persons <br> employed |  |  | Nature of work |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Regular |  |  | Seasonal |  |  |
| Mokokchung | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Formal sector | 110 | 65 | 175 | 110 | 65 | 175 | - | - | - |
| Informal sector | 49 | 65 | 114 | 29 | 25 | 54 | 20 | 40 | 60 |
| Sub-Total | 159 | 130 | 289 | 139 | 90 | 229 | 20 | 40 | 60 |
| Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Formal sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Informal sector | 58 | 33 | 91 | 58 | 33 | 91 | - | - | - |
| Sub-Total | 90 | 113 | 203 | 13 | 16 | 29 | 77 | 97 | 174 |
| Total | 148 | 146 | 294 | 71 | 49 | 120 | 77 | 97 | 174 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Annexure no.4.9: Nature of employment in formal and informal sectors

| Urban Area | Number of persons employed |  |  | Nature of work |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Regular |  |  | Seasonal |  |  |
| Mokokchung | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Formal sector | 72 | 88 | 160 | 72 | 88 | 160 | - | - | - |
| Informal sector | 23 | 26 | 49 | 15 | 22 | 37 | 8 | 4 | 12 |
| Sub-Total | 95 | 114 | 209 | 87 | 110 | 197 | 8 | 4 | 12 |
| Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Formal sector | 60 | 82 | 142 | 60 | 82 | 142 | - | - | - |
| Informal sector | 7 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Sub-Total | 67 | 88 | 155 | 64 | 87 | 151 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 162 | 202 | 364 | 151 | 197 | 348 | 11 | 5 | 16 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Annexure no:4.10: Employment by economic activities (rural)

| Activity | Mokokchung |  |  |  | Tuensang |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |  |
| Cultivators | 18 | 37 | 55 | 46 | 79 | 125 | 64 | 116 | 180 |  |
| Vegetable vendors | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Casual labour | 8 | - | 8 | 34 | 14 | 48 | 42 | 14 | 56 |  |
| Carpentry | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| Transport | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Business/trade | 25 | 26 | 51 | 13 | 16 | 29 | 38 | 42 | 80 |  |
| Household industry <br> (i)Food processing | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| (ii) Tailoring/handloom/weaving | - | 3 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | $=$ | 5 | 5 |  |
| Other workers services | 107 | 66 | 173 | 57 | 33 | 90 | 164 | 99 | 263 |  |
| Political \& social workers | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| Sub-total | 161 | 132 | 293 | 150 | 144 | 294 | 311 | 276 | 587 |  |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

Annexure no.4.11: Employment by economic activities (urban)

| Activity | Mokokchung |  |  | Tuensang |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |  |
| Cultivators | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |
| Vegetable vendors | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |  |
| Casual labour | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 3 |  |
| Carpentry | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Transport | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Business/trade | 14 | 21 | 35 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 18 | 25 | 43 |  |
| Household industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i)Food processing | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |  |
| (ii)Tailoring/handloom/weaving | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |  |
| Other workers/ services | 78 | 85 | 163 | 59 | 81 | 140 | 137 | 166 | 303 |  |
| Political \& social workers | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| Sub-total | 98 | 110 | 208 | 64 | 86 | 150 | 162 | 196 | 358 |  |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

## EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION

Annexure no.4.12: Employment by educational level in sample rural areas

| Area | Educational levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below High School |  |  | High School |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  | Graduate |  |  | Post-graduate \& above |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Mokokchung | 24 | 30 | 54 | 52 | 47 | 99 | 38 | 25 | 63 | 38 | 26 | 64 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| Tuensang | 75 | 99 | 174 | 48 | 29 | 77 | 17 | 8 | 25 | 10 | 8 | 18 | - | - | - |
| Sub-total | 99 | 129 | 228 | 100 | 76 | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 55 | 33 | 88 | 48 | 34 | 82 | 6 | 3 | 9 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Annexure no.4.13: Employment by educational level in sample urban

| Area | Educational levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below High School |  |  | High School |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  | Graduate |  |  | Post-graduate \& above |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Mokokchung | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 82 | 20 | 22 | 42 |
| Tuensang | 6 | 6 | 12 | 12 | 31 | 43 | 17 | 19 | 36 | 26 | 22 | 48 | 5 | 11 | 16 |
| Sub-total | 11 | 11 | 22 | 27 | 51 | 78 | 32 | 44 | 76 | 67 | 63 | 130 | 25 | 33 | 58 |

Source: Sample survey 2011-12
Annexure no.4.14: Employment by educational level in sample areas of Nagaland during 2011-12

| Area | Educational levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below High School |  |  | High School |  |  | Higher Secondary |  |  | Graduate |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| MKG | 29 | 35 | 64 | 67 | 67 | 134 | 53 | 50 | 103 | 79 | 67 | 146 |
| TSG | 81 | 105 | 186 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 34 | 27 | 61 | 36 | 30 | 66 |
| NGLD | 110 | 140 | 250 | 125 | 126 | 254 | 87 | 77 | 164 | 115 | 97 | 212 |
|  | Post-graduate \& above |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MKG | 26 | 25 | 51 | 254 | 244 | 498 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSG | 5 | 11 | 16 | 216 | 233 | 449 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NGLD | 31 | 36 | 67 | 470 | 477 | 947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME

Annexure no.4.15: Employment and income of sample areas of Mokokchung and Tuensang district

| Area | Total number of workers |  |  | Total Income (in rupees) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Mokokchung Rural | 159 | 130 | 289 | 2450600 | 1272350 | 3722950 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tuensang Rural | 148 | 146 | 294 | 1440000 | 703900 | 2143900 |
| Sub-total | 307 | 276 | 583 | 3890600 | 1976250 | 5866850 |
| Mokokchung Urban | 96 | 113 | 209 | 2694700 | 2779300 | 5474000 |
| Tuensang Urban | 66 | 89 | 155 | 1458850 | 1850840 | 3309690 |
| Sub-total | 162 | 202 | 364 | 4153550 | 4630140 | 8783690 |
| Nagaland | 469 | 478 | 947 | 8044150 | 6606390 | 14650540 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Annexure no.4.16: Employment and income in formal and informal sectors in the sample area during 2011-12(rural, urban and sample total )

| Area | INCOME PER WORKER(monthly in <br> Rs) in Formal sector |  |  | INCOME PER WORKER(monthly in <br> Rs) in informal sector |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Mokokchung | 1918500 | 996500 | 2915000 | 532100 | 275850 | 807950 |
| Tuensang | 1043100 | 491500 | 1534600 | 396900 | 212400 | 609300 |
| Rural total | 2961600 | 1488000 | 4449600 | 929000 | 488250 | 1417250 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mokokchung | 2208700 | 2521800 | 4730500 | 486000 | 257500 | 743500 |
| Tuensang | 1266850 | 1751340 | 3018190 | 192000 | 99500 | 291500 |
| Urban total | 3475550 | 4273140 | 7748690 | 678000 | 357000 | 1035000 |
| Sample total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 2961600 | 1488000 | 4449600 | 929000 | 488250 | 1417250 |
| Urban | 3475550 | 4273140 | 7748690 | 678000 | 357000 | 1035000 |
| Nagaland | 6437150 | 5761140 | 12198290 | 1607000 | 845250 | 2452250 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

Annexure no.4.17: Employment and Income in Formal and Informal sector (rural)

| Villages | No. of workers in Formal sector |  | INCOME |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Ungma | 31 | 23 | 54 | 457000 | 351000 | 808000 |
| Mokokchung | 37 | 25 | 62 | 787500 | 386500 | 1174000 |
| Khensa | 39 | 18 | 57 | 681000 | 212300 | 893300 |
| Sub-total | 107 | 66 | 173 | 1925500 | 949800 | 2875300 |
| Tuensang | 30 | 21 | 51 | 539000 | 332000 | 871000 |
| Chare | 26 | 11 | 37 | 469400 | 144500 | 613900 |
| Kuthur | 1 | 1 | 2 | 20000 | 15000 | 35000 |
| Sub-total | 57 | 33 | 90 | 1028400 | 491500 | 1519900 |
| Rural total | 164 | 99 | 263 | 2953900 | 1441300 | 4395200 |

Annexure no.4.17: Continued:

| Villages | No. of workers in Informal sector |  | INCOME |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Ungma | 21 | 23 | 44 | 190500 | 208050 | 398550 |
| Mokokchung | 21 | 17 | 38 | 229600 | 61500 | 291100 |
| Khensa | 11 | 27 | 38 | 102000 | 61000 | 163000 |
| Sub-total | 53 | 67 | 120 | 522100 | 330550 | 852650 |
| Tuensang | 21 | 25 | 46 | 188000 | 137000 | 325000 |
| Chare | 27 | 37 | 64 | 76200 | 9600 | 85800 |
| Kuthur | 44 | 50 | 94 | 114700 | 70300 | 185000 |
| Sub-total | 92 | 112 | 204 | 378900 | 216900 | 595800 |
| Rural total | 145 | 179 | 333 | 901000 | 547450 | 1448450 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

## Chapter V

## STATUS OF WOMEN AND EMPOWERMENT

### 5.1 INTRODUCTION

Women's empowerment has been a topic of academic and policy discussions and debates for quite a long time now. For instance, the United Nations Millennium Declaration (2000) emphasizes the 'centrality' of women in the development process. The UNs Declaration identifies women's empowerment and promotion of gender equality as the key factors for reduction of poverty, hunger and diseases and for prompting sustainable development (WEDO, undated). While there is consensus on the importance of women's empowerment, the literature has yet to come up with a precise definition of the term. Likewise, there is no unique set of indicators that can be used to judge improvements or deteriorations in women's empowerment. The Gender Empowerment Measures indicates the extent to which a woman has influence in decision making, in professional life, and in organizations, which has been used widely in advocating the empowerment of women. Women empowerment has become a popular issue for the past few decades. Augmentation in women empowerment is as one of the strategy of UN and other international organizations for reducing poverty alleviation (Kabeer, 2001) ${ }^{153}$. Access and control over certain resources makes some groups gain control over material resources, productive resources and human resources is clear. The control over intellectual resources, such as knowledge and information and also the capacity to have ideas and think in new ways gives a great deal of power. The objective may be equity and parity in access to resources and decision- making which influence their lives rather than a single goal (Batliwala, 1995) ${ }^{154}$.

Women empowerment is thus the process, and the outcome of the process, by which women gain greater control over material and intellectual resources, and challenge the ideology of patriarchy and the gender-based discrimination against women in all the institutions and structures of society (Baltiwala, 1995, ibid) ${ }^{155}$. Basically, women's empowerment is the process (and its outcomes) in which women individually and collectivelybecome active, knowledgeable and goal oriented actors who take or support initiatives to

[^42]overcoming gender inequalities. Hence, women's empowerment refers to a strategy to achieve gender equality as well as to the inherent capacity building processes ${ }^{156}$.
The extent of empowerment of women is largely determined by three factors, viz. her economic, social and political identity and their weight age, which needs to address simultaneously. Economic empowerment ensures provision of training, opportunities for employment and income generation activities making women economically independent and self reliant.

## Status of women and traditions

Patriarchy and preference for sons run deep in most societies. Such biases are built into the institutional system as it evolves. Job opportunities, legal rights, transfer of property (often patrilineal), and reliance on sons for support in old age are typical gendered social constructs. At micro level, the family is an institution that offers support and security at the same time that it reinforces women's secondary role in society through unpaid labour, lack of legal rights (e.g. requiring a husband's permission to work or enter into contracts) and silence on domestic violence against women. Economic transformation threatens the family as a traditional institution; yet little has emerged to replace the family's role in offering security. The family as an institution needs to be reformulated to permit more equal treatment of its members. (Jaquette and Wolchik 1998; ${ }^{157}$ Tinker and summerfield 1999). ${ }^{158}$ Kemp (1986) considers the use of the resource allocation model more fruitful in understanding the status of women than referring to either an economic or cultural explanation of the phenomenon. ${ }^{159}$

## Access to economic resources and opportunities

Access to physical and financial resources is a major problem especially for poor women and in particular for self-employed women such as hawkers, vendors, home- based workers, manual labourers and service providers. Naga women in general are hard working and they supplement to family's income. Home based work has increased among Naga women because of the growing needs and pressure exerted upon women to contribute to their family income. Women are willing to start their own business but cannot do so because they do not save and emergencies and obligations often force them to borrow heavily. Women especially

[^43]poor and illiterate are unlikely to have the required experience and self-confidence to obtain credit from a financial institution in the formal sector. Also the institutions regulations and procedures rarely meet the needs and conditions of the woman seeking a loan. Therefore, poor self-employed women often depend on informal money lenders who charge exorbitant interest rates of $10 \%$ per day to $10 \%$ per month which are common. This is usually the start of a downward spiral of increasing indebtedness.

Employment is to bring change in the context of a women's life but nothing much has changed in the lives of Naga women especially the poor women and their access to resources. To lead a fulfilling human life one has to have economic freedom and to be economically independent one has to have unrestricted access to resources. Denied access to private and public credit from outside, women have often taken measures to help themselves, ranging from traditional group saving schemes to associations and co-operatives with a range of economic and social objectives. ${ }^{160}$

One of the greatest disparities between women and men is in ownership of property (Agarwal $1994^{161}$; thinker and Summerfield, $1999^{162}$ ) with reforms that stress privatization and markets, women's rights to own property become even more significant. Women's traditional right to land and housing have usually come through the husband. If he dies or they are divorced, the wife frequently loses her rights to property.

The present study explored into gender differentials in the aspects of resource ownership, access to credit and subsidies, trainings, membership in organizations in the sample districts. Further assessed the difficulties faced by employed women and women's perceptions about impact of work and status. Moreover, the reasons for unemployment of women and their status, Women involvement and participation in household decision making process were studied and presented in the following sections.

### 5.2 OWNERSHIP OF RESOURCES BY WOMEN

Gender inequalities manifest as women and men have different access to resources, roles and responsibilities, both in the market and at the household. Land access can reduce a household's risk of poverty, but for persistent gender inequalities, when land is solely in men's hands need not guarantee female welfare. Direct land transfers to women are likely to benefit not just women but also children especially in rural area. Women with assets such as

[^44]land, house etc. has greater bargaining power, which can lead to more gender-equal allocations of benefits even from male incomes. On the other hand, women without independent resources are highly vulnerable to poverty and destitution in case of desertion, divorce, or widowhood.

Women access especially titles can empower them to assert themselves better with agencies that provide inputs, credit and extension services. Women in many parts of country are often better informed than men about traditional practices of agriculture. If they had greater control over land and farming, this knowledge could be put to better use.

Methods to empower Women Land rights offer a key way to economically empower women, giving them the confidence they need to tackle gender inequalities. Often women in developing nations are legally restricted from their land on the sole basis of gender. Having a right to their land gives women a sort of bargaining power that they wouldn't normally have, in turn; they gain the ability to assert themselves in various aspects of their life, both in and outside of their home ${ }^{163}$.

Ownership of productive resources such as land, house, own business, other assets, bank account etc by female respondents as compared to male was assessed in the study area and the result is as follows:


[^45]

Sources: Field Survey, 2011-12
Among female respondents, less than half of them have access to land, which proportion is lower in rural area, while among male, it is as high as $81 \%$ and $95 \%$ in urban and rural area respectively. Although lower than male proportions, female ownership to house is relatively higher in both rural and urban areas ( $68 \%$ in urban and $69 \%$ in rural area). Among female in urban area, $38 \%$ has own business, which is higher than male's proportion of $28 \%$. While it was only $11 \%$ in rural area which was lower than male's proportion ( $25 \%$ ). In urban area female owning other assets was $55 \%$ while in rural area it was only $9 \%$. More than half of female population in the sample has bank account, which is remarkably higher among urban (85\%) than rural women (51\%).

## Women Ownership of Resources:

Table 5.1: Ownership of resources by female in Mokokchung and Tuensang districts (\%).

| Area | Rural Mokokchung |  |  |  |  | Rural Tuensang |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources | Land | House | Ownbusiness | Other assets | Bank Account | Land | House | Own business | Other assets | Bank Account |
| Responses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 15.6 | 36.3 | 14.1 | 16.3 | 85.9 | 15 | 27.1 | 8.8 | 11.6 | 49.3 |
| No | 84.4 | 63.7 | 85.9 | 83.7 | 14.1 | 85 | 72.9 | 91.3 | 88.4 | 50.7 |
| Sub-Total | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 80 | 70 | 80 | 69 | 69 |
|  | Urban Mokokchung |  |  |  |  | Urban Tuensang |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 33.3 | 69.4 | 19.4 | 31.3 | 89.8 | 44.4 | 52.2 | 36.7 | 61.1 | 96.7 |
| No | 66.7 | 30.6 | 80.6 | 68.7 | 10.2 | 55.6 | 47.8 | 57.8 | 38.9 | 3.3 |
| Sub-Total | 99 | 98 | 98 | 99 | 98 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12

The proportions of women who own land were significantly lower than that of male in both the sample districts. In rural area, among female population it was only $15.6 \%$ and $15 \%$ for Mokokchung and Tuensang, respectively. More of urban female population than their rural counterparts has access to land ( $33.3 \%$ and $44.4 \%$ for Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively). However, these proportions are much lower as compared to that of male.

Among those who owned house in rural area comprises of $36.3 \%$ in Mokokchung and $27.1 \%$ in Tuensang, urban area percentage was higher at $69.7 \%$ and $52.2 \%$ for Mokokchung and Tuensang, respectively. Female having their own business and having assets were found to be low, except for urban Tuensang where their asset holding percentage and own business was quite high as compared to the total number of female respondents.

As for banking the number of female account holders in rural area consists of $85.9 \%$ and $49.3 \%$ in Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively. In urban area it was $89.8 \%$ and 96.7 for Mokokchung and Tuensang, showing only $10.2 \%$ and $3.3 \%$ of respondents who do not have bank account in their names.

Ownership of resources by women in general is higher among urban women than that in rural area, also much lower than that of male. The limited access to productive resources for female as compared to male indicates unequal economic relations among gender in the state.

## DETERMINANT OF ACCESS TO RESOURCES:

Despite the traditional impediments to women's ownership of assets and resources, it is found that the individual's income influences ownership to resources and assets, which is vital for women because it determines her economic and social status, opportunity to earn higher income, and enable better access to information. Moreover, the variations in ownership of resources between rural and urban women emerge due to differences in their average income. Hypothesis for the study as 'when women earn higher income, their access to ownership of productive resources increase' has been tested.

To examine the impact of average monthly income of women on their ownership to resources, simple regression analysis has been used and the result is given in table no. 5.2.

The resources, viz., land, house, other assets (vehicle, two wheeler, T.V, sewing machine) and bank accounts in their name are considered as dependent variables, which ownership are assumed to be influenced by the level of income (independent variable). The result of the regression analysis is as follows:

Table 5.2: Impact of Women's Income on Ownership of Resources, A simple regression analysis

| Resources | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | $\beta$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | Std. error | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | Adjusted R $^{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land | .237 | 9.525 | $4.014^{*}$ | .000 | 16.112 | .33 | .108 | 135 |
| Houses | .381 | 8.937 | $3.698^{*}$ | .000 | 13.675 | .30 | .093 | 135 |
| Other Assets | .400 | 8.359 | $3.443^{*}$ | .000 | 11.851 | .91 | .082 | 135 |
| Bank account | .751 | 6.605 | $4.149^{*}$ | .000 | 17.210 | .34 | .115 | 135 |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land | .078 | 5.729 | $1.902^{* * *}$ | .000 | 3.617 | .14 | .021 | 174 |
| Houses | .249 | 8.212 | $1.92^{* * *}$ | .000 | 3.686 | .14 | .021 | 174 |
| Other Assets | .088 | 7.445 | $2.306^{* *}$ | .000 | 5.319 | .17 | .030 | 174 |
| Bank account | .609 | 1.685 | $4.303^{*}$ | .000 | 18.517 | .31 | .097 | 174 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12
The regression result shows that for both urban and rural women, the relationship between ownership of resources by women and their income are positive.

Among urban women, increase in their monthly income by $1 \%$, the number of women with ownership of land goes up by $10 \%$, houses by $9 \%$, other assets by $8 \%$ and bank account by $7 \%$. The $t$ values are $|4.01|,|3.69|,|3.44|$ and $|4.14|$ respectively, all of which are significant at $1 \%$ level. So the hypothesis is accepted.

Among rural women, increase in monthly income by $1 \%$ leads to an increase in ownership of resources, for land by $6 \%$, houses by $8 \%$, other assets by $7 \%$ and bank account by $2 \%$, which are lower than that of urban area. This phenomenon may perhaps be due to reasons like, the influence of traditional norms are stronger in rural than in urban area in regards to ownership to resources. Further, the regression coefficient in rural area is significant at $1 \%$ level only for bank account, while for other assets are significant at $5 \%$ and, land and house are significant at $10 \%$. So the hypothesis for rural area also is accepted.

The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ in urban area suggest that only $10 \%$ of land, $9 \%$ of houses, $8 \%$ and $11 \%$ of other assets and bank account variations are explained by income. The same in rural area is further lower with $2 \%, 3 \%$ and $9 \%$ for land and houses, other assets and bank account respectively.

### 5.3 ACCESS TO FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND SUBSIDIES:

The availability of credit is essential for improving productivity and income, and also at times, for making up seasonal shortfalls. Short and long term credit is needed to buy inputs, and perhaps to set up a small-scale enterprise, such as poultry, piggery or other farm activities, construction works etc. Opening private businesses in a market environment requires assets or credit (through formal channels, money-lenders, family loans or overseas remittances etc.). Women usually have less access to either; because generally women do not have the legal right
to own land and other property. The study made a modest attempt to evaluate the gender differentials in access to credit and financial assistance of the following sources:
(i) Institutional, (ii) Private loans and (iii) subsidies
(i) Institutional Loans

Out of total female respondents, 79 and 20 of urban and rural women respectively have availed institutional loans, and the purposes for taking loans are indicated as follows:

Figure no.5.2(i): Purpose for taking institutional loan by urban women


Source: Sample Survey, 211-12


Source: Sample Survey, 211-12

In both rural and urban areas, female take institutional loan mostly for construction of houses ( $31 \%$ and $33 \%$ respectively). In rural area, loan for children education is also equally high, followed by farming and to buy means of transportation ( $15 \%$ each). Debt repayment accounted for $8 \%$. In urban area, women are availing loans for a multiple purpose, such as personal and business ( $18 \%$ each), children education (13\%), to purchase land (9\%), medical and vehicle ( $4 \%$ each), debt repayment and farming constituted for $1 \%$ each. The major
source of institutional loan is from State Bank of India ( $96 \%$-urban and $95 \%$-rural), other sources are co-operative bank and NIDC.

Table 5.3: Applied for loan from financial institutions

| Area | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Total | Yes | No | total |
| Rural Sub-total | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Urban Sub-total | $\mathbf{4 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Total | 22.26 | 77.74 | 100.00 | 29.87 | 70.13 | 100.00 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
From the total sample male population of 210 in rural area, those who have applied for loan from financial institutions consist of $29(13.81 \%)$ and $181(86.19 \%)$ did not apply for loan. Female total respondents in rural area was 183, out of which 12 (6.56\%) applied, and 171(93.44\%) did not apply.

In urban area, total male respondents are 310, consist of 69 (22.26\%.) who applied and $241(77.74 \%)$ did not apply for loan from financial institutions. Female total respondents in urban area was 308, out of which 92(29.87\%) applied, and 216(70.13\%) did not apply. The data show that, the female proportion of those applied for institutional loans is higher than that of male in urban area but it is lower than that of male in rural area.

Table 5.4: Loans not received for the following reasons

| Sl no | Reasons | District | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1 | Never applied | Mokokchung | 59.62 | 40.38 | 48.75 | 40.58 | 59.42 | 58.88 |
|  |  | Tuensang | 60.82 | 39.18 | 30.31 | 48.15 | 51.85 | 40.60 |
|  |  | Sub total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Did not apply knowing I will not get | Mokokchung | 25.00 | 75.00 | 1.25 | 25.00 | 75.00 | 60.02 |
|  |  | Tuensang | 60.00 | 40.00 | 6.25 | - | - | - |
| 3 | Did not know | Mokokchung | 22.22 | 77.78 | 2.81 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Tuensang | 53.13 | 46.87 | 10.00 | - | 100 | 0.71 |
| 4 | Applied but denied | Mokokchung | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.63 | - | 100 | 0.71 |
|  |  | Tuensang | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Sub-Total |  | 57.81 | 42.19 | 100 | 42.11 | 57.89 | 100 |

Source: Sample Survey, 211-12
In rural area, in aggregate those who did not receive loan were for reasons like never applied comprised of $48.75 \%$ and $30.31 \%$ male and female from Mokokchung and Tuensang. Some respondents did not apply knowing they will not get where $1.25 \%$ and $6.25 \%$ from Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively. Out of this, it was mainly female who did not receive loan consisting of $75 \%$ and $40 \%$ from Mokokchung and Tuensang. Few of the respondents did
not know about such facilities offered, and some respondents were denied the loan, $50 \%$ each for both male and female.

In urban area, in aggregate $58.88 \%$ and $40.60 \%$ of male and female never applied, among which $59.42 \%$ and $51.85 \%$ female never applied. And $75 \%$ female from urban area did not apply knowing they will not get, as for male it was only $25 \%$. Thus it is evident that female chances of getting loan from financial institutions are very slim. This is the result in part of their lack of collateral required to guarantee loans and in part of their exclusion from male-oriented information networks and extension services.

## (ii) Private Loan

Out of total 137 respondents who have taken loans from private money lenders, 68 were male and 69 female. Respondents who have taken loan for purchase of land were all male. For construction of houses, health care, children education and business, the female proportions were higher than that of male. This implies that, except for purchase of land, for all other purposes, it is female, than that of male, who take higher responsibility in availing loans from private money lenders with higher rate of interest.


Source: Sample Survey, 211-12

Table 5.5: Purpose of Loan taken from private money lenders in Mokokchung and Tuensang district

| Purpose | Mokokchung |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Persons |  |  | Persons |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| To buy land | 1 | - | 1 | 100 | - | 2.33 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Construction of house | 5 | 3 | 8 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 18.60 | 8 | 14 | 22 | 36.36 | 63.64 | 23.40 |
| Medical treatment | 1 | 3 | 4 | 25.00 | 75.00 | 9.30 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 37.50 | 62.50 | 8.51 |
| Business | 3 | 6 | 9 | 33.33 | 66.66 | 20.93 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 62.50 | 37.50 | 8.51 |
| Children education | 6 | 15 | 21 | 28.57 | 71.43 | 48.84 | 36 | 20 | 56 | 64.29 | 35.71 | 59.57 |
| Total | 16 | 27 | 43 | 37.21 | 62.79 | 100 | 52 | 42 | 94 | 55.32 | 44.68 | 100 |

Source: Sample Survey, 211-12
From the sample population of Mokokchung there were 43 respondents who availed loan from private money lenders, of which, $37.21 \%$ were male and $62.79 \%$ female. From Tuensang there were 94 respondents, comprised of $55 \%$ of male and $45 \%$ of female who availed loan from private source. In Mokokchung, female proportion was higher than that of male for medical, children education and business loans. In Tuensang, female proportion was higher than male for construction and medical treatment. Majority of the respondents borrowed for children education, followed by construction of houses.
(iii) Access to Subsidies

Table 5.6(a): Subsidy provided for the following activities (rural \& urban)

| Areas | Mokokchung (persons) |  |  | Tuensang (persons) |  |  | Mokokchung (percentage) |  |  | Tuensang (percentage) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Horticulture | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 50.00 | 50.00 | 15.38 | - | - | - |
| Fishery | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 100 | - | 15.38 | - | - | - |
| Eri silk rearing | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 50.00 | 50.00 | 15.38 | - | - | - |
| Vermi compost | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 100 | - | 7.69 | - | - | - |
| Cattle rearing | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 100 | 7.69 | - | - | - |
| NREGA | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 100 | - | 7.69 | - | - | - |
| Women welfare | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 100 | 7.69 | - | - | - |
| Piggery | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 100 | 7.69 | 100 | - | $\begin{gathered} 25 . \\ 00 \end{gathered}$ |
| Handicraft | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 100 | - | $\begin{gathered} 25 . \\ 00 \end{gathered}$ |
| Plantation | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 100 | - | 15.38 | 100 | - | $\begin{gathered} 25 . \\ 00 \end{gathered}$ |
| Business | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 100 | $\begin{array}{r} 25 . \\ 00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total | 8 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 61.54 | 38.46 | 100 | 75.00 | 25.00 | 100 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

Apart from loan, government also provide subsidy component to start different economic activities. Table 5. 6(a) shows that, only 17 (seventeen) have received subsidy for economic activities like farming, plantation, piggery, handicrafts and business (petty trades). In
aggregate $64(71 \%$ male and $35.29 \%$ females) received subsidy for the given activities in the two districts.

In the sample area there were 13 ( $61.54 \%$ male and $38.46 \%$ female) beneficiaries from Mokokchung, and 4 ( $75 \%$ male and $25 \%$ female) beneficiaries from Tuensang. Thus, the proportion of male beneficiary is higher than female in both the sample districts.

The proportion of beneficiary is insignificant in both the sample districts, thus the study has made an attempt to explore the reasons for not availing such subsidies.
Table 5.6(b): Reasons for not receiving subsidy (rural \& urban)

| Reasons | Mokokchung <br> (persons) |  |  | Tuensang <br> (persons) |  |  | Mokokchung <br> (percentage) |  |  | Tuensang <br> (percentage) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Never applied | 140 | 160 | 300 | 126 | 129 | 255 | 46.67 | 53.33 | 87.72 | 49.41 | 50.59 | 76.58 |
| Did not know | 6 | 23 | 29 | 43 | 33 | 76 | 20.69 | 79.31 | 8.48 | 56.58 | 43.42 | 22.82 |
| Denied | 9 | 4 | 13 | - | 2 | 2 | 69.23 | 30.77 | 3.80 | - | 100 | 0.60 |
| Total | 155 | 187 | 342 | 169 | 164 | 333 | 45.32 | 54.68 | 100 | 50.75 | 49.25 | 100 |

The reasons for not availing or not getting government subsidies in the sample area especially by women for different schemes was examined, covering three reasons such as never applied, did not know and denied. The study showed percentage of beneficiary among women getting subsidy were negligible. The reasons from the respondents of Mokokchung district were, in total $88 \%$ were who never applied, (comprised of $53.33 \%$ female and $46.67 \%$ of male). Out of total $8.48 \%$ who did not know about the scheme, women comprised of $79.31 \%$, and among $3.80 \%$ who were denied, women accounted for $30.77 \%$.

The problem in Tuensang district is worse than Mokokchung because very few from the sample population received subsidy under any scheme.

The major reasons are, for both male and female, never applied because they were not interested or not aware of such schemes. For which, it is more of women than male fall under the category.

In sample total, $82 \%$ have not applied for, while $16 \%$ were ignorant about the schemes and $2 \%$ were denied. The gender composition for not getting subsidies in sample total is shown in figure here below


Source: Sample survey, 2011-12

Among the sample population who have never applied for subsidies and did not know, female accounted for higher proportions ( $52 \%$ and $53 \%$ respectively) than that of male ( $48 \%$ and $47 \%$ ). Among those who were denied of subsidies, it is more of male ( $60 \%$ ) than the female ( $40 \%$ ). This reveals that lack of awareness and inactiveness is higher among female than that of male.

### 5.4 ACCESS TO TRAINING

A major factor contributing to women's growing participation in work is the fact that they have more educational and training opportunities opened up to them in recent years than before. Training in management skills, assertiveness training and on-the-job training in different areas to gain broader experience and knowledge of an organization's structure and functions are key instruments in providing women with the self-confidence, techniques, knowledge and contacts to move ahead in an organization and the work she has taken up to contribute to their family income.

The study has explored to the extent of trainings attended by female in the sample area. The findings are as follows:


Source: Sample survey, 2011-12

Out of total respondents of 762 , only $23 \%$ had attended trainings while $77 \%$ have not. Among 369 male respondents, only $17 \%$ have attended. Whilst out of 393 female respondents, 29\% have attended.

The access to training programs among the sample district is indicated in the following table.

Table 5.6(c): Access to training programs

| Area | Attended <br> (persons) |  |  | Not attended <br> (persons) |  |  | Attended <br> (percentage) |  |  | Not attended <br> (percentage) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Mokokchung | 56 | 95 | 151 | 91 | 47 | 138 | 37.09 | 62.91 | $\mathbf{8 5 . 8 0}$ | 62.94 | 34.06 | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 5}$ |
| Tuensang | 5 | 20 | 25 | 217 | 231 | 448 | 20.00 | 80.00 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 0}$ | 48.44 | 51.56 | $\mathbf{7 6 . 4 5}$ |
| Total | 61 | 115 | 176 | 308 | 278 | 586 | 34.66 | 65.34 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 52.56 | 47.44 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12
Out of total respondents who have attended trainings, Mokokchung accounted for $85 \%$ and Tuensang $14 \%$. Among those who have not attended, Mokokchung comprised of $23.55 \%$ and $76.45 \%$ of Tuensang. Thus, more sample population of Mokokchung than Tuensang has attended trainings.

Gender composition data indicated in table 5.6(c) reveals that among those who attended, male comprised of $34.66 \%$ and female of $65.34 \%$ in sample total. The same in Mokokchung district is comprised of $37.09 \%$ male and $62.91 \%$ female, and Tuensang was $20 \%$ and $80 \%$ of male and female respectively. The number of respondents who did not attend any training program is huge, that comprised of $53 \%$ of male and $47 \%$ of female in sample total. In Mokokchung it consists of $62.94 \%$ male and $34.06 \%$ of female. In Tuensang it is of $48.44 \%$ male and $51.56 \%$ female. It is evident from the data that participation in training programs is higher among female than that of male.

## Reasons for not attending trainings:

Not attending training programs were observed on the basis of three reasons such as, no time, never invited and no educational qualifications.


Source: Sample survey, 2011-12
Figure no. 5.6 shows the reasons for not attending trainings for male and female respectively. Among female respondents, $48.41 \%$ was due to time constraints, which is higher than that of male proportion of $39.81 \%$. Only $25 \%$ of female were never invited as compared to $35 \%$ of male. Moreover, $26 \%$ of female could not attend due to lack of requisite qualification, which for male was only $24.84 \%$. Thus for female the major obstacle to attend training is time constraint and next is lack of educational qualification. Women are face with time poverty as she has to attend to household chores, job, child care, and social activities etc.. Otherwise such training programmers will enhance their awareness and capabilities to earn higher income.

Sample district data on reasons for not attending trainings are given in the table as follows:

Table 5.6(d): Reasons for not attending training programs (total)

| Reasons | Mokokchung |  |  | Tuensang |  |  |  | Mokokchung (in \%) |  |  | Tuensang (in \%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |  |
| No time | 63 | 80 | 143 | 62 | 87 | 149 | 44.06 | 55.94 | 58.61 | 41.61 | 58.39 | 35.90 |  |
| Never <br> invited | 36 | 29 | 65 | 75 | 58 | 133 | 55.38 | 44.62 | 26.64 | 56.39 | 43.61 | 32.05 |  |
| No <br> educational <br> qualificatior | 10 | 26 | 36 | 68 | 65 | 133 | 27.78 | 72.22 | 14.75 | 51.13 | 48.87 | 32.05 |  |
| Total | 109 | 135 | 244 | 205 | 210 | 415 | 44.67 | 55.33 | 100 | 49.40 | 50.60 | 100 |  |

Out of the total responses in both districts, the highest was time constraint consists of $58.61 \%$ in Mokokchung ( $44.06 \%$ of male and $55.94 \%$ female) and $35.90 \%$ in Tuensang district ( $41.61 \%$ of male and $58.39 \%$ female). The other reason being never invited consists $26.64 \%$ in Mokokchung (of $55.38 \%$ male and $44.62 \%$ female), and $32.05 \%$ in Tuensang male and $43.61 \%$ female). In Mokokchung, $14.75 \%$ ( $27.78 \%$ male and $72.22 \%$ female) were
with no educational qualification and for Tuensang it was $32 \%$ (comprised of $51.13 \%$ male and 48.87\% female).

In both the districts, women comprised of more than $50 \%$ of respondents who did not have time to attend training programs, and no educational qualification was another constraint with higher proportion of women $72.22 \%$ and $48.87 \%$ in Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively.

### 5.5 ACCESS TO INFORMATION (on Trainings and Financial Resources):

Access to information for trainings and other developmental schemes are vital. The study has explored how far the respondents get access to information on trainings, institutional credit, government developmental schemes and post office deposit scheme which were on in recent past and currently on. The results are presented as follows:

Figure no. 5.7: Access to information-total


Source: Sample survey, 2011-12
In sample total, the respondents who were aware of the information on credit was $40 \%$, followed by trainings ( $27 \%$ ) and the least aware of the post office deposit scheme ( $16 \%$ ). Gender segregated data also show a similar situation. However, the levels of awareness are lower among female than that of male in all categories, except for trainings.
The data on sample districts is indicated in the table 5.6 (e) as follows (Annexure 5.8)

Table 5.6(e): Access to information in percentage

| Schemes/ Facilities | Mokokchung |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T |  |
| Trainings | 42.24 | 57.76 | $\mathbf{3 0 . 3 4}$ | 53.25 | 46.75 | $\mathbf{2 8 . 0 7}$ |  |
| Credit facilities | 53.87 | 46.13 | $\mathbf{3 6 . 8 0}$ | 36.36 | 63.64 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2 7}$ |  |
| Govt.Schemes | 52.06 | 47.94 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 5}$ | 43.68 | 56.32 | $\mathbf{3 1 . 5 6}$ |  |
| Deposit schemes in post office | 60.38 | 39.62 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6 1}$ | 43.61 | 56.39 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 0 9}$ |  |
| Total | 50.71 | 49.29 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 45.02 | 54.98 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Table 5.6(e):Continued:

| Schemes/ <br> Facilities | Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Trainings | 15.85 | 84.15 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4 3}$ | 48.76 | 51.24 | $\mathbf{3 0 . 4 5}$ |
| Credit facilities | 45.73 | 54.27 | $\mathbf{6 1 . 4 2}$ | 52.35 | 47.65 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 5 6}$ |
| Govt.Schemes | 60.00 | 40.00 | $\mathbf{7 . 1 1}$ | 46.20 | 53.80 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 8 8}$ |
| Deposit schemes in post office | 41.44 | 58.56 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 3 0}$ | 50.00 | 50.00 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0 9}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12
In Mokokchung, out of the total respondents there were $37 \%$ having access to information on institutional credit facilities, followed by $30 \%$ on trainings, $12 \%$ on post office deposits scheme and least was on Govt. schemes (11\%). In Tuensang district, most of respondents were aware of credit facilities ( $61 \%$ ); followed by post office deposit scheme (26\%), trainings (19\%), and the least was on govt. schemes (7.11\%).

The gender composition data on access to information reveal that in Mokokchung, male has higher access to information than female under all categories, except for trainings where it is more of female.

### 5.6 MEMBERSHIP IN FORMAL ORGANIZATIONS \& SELF HELP GROUPS:

An attempt has been made to find out the level of participation of women respondents in economic and social organizations and the benefits.

## (i) Membership in formal organization:

Table 5.6(f): Membership in formal organizations in percentage

| Area | Nature of organization |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Economic |  |  |  | T |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Mokokchung | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 49.06 | 50.94 | 100 |
| Tuensang | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100 | 49.06 | 50.94 | 100 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
The study was carried out for both male and female in the sample to find out their level of participation in formal organizations. From among the respondents of Tuensang, none have membership in any of formal organizations. Mokokchung had 14 ( $50 \%$ each for both male and female) in economic organizations, and 106 ( $49.6 \%$ male and $50.94 \%$ female) were members in different social organizations. That in Mokokchung, both women and men equally participate in socio economic organizations; whereas in Tuensang there is no participation for both sexes.

## (ii) Membership in SHG:

An attempt has been made to find out the level of participation of respondents in SHGs and on whether being members in various organizations help raise their economic and social status.

Table5.6(g): Membership in SHGs in percentage

| Area | Members |  |  | Not members |  |  | Benefits |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Economic | Social |  |
|  | M | F | T |  |  |  | M | F | T | M | F | M | F |
| Mokokchung | 8.11 | 91.89 | 48.68 | 51.32 | 48.68 | 51.12 | - | 100 | - | 100 |
| Tuensang | - | 100 | 100 | $\begin{gathered} 51.6 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 48.39 | 48.88 | - | 100 | - | 100 |
| Total | 3.95 | 96.05 | 100 | $\begin{gathered} 51.4 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 48.54 | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
The sample data of the two districts show that members in the two districts were 76 consisting of 37 ( $8.11 \%$ male and $91.89 \%$ female) from Mokokchung and 39 ( $100 \%$ female) from Tuensang. In both the sample areas it was female who received both economic and social benefits and they were able to develop their independent personalities and have free interaction with the other female. The members take up economic activities like piggery, marketing of vegetables, cultivation of flowers, mushroom, vegetables etc. and also received credits and subsidies, gaining economic benefits.

### 5.7 STATUS AND VIEWS OF WORKING WOMEN

This section of the chapter assess the status of working women in terms of freedom at home, conditions at work place and her perceptions on gender relations.

## (i) Freedom and Restrictions



Source: Field survey, 2011-12
Out of 277 responses, $84 \%$ have freedom to work as their husbands or fathers do not impose any restrictions on them. However, $38 \%$ of the working women have problem to go out of the town or village for work as their husbands or fathers do not approve for the same. Further, more than half ( $56 \%$ ) of those women face various problems for being a worker.

Table 5.7(i): Women's freedom and restriction to work

| Sl no. | Particulars | Mokokchung |  | Tuensang |  | Sample total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no |
| 1 | Husband/father approves to work | 98.64 | 1.36 | 68.46 | 31.54 | 84.48 | 15.52 |
| 2 | Husband /father approves you to <br> work outside village/town | 66.18 | 33.82 | 60.00 | 40.00 | 61.76 | 38.24 |
| 3 | As working mother/women, do you <br> face any problem at home | 46.67 | 53.33 | 65.12 | 34.88 | 55.68 | 44.32 |

Source: Field survey, 2011-12
As compared the sample districts, Mokokchung is comparatively advance than Tuensang in terms of literacy and economically, as such, women in Mokokchung has more freedom to work ( $98.64 \%$ ) and move outside their towns/villages ( $66 \%$ ), and less than half of the working women face problems. While for Tuensang more than half of the women respondent has freedom to work ( $68 \%$ ) and move out for work ( $60 \%$ ), but as high as $65 \%$ face problems for being a working woman.

## (ii) Barrier and opportunities at work place

Many of the barriers to women's empowerment and equity lie ingrained in cultural norms. Many women feel these pressures, while others have become accustomed to being treated inferior to men ${ }^{164}$.


Source: Field survey, 2011-12
In sample total, regarding barriers and opportunity in work place the data show that $92 \%$ of the working women get work satisfaction from current jobs, and very few of them experience harassment in work place ( $4 \%$ ), and nearly $80 \%$ expressed that women are given due promotion as male in their respective work places. However, about $17 \%$ of the respondents stated that they are being denied job at one time or the other for being a woman.

Table 5.7(ii): Barrier and Opportunities at work place

| Sl no. | Particulars | Mokokchung |  | Tuensang |  | Sample Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no |
| 1 | Whether satisfied with the <br> position/ job you are holding | 95.00 | 5.00 | 90.00 | 10.00 | 92.69 | 7.31 |
| 2 | Harassment of women in work <br> place | 6.47 | 93.53 | 1.67 | 98.33 | 4.25 | 95.75 |
| 3 | Whether enjoying due promotion <br> opportunities | 71.32 | 28.68 | 88.98 | 11.02 | 79.53 | 20.47 |
| 4 | Denied a deserving job for being <br> a woman | 7.46 | 92.54 | 27.27 | 72.73 | 16.86 | 83.14 |

Source: Field Survey, 2011-12.
As compared the two sample districts, working women with job satisfaction and enjoying due promotion in job were high in both the districts. Harassment in work was low and denied job for being a woman was also low in Mokokchung (7\%) but moderately higher in Tuensang (27.27\%).

[^46](iii) Perceptions on work and gender relations:

The perception of working women in respect of work and gender relations results are shown in the figure here below:


Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
More than $90 \%$ of the working women feel that education has a positive impact on work and thus influence the earnings, and that work participation helps raise women's overall status. Moreover, $71 \%$ of those working women feel that being engaged in work give them a sense of security. In addition, more than $90 \%$ of them percept that economic independence of women helps them to gain more respect in the family and society.

Furthermore, $89 \%$ of the women respondents do not agree with the arguments that employment results in postponement of marriages and more than $90 \%$ do not agree that employment is negatively impacting the fertility and chances of getting married.

Among the working women, $95 \%$ feel that both male and female should share equal responsibility at home, $99 \%$ and $89 \%$ opine that equal opportunity should be given for both male and female in social, religious and political affairs.

Table 5.7(iii): Perceptions of working women on work and gender relations

| Perceptions on work and gender relations | Mokokchung |  | Tuensang |  | Sample Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | no | Yes | no | Yes | no |
| Increased schooling has positive impact on work participation and income | 97.08 | 2.92 | 97.69 | 2.31 | 97.38 | 2.62 |
| Has work participation help raise your standard | 97.86 | 2.14 | 89.31 | 10.68 | 93.73 | 6.27 |
| Employment gives you a sense of security | 99.33 | 0.67 | 36.36 | 63.64 | 71.11 | 28.89 |
| Postponed marriage because of employment/ studies? | 14.89 | 85.11 | 6.87 | 93.13 | 11.03 | 88.97 |
| Employment has negative impact on fertility and chance of marriage | 12.69 | 87.31 | 6.92 | 93.08 | 9.85 | 90.15 |
| Both sexes should share burden of household work equally | 97.85 | 2.14 | 91.60 | 8.40 | 94.83 | 5.17 |
| Economic independence gains more respect in family and society | 97.79 | 2.21 | 84.62 | 15.38 | 91.35 | 8.65 |
| Equal opportunities in social and religious activities | 97.86 | 0 | 97.69 | 2.31 | 98.88 | 1.12 |
| Equal opportunities should be given to participate in political activities. | 99.25 | 0.75 | 77.69 | 23.31 | 88.64 | 11.36 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12
Among the sample districts, both the districts agreed on all the counts and displayed high degree of sensitization (above 80\%), except for Tuensang, where only $36 \%$ of working women feels that employment gives them a sense of security.

It may be summarized that husband's/fathers' approval for wives/daughters working was more in Mokokchung than in Tuensang. The problem of working mother was found to be more in Tuensang than in Mokokchung. More than half of Women were allowed to work outside their town/village. In both the districts more than $90 \%$ of women are satisfied with the job they are holding. Harassment in work place is negligible; Women were also satisfied with the promotion opportunities. Problem faced for being a woman to get a deserving job was also relatively low. The outcome of increased schooling and work participation had positive impact on women's status.

Respondents feel that employment do not have much of impact on fertility and postponement of marriage; also they strongly feel that sharing of household burden and equal opportunities in social and religious activities is needed. Women feel that with more economic independence they gain respect in the family as well as in the society. Equal opportunities and acceptance of women in political activities is felt by women in the sample area.

### 5.8 REASONS FOR UNEMPLOYMENT (unable to find work)

Many women in the sample area were found to be unemployed and unable to find work. Only women respondents were taken, because they face more problems, to find out a clear picture as to why women find difficulty to find job/work in the area and as to what extent being unemployed affects their self esteem.

Table 5.8(i): Reasons for unemployment (rural \& urban)

| S1 <br> no. | Reasons | Mokok. | Tuensang | Total | Mokok. <br> $(\%)$ | Tuensang <br> $(\%)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Not enough educational <br> qualification | 58 | 92 | 150 | 38.67 | 61.33 | 46.73 |
| 2 | To start family | 21 | 48 | 69 | 30.43 | 69.57 | 22.74 |
| 3 | To look after children | 42 | 31 | 73 | 57.53 | 42.47 | 22.74 |
| 4 | Cannot afford hired help | 5 | 6 | 11 | 45.45 | 54.55 | 3.43 |
| 5 | Husband's income is <br> enough | 17 | 1 | 18 | 94.44 | 5.56 | 5.61 |
| 6 | Total Respondents | 143 | 178 | 321 | 44.55 | 55.45 | 100.00 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12
In the survey 321 female responded to the given reasons, composed of 143 and 178 from Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively. In aggregate $46.73 \%$ did not have enough educational qualification of $38.67 \%$ from Mokokchung and $61.33 \%$ from Tuensang. The other reason for unemployment was to start family with $22.74 \%$ consisting of $30.43 \%$ and $69.57 \%$ from Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively.

Another reason for being unemployed was to look after children with $22.74 \%$ of the respondents from Mokokchung $57.53 \%$ and $42.47 \%$ from Tuensang. Cannot afford hired help were $3.43 \%$ in aggregate with $45.45 \%$ and $54.55 \%$ from Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively. $5.61 \%$ of the respondents contend that their husband's income was enough.

Among female the major reason for being unemployed is lack of required education, the other reasons are family related problems (nearly $50 \%$ ), comprise of starting family, children related and family upkeep. Only a handful remains unemployed because her spouse income is sufficient.

It is assumed that unemployment reduces self esteem of the individual and often reduces the status in the society. Thus to examine the level of self esteem three questions were asked and the responses are presented here:

Table 5.8(ii): Responses of unemployed women in percentage

| Sl no | Questions | Mokokchung |  | Tuensang |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Y | N | Y | N |
| 1 | Do you have a sense of security | 61.76 | 38.24 | 22.40 | 77.60 |
| 2 | Do you go out/ travel alone or else you need company | 34.72 | 65.28 | 28.13 | 71.88 |
| 3 | Apart from domestic work do you want to venture out <br> and pursue your interests | 55.00 | 45.00 | 49.19 | 50.81 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

In order to know whether or not the unemployed women have a sense of security for being unemployed, in Mokokchung 61.76\% gave affirmative answer and only $38.24 \%$ feel insecure. The question of whether or not they travel alone or need company to go out/travel, only $34.72 \%$ response was yes and majority of them ( $65.28 \%$ ) show lower self esteem by saying they need company to travel.

Apart from domestic, whether they want to venture out and pursue their interests question was posed, the responses were, little more than half ( $55 \%$ ) of women desires to pursue their interest in life although currently unemployed and $45 \%$ has no desire to do so , which shows lower self esteem.

Out of 125 respondents from Tuensang district, only $22.40 \%$ had a sense of security even if they were not employed, but as high as $77.60 \%$ have feeling of insecurity as they were not employed and only $28.13 \%$ need company to go out/travel and $71.88 \%$ do not need company. To the other question where $49.19 \%$ wanted to go out and pursue their interests apart from their domestic chores.

The feeling of insecurity for being unemployed is higher in Tuensang district than Mokokchung, but the insecurity to go alone which show lower self confidence is higher among women in Mokokchung, and nearly half of them do not have the desire to pursue their interest as they lack self esteem.

Table 5.8(iii): Assistance received by unemployed women

| Sl no. | Particulars | Mokokchung |  | Tuensang |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Y | N | Y | N |
| 1 | Are you a beneficiary of NREGS | 25.25 | 74.75 | 37.82 | 62.18 |
| 2 | Are you a beneficiary of any govt. welfare scheme | 16.16 | 83.84 | 12.20 | 87.80 |
| 3 | Are you a member of any govt. developmental <br> scheme | 7.00 | 93.00 | 34.96 | 65.04 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12
There were 99 respondents in Mokokchung on the question on NREGS, out of which only $25.25 \%$ were beneficiaries and $74.75 \%$ were not. Out of total respondents, the beneficiaries of government welfare schemes was $16.16 \%$ and $83.84 \%$ were not beneficiaries. On government developmental scheme, only $7 \%$ were members and $93 \%$ were not.

Out of 123 respondents in Tuensang, there were $37.82 \%$ beneficiaries of NREGS and the rest $62.18 \%$ respondents were not beneficiaries. Under different government welfare schemes $12.20 \%$ were beneficiaries and $87.80 \%$ were not beneficiaries. Members of government developmental schemes were $34.96 \%$ and $65.04 \%$ were not members.

The result shows that, among unemployed women very few of them received governmental assistance in the form of wage work, welfare scheme and developmental projects. Consequently, they have low self esteem and confidence on themselves.

### 5.9. DECISION-MAKING:

Decision- making is an important aspect of familial network with the help of which we can understand its inner power dynamics. Scanzoni (1980). ${ }^{165}$ Other scholars like (Blood \& Wolfe, 1960; ${ }^{166}$ Goode, $1971{ }^{167}$ ) opine that it is the resources of an individual which help them in playing an important role in the family decision-making. A person with greater resources would be better placed in arriving at any decision.

This section presents the result of the analysis of women's position in the household decision making process as compared to male. To find out who wields the power in decision making of the households, eighteen different questions were included in the schedule covering different dimensions of decision making at the household level. The major dimensions of decision making in (i) home related are home management and purchase and construction of house and articles. (ii) Child related includes education, occupation and purchases for children, (iii) money related includes handling money matters especially incurring expenses utilizing the savings, and (iv) Purchases and Sales.

## Gender wise Decision making:

(a) Home related matters:

Table 5.9(i): Home Related Decision making by Gender in Sample (in \%)

| Sl <br> no. | Decision making dimensions |  | Mokokchung |  | Tuensang |  | Nagaland (Sample total) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural- Home Related: |  | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both |
| 1 | Home management | 4.7 | 21.3 | 74.0 | 3.3 | 28.9 | 67.8 | 4.0 | 25.2 | 70.9 |
| 2 | Purchase of household articles | 8.6 | 29.8 | 61.6 | 28.8 | 8.5 | 62.7 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 62.2 |
| 3 | Construction of a new house | 46.6 | 13.7 | 39.7 | 61.6 | 5.3 | 33.1 | 54.2 | 9.4 | 36.4 |
| 4 | Repairing existing house | 49.3 | 13.5 | 37.2 | 89.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 69.5 | 9.4 | 21.1 |
|  | Average score (Rural) | $\mathbf{2 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 6 5}$ |
| Urban -Home Related: | Home management | 1.0 | 54.0 | 45.0 | 2.0 | 67.0 | 31.0 | 1.5 | 60.5 | 38.0 |
| 1 | Purchase of household articles | 1.0 | 58.0 | 41.0 | 20.0 | 49.0 | 31.0 | 10.5 | 53.5 | 36.0 |
| 2 | Construction of a new house | 18.7 | 14.3 | 67.0 | 52.4 | 23.2 | 24.4 | 34.7 | 18.5 | 46.8 |
| 3 | Repairing existing house | 21.3 | 12.8 | 66.0 | 62.5 | 23.9 | 13.6 | 41.2 | 18.1 | 40.7 |
|  | Average score (Urban) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 3 8}$ |

Source: Annexure 5.13 and 5.14

[^47]
## Rural Area:

On an average in rural sample total, home related decisions are taken by and large jointly (both male and female) in the families, especially relating to home management and purchase of household articles ( $70 \%$ and $62 \%$, respectively), but regarding major investment like construction and repairing of houses, decisions are normally made by male ( $54 \%$ and $70 \%$, respectively), in some cases it is taken jointly ( $36 \%$ and $21 \%$ respectively) but very rarely by female alone ( $9 \%$ each).

As comparing the sample districts, rural women in Mokokchung are better situated as its average score is $20 \%$, having relatively more influence in decisions of construction and repairing of houses and purchases as compared to rural women in Tuensang (12\%).

## Urban Area:

The decision regarding home related matters, contrary to rural women, the average score of urban women is higher ( $38 \%$ ) than that of male ( $22 \%$ ); however, generally it is taken jointly (40\%).

In the two sample districts, comparatively urban women in Tuensang have higher influence as the average score is higher (41\%) than women in Mokokchung (35\%). In Mokokchung district normally it is taken jointly (55\%). Whereas, in Tuensang, the average score of urban women is higher than both male and jointly.

In urban area, women enjoy greater influence over male in home management and purchases. Only in Tuensang and in sample average, men are having relatively greater influence over women in construction and repairing of houses. But in most of the households in Mokokchung as well as in sample total, it is taken jointly.

In rural area, normally it is male who takes the decision in regards to construction and repairing of houses, and it is jointly for home management and purchases, but never by female alone in general.

## (b) Child Related :

Table 5.9(ii): Child Related Decision making by Gender in Sample (in \%)

| Sl no. | Decision making dimensions | Mokokchung |  |  | Tuensang |  |  | Nagaland (Sample total) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural-Child Related |  | Male | Female | Both | Male | Femal | Both | Male | Female | Both |
| 1 | Children's arguments | 3.6 | 35.5 | 60.9 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 79.0 | 7.1 | 22.8 | 70.1 |
| 2 | Children's purchases | 5.7 | 45.0 | 49.3 | 1.4 | 23.6 | 75.0 | 3.6 | 34.3 | 62.1 |
| 3 | Medical treatment for children/family | 9.1 | 20.3 | 70.6 | 12.2 | 7.4 | 80.4 | 10.7 | 13.7 | 75.6 |
| 4 | Type of education for children | 8.6 | 14.3 | 77.1 | 8.1 | 5.9 | 85.9 | 8.4 | 10.2 | 81.5 |
| 5 | Occupation of children | 8.1 | 14.8 | 77.0 | 8.2 | 4.5 | 87.3 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 82.2 |
|  | Average score (Rural) | 7.02 | 25.98 | 66.98 | 8.08 | 10.38 | 81.52 | 7.6 | 18.14 | 74.3 |
| Urban-Child Related |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Children's arguments | 1.1 | 40.9 | 58.1 | 10.1 | 41.6 | 48.3 | 5.5 | 41.2 | 53.3 |
| 2 | Children's purchases | 0 | 78.9 | 21.1 | 0 | 75.8 | 24.2 | 0 | 77.4 | 22.6 |
| 3 | Medical treatment for children/family | 3.2 | 29.2 | 68.8 | 3.1 | 43.8 | 53.1 | 2.6 | 36.5 | 60.9 |
| 4 | Type of education for children | 2.2 | 16.7 | 81.1 | 2.3 | 36.8 | 60.9 | 2.3 | 26.6 | 71.2 |
| 5 | Occupation of children | 2.5 | 18.5 | 79 | 2.7 | 37.8 | 59.5 | 2.6 | 27.7 | 69.7 |
|  | Average score (Urban) | 1.8 | 36.84 | 61.62 | 3.64 | 47.16 | 49.2 | 2.6 | 41.88 | 55.54 |

Source: Annexure 5.13 and 5.14
In both rural and urban areas, the average score is higher for 'both' (74\%-rural and $56 \%$-urban), followed by 'female' ( $18 \%$-rural and $42 \%$-urban), which implies that for most of the families the child related decisions are taken jointly in both rural and urban areas. Urban women have moderately higher influence over male than that of rural women in regards to child related decisions in the families. Male alone has very limited weight in child related decisions.

In the sample districts, rural women in Mokokchung district enjoy greater influence over the male counterpart as compared to that of rural women in Tuensang in this regard, so also the urban women in Mokokchung show greater influence in regards to settlements of disputes and purchases for children. But urban women of Tuensang show greater influence over their male counterpart in regards to children medical care, education and occupation.

## (c) Money Related:

Money related dimensions of decision making is considered in terms of management, keeping cash in bank, loans, and savings in one hand, and purchases and sells are examined on the other.

Table 5.9(iii): Money Related Decision making by Gender in Sample (in \%)

| Sl no | Decision making dimensions | Mokokchung |  |  | Tuensang |  |  | Nagaland (Sample total) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural-Money Related |  | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Femal | Both |
| 1 | Money management | 10.8 | 40.5 | 48.6 | 3.4 | 17.4 | 79.2 | 7.1 | 29.0 | 64.0 |
| 2 | Keeping money in the bank | 34.0 | 19.4 | 46.5 | 6.5 | 9.8 | 83.7 | 21.3 | 29.0 | 63.7 |
| 3 | Decision on taking loan and repayment of loan | 15.6 | 19.1 | 65.2 | 37.2 | 15.9 | 46.9 | 25.2 | 17.7 | 57.1 |
| 4 | Saving and capital transaction | 16.9 | 16.1 | 66.9 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 86.4 | 12.4 | 11.2 | 76.4 |
|  | Average score (Rural) | 19.33 | 23.78 | 56.80 | 13.68 | 12.25 | 74.05 | 16.50 | 21.73 | 65.30 |
| Urban-Money Related |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Money management | 1 | 55.6 | 43.4 | 3 | 58.6 | 38.4 | 2 | 57.1 | 40.9 |
| 2 | Keeping money in the bank | 12.8 | 31.9 | 55.3 | 13 | 56.5 | 30.4 | 12.9 | 44.1 | 43 |
| 3 | Decision on taking loan and repayment of loan | 6 | 23 | 71 | 48.8 | 23.3 | 27.9 | 18.9 | 23.1 | 58 |
| 4 | Saving and capital transaction | 11.8 | 19.7 | 68.4 | 25 | 63.5 | 11.5 | 17.2 | 37.5 | 45.3 |
|  | Average score (Urban) | 7.9 | 32.55 | 59.53 | 22.45 | 50.48 | 27.05 | 12.75 | 40.45 | 46.8 |

Source: Annexure 5.13 and 5.14
The sample data shows that in regards to money related matters, for majority of the households money management and its related decisions are being taken by both husband and wife together (jointly) in both rural and urban areas ( $65 \%$ and $46.8 \%$ ). By gender concern, female average scores are higher than male in both areas ( $21.73 \%$ and $40.45 \%$, respectively for female, whereas for male it is $16.50 \%$ and $12.75 \%$ respectively).

Management of money at home and keeping money at banks are usually the domain of female whereas, decision on taking loans and repayment and saving and capital transactions are of male domain in rural area. In urban area, female influence over male in making decisions for overall matters relating to money. District wise data show slight variations, where in urban area, female has higher influence over male in general, and in rural area too women enjoy greater influence on management of money.
(d) Purchases and Sales

Table 5.9(iv): Purchases and Sales Related Decision making by Gender in Sample (in \%)

| Sl no | Decision making dimensions | Mokokchung |  |  | Tuensang |  |  | Nagaland (Sample total) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural-Purchases and Sales |  | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Femal | Both |
| 1 | Going to bazaar for purchases | 6.8 | 55.8 | 37.4 | 4.1 | 90.5 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 73.1 | 21.4 |
| 2 | Buying and selling of land and livestock | 30.0 | 15.3 | 54.7 | 29.8 | 15.2 | 55.0 | 29.9 | 15.3 | 54.8 |
| 3 | Buying of farm inputs and implements | 12. | 22.7 | 64.5 | 25.5 | 17.6 | 56.9 | 19.4 | 20.1 | 60.5 |
| 4 | Sale of farm output | 9.1 | 51.7 | 39.2 | 36.0 | 25.2 | 38.8 | 22.3 | 38.7 | 39.0 |
| 5 | Buying and sale of Jewelries and moveable property | 10.7 | 31.5 | 57.7 | 0.0 | 76.1 | 23.9 | 6.1 | 50.8 | 43.1 |
|  | Average Score (Rural) | 13.88 | 35.4 | 50.7 | 19.08 | 44.92 | 36 | 16.62 | 39.6 | 43.76 |
| Urban-Purchases and Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Going to bazaar for purchases | 3.2 | 65.3 | 31.6 | 4.2 | 86.3 | 9.5 | 3.7 | 75.8 | 20.5 |
| 2 | Buying and selling of land and livestock | 23 | 20 | 57 | 40.9 | 18.2 | 40.9 | 30.1 | 19.3 | 50.6 |
| 3 | Buying of major implements | 47.9 | 7 | 45.1 | 29 | 25.8 | 45.2 | 39.1 | 15.8 | 45.1 |
| 4 | Sale of household outputs | 10 | 38.3 | 51.7 | 43.6 | 21.8 | 34.5 | 26.1 | 30.4 | 43.5 |
| 5 | Buying and sale of Jewelries and moveable property | 4 | 32 | 64 | 9.5 | 35.7 | 54.8 | 5.6 | 33.1 | 61.3 |
|  | Average Score (Urban) | 17.62 | 32.52 | 49.88 | 25.44 | 37.56 | 36.98 | 20.92 | 34.88 | 44.2 |

Source: Annexure 5.13 and 5.14
The sample total indicates that in regards to decisions on purchases and sales, for majority of the household, it is taken by both male and female jointly in both rural (43.76\%) and urban areas (44\%), the same for both sample districts.

In rural area, where it is either female or male alone are comprised of $39.6 \%$ and $16.62 \%$ respectively. Furthermore, the same in urban area are accounted by $34.88 \%$ and $20.92 \%$, respectively. This implies that female has a greater influence over male in this respect.

The details of sub categories show that daily purchases at market are mostly a sphere of female in both rural and urban area ( $73 \%$ and $75.8 \%$, respectively). Buying and selling of jewelry is mostly of female affair in rural area ( $50.8 \%$ ) where as in urban area the decision is mostly made by both husband and wife. The rest of the decision dimensions are generally made by both male and female jointly.

By observing the case of either female or male alone, in rural area, female have greater influence over male in all the categories except for purchase and sale of land and livestock, where it is male who have greater influence. In urban area, for livestock, land and implements male has greater influence over female.

The analysis of data on different decision making dimensions shows that in all crucial family affairs dealing with the material resources, like construction and repairing of house, buying and selling of land and implements, and livestock, it is the men who influence the
decisions, For education and selection of occupation for children, generally the decision is taken jointly by male and female in the household.

While in areas related to "motherhood" and "housework" it is the women who are the decision makers (Table 5.9(ii). Those areas that fall between these two spheres of income or expenditure and motherhood and housework show a high level of reciprocity in gender relations. The result suggests that in the area of home related which deals with material resources it is male who has greater influence over female.

### 5.10 Women in VDB

The concept of village Development Board (VDB) began to take shape during Seventh Plan period, when it was realized that there is a need to reconcile the traditional tribal institution of the Village Council, which basically comprises of male members. In Nagaland Village Council was established under the Nagaland Village and area council Act 1978.The function of village council is the authority of administering justice within the village headed by chairman known as Village Council Chairman (VCC). The village council forms an important component of the modern governance system in Nagaland. The District Planning and Development Board (DPDB) provide the needed flexibility to ensure a responsive and holistic approach towards development linking to the grassroots through the Village Development Boards. Under the finances of VDBs 25 percent or one fourth of the fund was earmarked for women welfare schemes. There are 2 women VDB members or more in each recognized village and all womenfolk take part in the village developmental works. In some villages in Nagaland women VDB is very strong, but in some they are not active. Some of the achievements of women VDB in the sample areas are, constructed their own building which is being used to start weaving unit, and purchased land, construction of waiting sheds and toilets in the village. But since the fund allocated for women was not adequate they take up other works in like plantation of banana, pineapple and ginger in the village and sell them to increase their fund. Some women VDB have generated a sizable amount of money which is used as revolving loan for the needy women in the village. Women VDB do not have the autonomy to function independently, but they are subject to the approval of the general male dominated VDB and the Village Councils. There is need to increase women representation of women to influence policy decisions proportional to their population.

## Conclusion:

From the foregoing study it is evident that there are unequal economic relations among male and female in the State which also prove that female have limited access to productive resources. Most of the women are not well informed about the opportunities available for them except for trainings leading to inactivity which is higher than male. It was found that the unemployed women are insecure with no access to developmental schemes and financial assistance which affect their self esteem. As for decision making urban women have greater influence over male in home related decision making than their counterpart in rural area. But when it comes to construction and property it is usually male who take the decision or they take decision jointly in both rural and urban areas.

In short, it can be concluded that despite their hard long hours of work, equal participation in work activities along with men, women's economic status has not been elevated and the benefits of development have not reached them as is evident in terms of resources received by female.

## ANNEXURE

Annexure 5.1: Ownership of resources by female in Mokokchung and Tuensang district

| Area | Rural Mokokchung |  |  |  |  | Rural Tuensang |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Lan} \\ \mathrm{~d} \end{gathered}$ | House | Own business | Other assets | Bank Account | Land | House | Own business | Other assets | Bank Account |
| Responses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 21 | 49 | 19 | 22 | 116 | 12 | 19 | 7 | 8 | 34 |
| No | 114 | 86 | 116 | 113 | 19 | 68 | 51 | 73 | 61 | 35 |
| Sub-Total | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 80 | 70 | 80 | 69 | 69 |
|  | Urban Mokokchung |  |  |  |  | Urban Tuensang |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 33 | 68 | 19 | 31 | 88 | 40 | 47 | 33 | 55 | 87 |
| No | 66 | 30 | 79 | 68 | 10 | 50 | 43 | 52 | 35 | 3 |
| Sub-Total | 99 | 98 | 98 | 99 | 98 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Annexure 5.2: Ownership of resources by female in Nagaland

| Area | Nagaland |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources | Land | House | Own business | Other assets | Bank Account |
| Responses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 215 | 205 | 215 | 204 | 204 |
| Urban | 189 | 188 | 188 | 189 | 188 |
| Nagaland | 404 | 393 | 403 | 393 | 392 |

Annexure 5.30wnership of resources by gender in Tuensang district

| Resources | Responses | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Land | Yes | 140 | 48 | 188 | 57 | 46 | 103 |
|  | No | 5 | 88 | 93 | 13 | 33 | 46 |
|  | Total | 145 | 136 | 281 | 70 | 79 | 149 |
| House | Yes | 146 | 152 | 298 | 56 | 59 | 115 |
|  | No | - | 1 | 1 | 21 | 32 | 53 |
|  | Total | 146 | 153 | 299 | 77 | 91 | 168 |
| Own business | Yes | 43 | 10 | 53 | 12 | 38 | 50 |
|  | No | 89 | 106 | 195 | 25 | 30 | 55 |
|  | Total | 132 | 116 | 248 | 37 | 68 | 105 |
| Other assets | Yes | 19 | 2 | 21 | 54 | 7 | 61 |
|  | No | 83 | 100 | 183 | 58 | 21 | 79 |
|  | Total | 102 | 102 | 204 | 112 | 28 | 140 |
| Bank account | Yes | 60 | 30 | 90 | 55 | 80 | 135 |
|  | No | 86 | 123 | 209 | 15 | 18 | 33 |
|  | Total | 146 | 153 | 299 | 70 | 98 | 168 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Annexure 5.4 of resources by gender in Tuensang district (rural \& urban)

| Resources | Responses | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Land | Yes | 74.47 | 25.53 | 66.90 | 55.34 | 44.66 | 69.13 |
|  | No | 5.38 | 94.62 | 33.10 | 28.26 | 71.74 | 30.87 |
|  | Total | 51.60 | 48.40 | 100 | 45.83 | 54.17 | 100.00 |
| House | Yes | 48.99 | 51.01 | 99.67 | 48.70 | 51.30 | 68.45 |
|  | No | 0 | 100 | 0.33 | 39.62 | 60.38 | 31.55 |
|  | Total | 48.83 | 51.17 | 100.00 | 45.83 | 54.17 | 100.00 |
| Own business | Yes | 81.13 | 18.87 | 21.37 | 32.43 | 55.88 | 47.62 |
|  | No | 45.64 | 54.36 | 78.63 | 45.45 | 44.12 | 54.54 |
|  | Total | 53.23 | 46.77 | 100.00 | 35.24 | 64.76 | 100.00 |
| Other assets | Yes | 90.47 | 9.52 | 1.96 | 88.52 | 11.48 | 43.57 |
|  | No | 54.36 | 56.64 | 98.04 | 51.79 | 26.58 | 56.43 |
|  | Total | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100.00 | 80.00 | 20.00 | 100 |
| Bank account | Yes | 66.67 | 33.33 | 30.10 | 45.45 | 54.55 | 80.36 |
|  | No | 41.15 | 58.85 | 69.90 | 21.43 | 18.37 | 19.64 |
|  | Total | 48.83 | 51.17 | 100.00 | 41.67 | 58.33 | 100.00 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

Annexure 5.5: Applied for loan from financial institutions

| Area | District | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural |  | Yes | No | Total | Yes |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mokokchung | 21 | 93 | 114 | 8 | 110 | 118 |
|  | Tuensang | 8 | 88 | 96 | 4 | 61 | 65 |
|  | Sub-total | 29 | 181 | 210 | 12 | 171 | 183 |
|  | Mokokchung | 23 | 34 | 57 | 29 | 16 | 45 |
|  | Tuensang | 17 | 26 | 43 | 51 | 29 | 80 |
|  | Sub-total | 40 | 60 | 100 | 80 | 45 | 125 |
| Total |  | 69 | 241 | 310 | 92 | 216 | 308 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12

Annexure 5.6: Applied for loan from financial institutions

| Area | District | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural |  | Yes | No | Total | Yes | No | total |
|  | Mokokchung | 18.42 | 81.58 | 54.28 | 6.78 | 93.22 | 64.48 |
|  | Tuensang | 8.33 | 91.67 | 45.72 | 6.15 | 93.85 | 35.52 |
|  | Sub-total | 13.81 | 86.19 | 100.00 | 6.56 | 93.44 | 100.00 |
| Urban | Mokokchung | 40.35 | 59.65 | 57.00 | 64.44 | 35.56 | 36.00 |
|  | Tuensang | 39.53 | 60.47 | 43.00 | 63.75 | 36.25 | 64.00 |
|  | Sub-total | 40.00 | 60.00 | 100.00 | 64.00 | 36.00 | 100.00 |
| Total |  | 22.26 | 77.74 | 100.00 | 29.87 | 70.13 | 100.00 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Annexure 5.7: Did not receive loan for the following reasons

| Reasons | District | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1.Never applied | Mokokchung | 93 | 63 | 156 | 28 | 41 | 69 |
|  | Tuensang | 59 | 38 | 97 | 26 | 28 | 54 |
| 2.Did not apply knowing I will not get | Mokokchung | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
|  | Tuensang | 12 | 8 | 20 | - | - | - |
| 3.Did not know | Mokokchung | 2 | 7 | 9 | - | - | - |
|  | Tuensang | 17 | 15 | 32 | - | 1 | 1 |
| 4.Applied but denied | Mokokchung | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 |
|  | Tuensang | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Sub-Total | 185 | 135 | 320 | 56 | 77 | 133 |

Source: Sample Survey, 2011-12
Annexure 5.8: Access to information(rural \& urban)

| Schemes/Facilities | Mokokchung |  |  |  |  |  | Tuensang |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1.Trainings | 117 | 160 | 277 | 90 | 79 | 169 | 13 | 69 | 82 | 98 | 103 | 201 |
| 2.Credit facilities | 181 | 155 | 336 | 40 | 70 | 110 | 91 | 108 | 199 | 78 | 71 | 149 |
| 3.Govt.Schemes | 101 | 93 | 194 | 83 | 107 | 190 | 18 | 12 | 30 | 85 | 99 | 184 |
| 4.Deposit schemes in post office | 64 | 42 | 106 | 58 | 75 | 133 | 46 | 65 | 111 | 63 | 63 | 126 |
| Total | 463 | 450 | 913 | 271 | 331 | 602 | 168 | 254 | 422 | 324 | 336 | 660 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12
Annexure 5.9: Membership in formal organizations (rural \& urban)

| Area | Nature of organization |  |  |  |  | Not members |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Economic |  |  | Social |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Mokokchung | 7 | 7 | 14 | 52 | 54 | 106 | 161 | 185 | 346 |
| Tuensang | - | - | - | - | - | - | 228 | 248 | 476 |
| Total | 7 | 7 | 14 | 52 | 54 | 106 | 389 | 433 | 822 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12

Annexure 5.10: Membership in SHGs (rural \& urban)

| Area | Members |  |  | Not members |  |  | Benefits |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Economic | Social |  |  |
|  | M | F | T |  |  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| Mokokchung | 3 | 34 | 37 | 234 | 222 | 456 | - | 23 | 23 | - | 24 | 24 |
| Tuensang | -- | 39 | 39 | 225 | 211 | 436 | - | 32 | 32 | - | 34 | 34 |
| Total | 3 | 73 | 76 | 459 | 433 | 892 | - | 55 | 55 | - | 58 | 58 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12
Annexure 5.11: Views and impediments of employed women (rural \& urban)

| 1. Women's freedom and restriction to work | Responses of Mokokchung |  |  | Responses of Tuensang |  |  | Total responses |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Total | Yes | No | Total | Yes | No | Total |
| Husband approve of working | 145 | 2 | 147 | 89 | 41 | 130 | 234 | 43 | 277 |
| As working Woman/ mother do you face any problem | 63 | 72 | 135 | 84 | 45 | 129 | 168 | 104 | 272 |
| Husband/ father allow you to work outside | 90 | 46 | 136 | 78 | 58 | 136 | 147 | 117 | 264 |
| 2. Barrier and Opportunities at Work Place |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whether satisfied with the position/ job you are holding | 133 | 7 | 140 | 108 | 12 | 120 | 241 | 19 | 260 |
| Harassment of women in work place | 9 | 130 | 139 | 2 | 118 | 120 | 11 | 248 | 259 |
| Whether enjoying due promotion opportunities | 97 | 39 | 136 | 105 | 13 | 118 | 202 | 52 | 254 |
| Denied a deserving job for being a woman | 10 | 124 | 134 | 33 | 88 | 121 | 43 | 212 | 255 |
| 3. Women's perceptions on work and gender relations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increased schooling has positive impact on work participation and income | 133 | 4 | 137 | 127 | 3 | 130 | 260 | 7 | 267 |
| Has work participation help raise your status | 137 | 3 | 140 | 117 | 14 | 131 | 254 | 17 | 271 |
| Employment gives you a sense of security | 148 | 1 | 149 | 44 | 77 | 121 | 192 | 78 | 270 |
| Postponed marriage because of employment/ | 21 | 120 | 141 | 9 | 122 | 131 | 30 | 242 | 272 |
| Employment has negative impact on fertility and chance of marriage | 17 | 117 | 134 | 9 | 121 | 130 | 26 | 238 | 264 |
| Both sexes should share burden of household work equally | 137 | 3 | 140 | 120 | 11 | 131 | 257 | 14 | 271 |
| Economic independence gains more respect in family and Society | 133 | 3 | 136 | 110 | 20 | 130 | 243 | 23 | 266 |
| Equal opportunities in social and religious activities | 138 | - | 138 | 127 | 3 | 130 | 265 | 3 | 268 |
| Equal opportunities are given to participate in political activities. | 133 | 1 | 134 | 101 | 29 | 130 | 234 | 30 | 264 |
| Total | 1664 | 686 | 2350 | 1369 | 789 | 2158 | 1761 | 652 | 2413 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12

Annexure 5.12: Responses and views of unemployed women (rural \& urban)

|  | Mokokchung |  |  | Tuensang |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Y | N | T | Y | N | T |
| 1.Do you have a sense of security | 63 | 39 | 102 | 28 | 97 | 125 |
| 2.Apart from domestic work do you want to venture out <br> and pursue your interests | 55 | 45 | 100 | 61 | 63 | 124 |
| 3.Are you a beneficiary of NREGS | 25 | 74 | 99 | 45 | 74 | 119 |
| 4.Are you a beneficiary of any govt. scheme | 16 | 83 | 99 | 15 | 108 | 123 |
| 5. Are you a member of any govt. developmental scheme | 7 | 93 | 100 | 43 | 80 | 123 |
| 6.Do you go out/ travel alone or you need company | 209 | 393 | 602 | 207 | 529 | 736 |
| Total | 375 | 727 | 1102 | 399 | 951 | 1350 |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12

Annexure 5.13: Decision making by Gender in Sample rural areas

| Decision making dimensions | Mokokchung |  |  |  |  | Tuensang |  |  |  |  | Nagaland |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home Related | M | F | B | T | M | F | B | T | M | F | B | T |  |  |
| Home management | 7 | 32 | 111 | 150 | 5 | 44 | 103 | 152 | 12 | 76 | 214 | 302 |  |  |
| Purchase of household <br> articles | 13 | 45 | 93 | 151 | 44 | 13 | 96 | 153 | 57 | 58 | 189 | 304 |  |  |
| Construction of a new house | 68 | 20 | 58 | 146 | 93 | 8 | 50 | 151 | 161 | 28 | 108 | 297 |  |  |
| Repairing existing house | 73 | 20 | 55 | 148 | 134 | 8 | 8 | 150 | 207 | 28 | 63 | 298 |  |  |
| Child Related |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children's arguments | 5 | 49 | 84 | 138 | 15 | 15 | 113 | 143 | 20 | 64 | 197 | 281 |  |  |
| Children's purchases | 8 | 63 | 69 | 140 | 2 | 33 | 105 | 140 | 10 | 96 | 174 | 280 |  |  |
| Medical treatment for family | 13 | 29 | 101 | 143 | 18 | 11 | 119 | 148 | 31 | 40 | 220 | 291 |  |  |
| Type of education for <br> children | 12 | 20 | 108 | 140 | 11 | 8 | 116 | 135 | 23 | 28 | 224 | 275 |  |  |
| Occupation of children | 11 | 20 | 104 | 135 | 11 | 6 | 117 | 134 | 22 | 26 | 221 | 269 |  |  |
| Money Related |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money management | 16 | 60 | 72 | 148 | 5 | 26 | 118 | 149 | 21 | 86 | 190 | 297 |  |  |
| Keeping money in the bank | 49 | 28 | 67 | 144 | 8 | 12 | 103 | 123 | 57 | 40 | 170 | 267 |  |  |
| Going to bazaar | 10 | 82 | 55 | 147 | 6 | 133 | 8 | 147 | 16 | 215 | 63 | 294 |  |  |
| Buying and selling of land <br> and livestock | 45 | 23 | 82 | 150 | 45 | 23 | 83 | 151 | 90 | 46 | 165 | 301 |  |  |
| Buying of farm inputs and <br> implements | 18 | 32 | 91 | 141 | 39 | 27 | 87 | 153 | 57 | 59 | 178 | 294 |  |  |
| Sale of farm output | 13 | 74 | 56 | 143 | 50 | 35 | 54 | 139 | 63 | 109 | 110 | 282 |  |  |
| Decision on taking loan and <br> repayment of loan | 22 | 27 | 92 | 141 | 42 | 18 | 53 | 113 | 64 | 45 | 145 | 254 |  |  |
| Saving and capital transaction | 21 | 20 | 83 | 124 | 9 | 7 | 102 | 118 | 30 | 27 | 185 | 242 |  |  |
| Buying and sale of Jwellery <br> and moveable property | 16 | 47 | 86 | 149 | 0 | 86 | 27 | 113 | 16 | 133 | 113 | 262 |  |  |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12

Annexure 5.14: Allocation of Decision making by Gender in Sample Urban areas

| Decision making dimensions | Mokokchung |  |  |  |  | Tuensang |  |  |  |  | Nagaland |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home Related | M | F | B | T | M | F | B | T | M | F | B | T |  |  |
| Home management | 1 | 54 | 45 | 100 | 2 | 67 | 31 | 100 | 3 | 121 | 76 | 200 |  |  |
| Purchase of household articles | 1 | 58 | 41 | 100 | 20 | 49 | 31 | 100 | 21 | 107 | 72 | 200 |  |  |
| Construction of a new house | 17 | 13 | 61 | 91 | 43 | 19 | 20 | 82 | 60 | 32 | 81 | 173 |  |  |
| Repairing existing house | 20 | 12 | 62 | 94 | 55 | 21 | 12 | 88 | 75 | 33 | 74 | 182 |  |  |
| Child Related |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children's arguments | 1 | 38 | 54 | 93 | 9 | 37 | 43 | 89 | 10 | 75 | 97 | 182 |  |  |
| Children's purchases | 0 | 75 | 20 | 95 | 0 | 72 | 23 | 95 | 0 | 147 | 43 | 190 |  |  |
| Medical treatment for family | 2 | 28 | 66 | 96 | 3 | 42 | 51 | 96 | 5 | 70 | 117 | 192 |  |  |
| Type of education for children | 2 | 15 | 73 | 90 | 2 | 32 | 53 | 87 | 4 | 47 | 126 | 177 |  |  |
| Occupation of children | 2 | 15 | 64 | 81 | 2 | 28 | 44 | 74 | 4 | 43 | 108 | 155 |  |  |
| Money Related |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money management | 1 | 55 | 43 | 99 | 3 | 58 | 38 | 99 | 4 | 113 | 81 | 198 |  |  |
| Keeping money in the bank | 12 | 30 | 52 | 94 | 12 | 52 | 28 | 92 | 24 | 82 | 80 | 186 |  |  |
| Going to bazaar | 3 | 62 | 30 | 95 | 4 | 82 | 9 | 95 | 7 | 144 | 39 | 190 |  |  |
| Buying and selling of land and <br> livestock | 23 | 20 | 57 | 100 | 27 | 12 | 27 | 66 | 50 | 32 | 84 | 166 |  |  |
| Buying of farm inputs and <br> implements | 34 | 5 | 32 | 71 | 18 | 16 | 28 | 62 | 52 | 21 | 60 | 133 |  |  |
| Sale of farm output | 6 | 23 | 31 | 60 | 24 | 12 | 19 | 55 | 30 | 35 | 50 | 115 |  |  |
| Decision on taking loan and <br> repayment of loan | 6 | 23 | 71 | 100 | 21 | 10 | 12 | 43 | 27 | 33 | 83 | 143 |  |  |
| Saving and capital transaction | 9 | 15 | 52 | 76 | 13 | 33 | 6 | 52 | 22 | 48 | 58 | 128 |  |  |
| Buying and sale of Jwellery <br> and moveable property | 4 | 32 | 64 | 100 | 4 | 15 | 23 | 42 | 8 | 47 | 87 | 142 |  |  |

Source: Sample survey, 2011-12

## CHAPTER VI

## FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

The present study has been undertaken to find out the extent of work participation and the status of women. It was assumed that with female work participation the economic well being of the family will be improved and there would be a relative elevation on the status of women. The important findings of the study in the preceding chapters are highlighted below.

## 6.1: SOCIO-ECONOMIC PROFILE OF NAGALAND

The findings of general socio-economic profile of Nagaland and the sample areas as discussed in chapter 3 are summarized below.

### 6.1.1: Demographic features of the state:-

1. Population in Nagaland: According to 2011 census, the population of Nagaland is 1980602. Out of which the females accounted for $48.21 \%$. The density of population is 119 per sq.km against the national average of 362 per sq.km in the same period.
2. Rural and urban population: In Nagaland, $71.03 \%$ of the total population resides in rural area while $28.07 \%$ in urban area. Rural proportion of Nagaland is higher than the National average of $68.84 \%$, and urban proportion is lower than the national average of $31.16 \%$.
3. The decadal growth of population: In Nagaland had undergone a structural break in 1951, which percentage growth trend fluctuated till this period. Thereafter, in 1961 Nagaland population has witnessed a dramatic increase by $73.35 \%$, the highest recorded percentage increase during the twelve decades under consideration. In the subsequent decades, the population growth was consistent. However, again in 2011 census, it showed a negative growth (-0.47\%).
4. Sex Ratio: According to the latest 2011 census, the sex ratio in Nagaland is 931 , which is lower than national ratio of 940 . The sex ratio in rural and urban areas are 940 and 908 respectively which is lower than that of India with 947 for rural and 926 for urban areas. The coefficient of variation of sex ratio among districts is low and converges during the last decade.
5. Health: The status of health in Nagaland is better than India in respect of life expectancy and infant mortality rate. The life expectancy for Nagaland in 2001 was 73.4 years which was higher than the country's average of 60.7 years during the same year. In 2010, infant mortality rate was recorded at 1.68 per thousand. Longevity in the State was highest in Mon with 75.0 years while Wokha has the lowest with 68.6 years.

### 6.1.2: Education

1. Literacy rate: Nagaland has attained remarkable progress in literacy rate, which stands at $80.11 \%$ in 2011 , which is higher than National rate of $74.04 \%$. The literacy rate was increased by $13.52 \%$ from 2001 to 2011 (from $66.59 \%$ to $80.11 \%$ respectively). Ranking of the State in literacy has gone up from $20^{\text {th }}$ in 2001 to $15^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 in the country. Literacy by sex shows that the rates for males has gone up from $71.16 \%$ in 2001 to $83.30 \%$ in 2011 and for females it has increased from $61.46 \%$ to $76.69 \%$ during the same period. For both male and female, the literacy rate is higher than the National rate of $82.14 \%$ and $65.46 \%$ respectively.

It is observed that the extent of gender gap in literacy rate has been declined over the time as its CV value has declined from $27.24 \%$ in 1981 to $14.87 \%, 10.42 \%$ and $5.84 \%$ in 1991, 2001 and 2011 respectively.

It is also observed that the female literacy rate has been increasing at a faster rate than male in Nagaland, which growth rates are estimated to be $3.01 \%$ and $1.80 \%$ per annum respectively during 1981-2011. That the disparity as measured by Coefficient of Variation has reduced by $-4.80 \%$ per annum during the same period.

District with the highest literacy rate was found to be Mokokchung with $92.68 \%$ (comprising of $93.55 \%$ of male and $91.74 \%$ female) in 2011. The lowest literacy rate was in Mon with $56.6 \%$ (comprising of $60.38 \%$ male and $52.39 \%$ female) in the same year. The decadal growth rate of total female literacy is higher than that of the males although female literacy rate is lower than male in all the districts and in the State as well. It is also found that inter district variation is modest, which has reduced during the last decade, that its coefficient of variation falls from $23.19 \%$ in 2001 to $12.66 \%$ in 2011.
2. Enrolment in schools: In 2007-08 total enrolment from primary to higher secondary was 479732 comprising of ( $52.03 \%$ boys and $47.97 \%$ girls). The same in 2012-13 was 562599 , showing an increase in total enrolment comprised of $50.53 \%$ boys and $49.47 \%$ girls. Enrolment in school education decreased for boys from $52.03 \%$ in 2007-08 to 50.53 in 2012-13, whereas, for girls it increased from $47.97 \%$ in 2007-08 to $49.47 \%$ in 2012-13. Though there was a decline in the enrolment of boys, girl's enrolment is still lower but shows a gradual increase.

District wise break up of enrolment data show that Dimapur had the highest enrolment at all levels of school education, while Longleng had the lowest from primary to secondary level. Enrolment at different levels shows a decreasing trend from upper primary onwards.
3. Higher education in Nagaland: In college of general education, girl's enrolment was lower, but steadily shown an increase from $38.99 \%$ in 2002-07 to $47.58 \%$ in 2008-09. In higher
professional colleges such as college of teacher education and in theological college number of girls were higher than boys. At the university level show in 2008-09 enrolment of girls was ( $55.08 \%$ ) which was higher than boys ( $44.92 \%$ ). In Medical and Engineering courses in 200809 the number of students selected to study medical and allied courses were $74 \%$ and $26 \%$ male and female and in 2012-13 it was In more challenging streams like agriculture and law the enrolment of girls was lower.

### 6.1.3: Gender Economic Profile of Nagaland

1. Employment: The total number of workers was 9, 74,122 in Nagaland according to 2011 census which was increased from 847796 in 2001, that shows an increase by $14.90 \%$. Out of total working population the female proportion was only $22 \%$ while male shared $78 \%$ in 2011. Out of the total workers, $55.2 \%$ were cultivators, $6.5 \%$ agricultural labourers, $1.7 \%$ household workers and $36.0 \%$ were other workers in 2011. During the decade, the shares of agricultural and household industries have declined while the same for other services has increased in the state. However there are gender variations in employment pattern as indicated in the table below.
2. Rural and urban employment by gender: According to 2011 census in rural area, the proportion of female agricultural worker is higher ( $82 \%$ ), comprised of $74.7 \%$ of cultivators and $7.7 \%$ of agricultural labourers higher than that male with ( $69 \%$ ) comprised of $62 \%$ of cultivators and $7 \%$ of agricultural labourers. Similarly, among household workers the female proportion is higher ( $2.7 \%$ ) than male ( $1.6 \%$ ), and in other services the proportion is higher among male ( $29.4 \%$ ) than the female ( $14.9 \%$ ). In urban area, majority of the workers are engaged in other services for both male ( $89.2 \%$ ) and female ( $72.5 \%$ ). Although the proportion of workers is lower in total, more female workers are engaged in agriculture and household works ( $22.2 \%$ and $5.3 \%$ respectively) as against male proportions ( $8.8 \%$ and $2 \%$ respectively).

## 6.2: Sample areas:

### 6.2.1: Demographic Profile

(i) Population: The sample survey conducted during 2011-12 covers a total household of 503, comprised of 200 from urban area (Mokokchung-100 and Tuensang-100) and 303 from rural area (Mokokchung -150 and Tuensang-153). The total population in sample aggregate was 2261 comprised of $46.83 \%$ in Mokokchung, $53.16 \%$ in Tuensang district.
(ii) Rural and Urban distribution: In urban area, total sample population was 854, comprised of $37.77 \%$ of sample aggregate, out of which, Mokokchung and Tuensang constituted $51.17 \%$ and $48.82 \%$ respectively. Male comprised of $48.71 \%$ and female $51.28 \%$ with sex ratio of 1053 .

A total of 100 sample households from were taken from Mokokchung with a population of 437 out of which $47.14 \%$ were male and $52.86 \%$ were female. The average household size in the sample town was 4.3 persons, and sex ratio 1121. From Tuensang Town a total of 100 sample households were studied with a population of 417 comprised of $50.36 \%$ male and $49.64 \%$ female. The average household size was 4.1 persons and sex ratio 986.

In rural area, total sample population was 1407, comprised of $62.23 \%$ of sample aggregate, out of which, Mokokchung and Tuensang constituted $44.21 \%$ and $55.79 \%$ respectively. Male comprised of $51.39 \%$ and female $48.61 \%$ with sex ratio of 946 .

Mokokchung total rural sample household was 150 , with a population of 622 (comprised of $49.36 \%$ male and $50.64 \%$ of female), with a sex ratio of 1026 . Household size is 4.1.

The three sample villages of Mokokchung district are Ungma, Mokokchung, and Khensa villages with 50 sample households each, covering population of 197, 219 and 206 respectively (comprised of 46.70 \% male and 53.30 \% female in Ungma, 51.60 \% of male and 48.40 \% female in Mokokchung and $49.51 \%$ of male and $50.49 \%$ female in Khensa. Average household size was $3.9,4.3$ and 4.1 persons respectively with sex ratio of 1141, 938 and 1020 respectively.

Tuensang total rural sample household was 153 , with a population of 785 (comprised of $52.99 \%$ male and $47.01 \%$ of female), with a sex ratio of 887 . Household size is 5.1.

The three sample villages of Tuensang district are Tuensang, Chare and Kuthur, from each of the village the sample households taken was 50,54 and 49 respectively, with a population of 293, 228 and 264 respectively (comprised of $55.63 \%$ male and $44.37 \%$ female in Tuensang, $50 \%$ each in Chare and $52.65 \%$ of male and $47.35 \%$ of female in Kuthur) . The average household size was 5.9 persons, 4.2 and 5.3 persons respectively. The corresponding sex ratios were 798,1000 and 899 respectively.
(iii) Age composition of sample population: The total sample population is comprised mostly of adults (35-59years) with $34.45 \%$ of total sample population. Next follows junior youth (1524 years) which shared a proportion of $30.16 \%$, followed by senior youth (25-34years) with 15.48\%.
(iv)The sex ratio is 1248 for the sample aggregate. Among the different age groups in sample aggregate, it was highest for the age group of 35-59 years with 1128 . While the lowest sex ratio
was found in the age group of 60 years and above with 554 . The data reveals that the ratio is higher in rural area than in urban area in total sample population. However, in individual age cohort, it was higher in urban area within the age 15-24 through 35-59 and lower for 0-14 and 60 and above as compared to rural area.

Urban: As per sample data the sex ratio in the urban area was 1053, which was higher than that of 2011 census with 905 . Among the sample towns, it was higher in Mokokchung with 1121 than 986 for Tuensang. Among different age groups, it ranges from 1750 for 35-59 years to 250 for 60 years and above.
Rural: As per sample data the sex ratio in rural area was 1361 as compared to 942 of the 2011 census. It was higher in Tuensang with 1608 than in Mokokchung with 1026. In the sample villages highest and lowest was Ungma and Tuensang village with1141 and 798 respectively.

Among the age-groups, the highest sex ratio was for the age-group of 25-34 years with 1059. Tuensang district was higher with 1608 than Mokokchung at 1026.
(v) Education: Out of total sample population (827) in urban area, 250 were graduates, which accounted the highest proportion of $30 \%$, followed by high school with $21.8 \%$ and below high school with $20.2 \%$, and higher secondary with $17.2 \%$. The lowest was Post graduates \& above with $10.6 \%$.

The gender segregated data also show that graduates constituted the largest proportions for both male and female sample population, however, the proportion among male $32.84 \%$ is higher than that of female ( $27.76 \%$ ), followed by High school where the proportion among female $23.29 \%$ is higher than that of male ( $20.15 \%$ ) and higher secondary (male proportion is higher). The fourth is below high school where the proportion is comparatively higher with female. The lowest is post graduate for both, but female proportion continues to show larger proportion ( $11 \%$ ) than that of male $(9.95 \%)$.

Majority of the sample population were graduates, while the lowest was of post graduate degree for both the sexes. Except for graduate and higher secondary, the female proportions are higher than that of male.

The urban data reveals that majority of the sample population were graduates, nevertheless the female proportion was lower than that of male (with $28 \%$ for female as against $32 \%$ for male). For relatively more developed district as Mokokchung has shown a similar distribution ( $37 \%$ male and $28 \%$ female). On the other hand, in Tuensang, which is one of the least developed districts, majority of the sample males population were graduate ( $29 \%$ ), but for female it was below high school level (29\%).

## (a) Currently attending

Of the total sample population, 874 were currently attending schools and colleges that accounts for nearly $40 \%$ of its total, of which, the proportion of male (54\%) is higher than that of female (46\%).

## (b) Attended:

The total number of Urban attended was 498, out of the total $46 \%$ were male and $54 \%$ female, which accounts for $60 \%$. In Mokokchung the proportion of female graduates was highest with $32.39 \%$ which was lower than male and lowest was in below high school level with $5.63 \%$. In Tuensang the proportion of female was highest in high school with $35.20 \%$ which was higher than male, and the lowest was $9.60 \%$ in below high school level where the percentage was seen to be higher than male.

In rural area the total number of attended in different levels of education was 838 , comprised of $51.57 \%$ from Mokokchung and $48.42 \%$ from Tuensang. Mokokchung had a total sample of $427(49.65 \%$ male and $50.35 \%$ female) and Tuensang $401(49.63 \%$ male and $50.37 \%$ female). As for Tuensang, highest was in below high school level which was $52.48 \%$ higher than male percentage and lowest was found in graduate level with $3.96 \%$ lower than that of male.

## (c) Never attended:

Persons who did not have formal schooling are included in 'never attended' category. Sample study showed that $0.4 \%$ of the sample population had never attended formal schooling, which comprises of $0.3 \%$ male and $0.4 \%$ female. The figures showed that women percentage of never attended category was more which also indicate that women are placed in the disadvantaged group.

## 6.3: ANALYSIS OF EMPLOYMENT AND WORK

Work participation and income in Nagaland which was studied in detail in Chapter 4 are summarized below:

### 6.3.1: Work Participation Rate 2001:

The work participation rate in 2001 was 42.7 in Nagaland, where male rate was higher than that of female rate ( $46.7 \%$ and $38.1 \%$, respectively). In rural area, WPR shows a similar picture ( $45 \%$-total, $47.3 \%$ male and $42.5 \%$ female), but in urban area the rate was much lower with $31 \%$, its female rate was only $15.6 \%$, and male was $43.8 \%$.

A perusal of district wise data of WPR, Longleng and Mon districts show the highest ( $52.6 \%$ and $50 \%$, respectively) and the lowest was Dimapur ( $33.4 \%$ ), followed by Wokha and Zunheboto ( $34 \%$ and $36 \%$ respectively). As for female the WPR was highest in Longleng (52\%) followed by Mon (47\%) and lowest was Dimapur with (18.6\%). In the entire districts, the urban rate was lower than that of rural rate, and male rate is higher than female rate in both rural and urban areas, except for Tuensang where female rate was marginally higher.

## District wise work participation rate in 2011:

In total, District data in 2011 WPR among female was Peren $47.9 \%$ and the lowest is Dimapur with $24.39 \%$. The WPR of female in rural area was $49.64 \%$ in Zunheboto and lowest is Dimapur with $33.48 \%$, and in urban highest was Peren with $35.08 \%$ and lowest in Dimapur with $11.35 \%$. In total among female WPR was highest in Peren with $64 \%$ and and lowest Dimpur with $28.5 \%$. The male female differences in WPR was not very high in rural area, but urban area show huge differences between male and female WPR composed of $79.16 \%$ male and only $20.84 \%$ for female.

WPR was increased by $15.49 \%$ during 2001-2011, where increase in female WPR was faster ( $17.32 \%$ ) than male ( $14.35 \%$ ). It was also found out that, gender gap is higher in urban area but evidences show that it is narrowing down over the period.

## Employment (sample data)

In the two sample districts the total number of workers was 947 comprising of $49.52 \%$ male and $50.47 \%$ female. Among male workers $65.46 \%$ were in rural area and $34.54 \%$ were in urban area. As for female $57.74 \%$ and $42.26 \%$ were in rural and urban area respectively. This implies that the WPR was $41.88 \%$ in sample total population.

## Formal and Informal workers/sector in sample area

In aggregate formal sector the total worker was 568; out of this $53.17 \%$ were in urban and 46.83 in rural areas. The total worker in the sector was comprised of $52.82 \%$ male and $47.18 \%$ female. In rural area 266 workers were in formal sector, where the proportion of male workers was found to be higher than that of female workers ( $63.16 \%$ male and $36.84 \%$ for female). Whereas in urban area with a total of 302 workers, the proportion of male workers was lower than that of female ( $43.71 \%$ male and $56.29 \%$ female).

Employment in informal sector in aggregate was 379 workers, $83 \%$ were in rural and $16.36 \%$ in urban areas; comprised of $44.13 \%$ male and $55.87 \%$ female. In both rural and urban
areas, the proportion of female was higher than that of male ( $43.30 \%$ male and $56.70 \%$ female in rural area and $48.39 \%$ and $51.61 \%$ in urban area)

In the sample area of Nagaland formal sector employment for male accounts for $71.43 \%$ and only $28.57 \%$ were in informal sector. In both rural and urban area higher percentage of male workers were found to be employed in formal sector with $66.46 \%$ and $79.59 \%$ respectively. Informal sector had lesser employment of $33.54 \%$ and $20.41 \%$ in rural and urban area respectively.

The rural total workers comprised of more male (52.66\%) than female (47.34), while urban workers comprised of $55.49 \%$ female and $44.51 \%$ male. Similar condition is observed in both the sample districts.

Despite small variations among sample districts, in general, it may infer that the proportion of female workers is higher than that of male. Employment in rural formal sector encompasses more of male than female workers, and vice-versa in informal sector. However, in urban area female participation was found to be more than male in both the sectors.

It was found that the proportion of workers in formal sector was higher among male than that of female in total. However in informal sector the same was found to be higher among female than that of male in sample total. This was also true in both the rural and urban areas. This may be one of the reasons for per capita income differential between male and female workers.

## Regular and Seasonal Workers:

Out of total 583 workers in rural area, $60 \%$ were regular workers and $40 \%$ seasonal workers. Among 349 regular workers, $76 \%$ were in formal sector and $24 \%$ in informal sector. A total 234 seasonal workers were confined in informal sector. The same condition is found in both the sample districts.

In rural area regular workers, among female regular workers, $70.50 \%$ works in formal sector and $30.50 \%$ in informal sector. The same among male is $80 \%$ and $20 \%$, respectively. In both the sample districts, the proportions of formal workers are higher than that of informal workers for both female and male. In total, female regular workers in formal sector was lower than male, and vice versa in informal sector.

## Urban (regular workers)

Out of the total 364 workers in urban area $95.60 \%$ were regular and only 4.40 were $\%$ were seasonal workers. Among the total regular workers of $348,86.78 \%$ were in formal sector
and $13.22 \%$ in informal sector. A total 16 seasonal workers were confined in informal sector. Almost the same condition is found in both the sample districts.

As gender segregated data show that among regular female workers, $86.29 \%$ works in formal sector and $13.71 \%$ in informal sector. The same among male is $87.42 \%$ and $12.58 \%$, respectively. In both the sample districts, the proportions of regular formal workers are higher than that of informal workers for both female and male, but in formal sector, the female proportion is lower than that of male; however in informal sector it is higher among female than that of male.

## Rural (regular workers)

In rural total, regular workers are constituted by $60.2 \%$ of male and $39.8 \%$ female, while the seasonal workers are comprised of $41.5 \%$ male and $58.5 \%$ female. The nature of employment by gender composition in rural area, the male work participation is higher than female as regular worker, whereas for seasonal workers female work participation is higher than that of male. As more women than male are concentrated in low end of the_spectrum, in low paying and insecure seasonal jobs, which give negative implications on their level of income and economic status.

All the Seasonal workers are found to be confined in informal sector in both the districts, which comprised of $66.7 \%$ male and $33.3 \%$ female in Mokokchung and in Tuensang it is $75 \%$ male and $25 \%$ female.

Gender segregated data show that, among female workers in rural area, most of them are into cultivation ( $40.65 \%$ ), which for male is only $21.36 \%$. This is followed by services in formal sector with $35.61 \%$ among female, and $53.07 \%$ is for male. For both female and male the next activity is food processing ( $16.55 \%$ and $12.62 \%$, respectively). Other activities among female are vegetable vendors, tailoring and weaving, and only $1 \%$ is into casual labour work. There are no carpenter and social workers. Among male other activities are casual labour ( $11 \%$ ), carpentry ( $1.62 \%$ ) and social workers. There are no tailors, weavers and vegetable vendors

The fact leads to the inference that in Nagaland majority of the female workers in rural area are engaged in informal activities, mostly of agriculture. Whereas, male are commonly engaged in formal activities/services where income are higher and regular, and also jobs are more secured.

Among the sample districts, Mokokchung being relatively developed, it has a higher proportion of rural workers in formal services than that in Tuensang ( $59 \%$ and $31 \%$, respectively). For Tuensang, almost $70 \%$ are engaged in informal activities, among them
majority are into cultivation ( $41.84 \%$ ). Comparatively, cultivators comprised of only $19 \%$ in Mokokchung.

The gender segregated data show that in both the districts, cultivation, vegetable vendors, tailoring and weaving are predominantly of female's works, whereas food processing is relatively equally shared.

Furthermore, in Mokokchung majority of male (66.88\%) as well as female (49.62\%) rural workers are engaged in formal services, while in Tuensang its proportions are only $38.26 \%$ of male and $22.76 \%$ of female.

Among the rural female workers, nearly $50 \%$ in Mokokchung and $78 \%$ in Tuensang are engaged in informal activities (for male it is $34 \%$ in Mokokchung and $63 \%$ in Tuensang). Among those female informal workers in Tuensang, $53 \%$ are into cultivation, while the same is only $27 \%$ in Mokokchung. Next is food processing with $18 \%$ in Mokokchung and $15 \%$ in Tuensang.

## Gender composition by activities:

The total sample cultivator in the rural area was 180 , comprised of $35.56 \%$ male and $64.44 \%$ female. Total number of cultivators in rural Mokokchung was 55 comprised of $32.73 \%$ male and $67.27 \%$ female, those engaged petty business comprise of ( $50.98 \%$ of female and $49.02 \%$ of male), and weaving where $100 \%$ are women. In rural Tuensang 125 (comprised of $36.80 \%$ and $63.20 \%$ female) were cultivators; other activities were casual labour ( $25 \%$ of female and $75 \%$ of male), business mostly petty ( $51.90 \%$ of female and $48.10 \%$ of male), and weaving where $100 \%$ is women. In Services the workers includes $62.36 \%$ of male and $37.64 \%$ of female.

Employment by economic activities in the three villages of Mokokchung district shows that the highest number of workers was in services sector with $59.04 \%$, followed by cultivators $19.11 \%$ and thirdly the household industries with $15.70 \%$. The other economic activities like vegetable vendor show $1.37 \%$ only, but almost all agricultural household sell agricultural products though not in large quantity. Casual labours were $2.73 \%$ of total workers consisting of male only in Mokokchung, also carpentry $0.68 \%$ consisting of male only. Political and social workers were $0.34 \%$.

In rural Tuensang, $41.84 \%$ were cultivators followed by services sector with $30.61 \%$ and thirdly the household industries with $13.27 \%$. There were $2.38 \%$ vegetable vendors composed of female workers only and casual labour constitutes $9.86 \%$ which include both
male and female. Activity like Carpentry was male domain with $1.02 \%$ and in weaving only female with $1.02 \%$ workers.

In rural area, informal activities like casual labour and carpentry are exclusively taken up by male in Mokokchung and Tuensang, except for casual labour activity in Tuensang where female consists of $29.17 \%$, and activity such as vegetable vendor, weaving are taken up by female in both the districts. In business and trade which are usually petty business were taken up by both male and female in both the districts composed of $49.02 \%$ male and $50.98 \%$ female in Mokokchung and $44.83 \%$ male and $55.17 \%$ female in Tuensang.

As urban sample aggregate data show that nearly $87 \%$ of the workers in urban area are engaged in formal services, while only $13 \%$ are in informal activities. Among the informal workers, majority of them are engaged in business (12\%), followed by casual labour and cultivators ( $0.84 \%$ each). Others informal activities are vegetable vendors, tailoring and weaving, carpentry etc.

In urban area only $0.84 \%$ of the sample population were cultivators, in which male percentage was higher at $66.67 \%$ and $33.33 \%$ only for female. Other economic activities like casual labour accounts for $0.84 \%$, where $100 \%$ of the workers are male, in trade $12 \%$ ( comprised of $41.86 \%$ of male and $58.14 \%$ of female), vegetable vendors $0.56 \%$ in aggregate, where all workers were female. The area with highest employment was other workers/services with $84.64 \%$, male and female worker in this sector was $45.21 \%$ and $54.79 \%$. In other activity such as in political and social work it was $0.56 \%$, all male where women's participation was nil. It is observed on the basis of economic activities that women actively participate in almost all the activities alongside men except in areas where socially accepted norms seem to have followed. In our society the domains are demarcated and therefore in some category of work only female work such as vegetable vendors, weaving and tailoring, food processing etc. and in some other only males do the work such as political/social workers, casual labour etc.

It is observed that in total urban work participation, female accounted for higher proportion than that of male. Among the economic activities in urban area, Services is the principal work and next is business, where in both, female participation rate is higher than that of male. Works such as vegetable vendors, weaving and tailoring, food processing etc. are domains of female. On the other, political/social workers and casual labour are of male.

## Employment by education (district wise)

## Rural Mokokchung:

In rural sample area of Mokokchung there were 289 workers. The employed persons were disaggregated according to their age and educational level. The total working population in sample is comprised of $50.02 \%$ male and $44.98 \%$ female in rural Mokokchung. Out of total sample population the highest proportion of workers had high school level qualification consisting of 98(53.06\% male and $46.94 \%$ female), followed by higher secondary $65(61.54 \%$ male and $38.46 \%$ female), and the third was graduates $63(58.73 \%$ male and $41.27 \%$ female), followed by below high school consisting of 54 ( $44.44 \%$ male and $55.56 \%$ female). On the other hand the least was post-graduates with $9(66.67 \%$ male and $33.33 \%$ female $)$.

The sample working population was further divided into four age groups 16-24 years, 25-34 years, 35-59 years and 60 years and above. In sample aggregate, the highest proportion of workers were in the age group 35-59 years, having high school qualification consisting of ( $53.06 \%$ male and $46.94 \%$ female), and the lowest proportion of workers were found among post-graduates and most of them were in the age group of 25-34 years consisting of ( $57.14 \%$ male and $42.86 \%$ female) in Mokokchung district.

## Rural Tuensang:

In rural Tuensang there were 294 workers, consisting of $51.02 \%$ male and $48.98 \%$ female. Out of the total sample population, the highest number of workers was found to have below high school qualification consisting of 174(43.10\% male and $56.90 \%$ female), attended high school level consists of $77(62.34 \%$ male and $37.66 \%$ female), followed by higher secondary $25(68 \%$ male and $32 \%$ female), and the least was graduates with $18(55.56 \%$ male and $44.44 \%$ female), and nil post-graduates.

The highest number of workers in rural Tuensang, were in the age group of 35-59 years with $82.31 \%$ of the total workers. The lowest was found in the age group of 16-24 years. The percentage of working population as well as attainment of education was lower among female than male in rural Tuensang.

## Urban Mokokchung:

Mokokchung consist of 209(45.45\% male and $54.07 \%$ female) workers and Tuensang 155(42.58\% male and $57.42 \%$ female) in urban area. The workers in the area were classified into four age groups. It is seen in table 4.12 that among urban workers the highest was in the age group of 35-59 years in both the districts similar to that of rural area, and the least workers were found in the age group of 16-24 years in Mokokchung, whereas it was nil in Tuensang in
this age group. For Tuensang the least number of workers were in the age group of 60 years and above.

On the other hand, employment by education reveal that, the highest number of workforce were graduates consisting of $51.54 \%$ male and $48.46 \%$ female. The least number of workers are found to have below high school level of education consisting of $50 \%$ each for male and female in aggregate.

By gender concern, in Mokokchung among female the highest number of workers were graduates sharing the same percentage with male $50 \%$ in their respective total. The least number of workers belong to below high school where male and female share $50 \%$ respectively. In Tuensang, highest number of female workers had high school qualification composed of $73.17 \%$ in the respective total and the least number of workers is found to have below high school level education.

Distribution of workers by age in sample areas show that the highest number of workers was in the age group of 35-59 years and the lowest was in the age group of 16-24 years. Employment by education show that highest number of workers fall in the category of below high school $250(44 \%$ male and $56 \%$ female) and high school level consists of $254(49.61 \%$ male and $50.39 \%$ female). This was followed by graduates with 212 workers, where the percentage of male and female was $54.25 \%$ and $45.75 \%$ respectively. The lowest number of workers was found in the post graduate level with 67 ( $46.27 \%$ male and $53.73 \%$ female). This indicates that female workers have lower levels of education than male except in post graduate level where female percentage is higher.

The total number of workers in rural sample areas was 583 consisting of 289 (49.57\%) from Mokokchung and 294(50.43\%) from Tuensang. Employment by educational level shows that highest number of workers had only below high school level education with $(43.42 \%$ for male and $56.56 \%$ for female). This was followed by high school level with $176(56.82 \%$ male and $43.18 \%$ female). In higher secondary level it was $88(62.50 \%$ male and $37.50 \%$ female), Graduates composed of $82(58.54 \%$ male and $41.46 \%$ female $)$. The lowest was found to be in the post-graduate level with 9 ( $66.67 \%$ male and $33.33 \%$ female) in rural Mokokchung, it was nil in rural Tuensang.

The data also indicate that female education is lower than male at all levels of education in the rural sample areas as well as employment. Lower levels of education among female comes in the way of employment and employability.

In urban area of the two sample districts the working population is classified according to their educational levels. The total employed in urban sample population was 364 consists of ( $44.51 \%$ male and $55.49 \%$ female). Of the total workers Mokokchung consisted of 209 ( $57.42 \%$ ) and Tuensang $155(42.58 \%)$. Out of the total sample the highest proportion of workers was graduates in both the districts consisting of $130(51.54 \%$ male and $48.46 \%$ female). This was followed by high school level with 78 ( $34.62 \%$ male and $65.38 \%$ female), and higher secondary $76(42.11 \%$ male and $57.89 \%$ female). There were 58 post graduates comprised of ( $43.10 \%$ male and $56.90 \%$ female). The lowest was found to be having below high school level qualification in both the districts with 22 workers, consist of $50 \%$ each of male and female in Mokokchung and $55.56 \%$ male and $44.44 \%$ female in Tuensang.

The above analysis reveal that except in the level of below high school and graduates, in all the other levels of education female employment was higher than male in the urban area.

The estimates of Employment by education in Nagaland in the two sample districts during 2011-12 show that, the highest number of workers in Nagaland was below high school level education with $28.52 \%$ in Mokokchung and $71.48 \%$ in Tuensang. The lowest was workers having post-graduate level of education consisting of $76.12 \%$ in Mokokchung and $23.88 \%$ in Tuensang. By gender concern the highest number of female workers was below high school, followed by high school level, graduates, higher secondary and the least were the post-graduates. As compared to male employment at each level of education, female accounted a higher proportion at high school and below and post graduate levels, where as male workers were more than the female workers with higher secondary and graduates levels of education.

## Education and employment relations

The regression results show that in urban area, the dependence of Employment on the Levels of education is significant, while the same for rural area is insignificant. Therefore, the hypothesis stating that increase in the levels of education among female increases their employment is accepted for urban female workers, while the same is rejected for rural female workers.

As regression result indicates that for urban total, the regression coefficient is 0.106 , which is significant at $1 \%$ as its ' $t$ ' value is [5.64]. This implies that an additional level of education will lead to increase in employment by $10 \%$. Its $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value is 0.138 , which means that $14 \%$ of the variation in female employment is explained by attainment of educational levels. For urban sample districts, similar situation is observed where the regression coefficient for

Mokokchung is relatively more significant than Tuensang (at $1 \%$ and $5 \%$, respectively as indicated by' $t$ ' values in the table).

## EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME

## 1. Gender disparity in Income Distribution by area

The per capita income of the working population in sample total was estimated at Rs. 15,470 per month. The per capita monthly income was found to be higher among male (Rs.17152) than that of female (Rs.13821). Also by area, it was higher among male in both rural and urban areas than that of female.

The average per capita income in urban area was higher (Rs.22, 921) than that in rural area (Rs.7160). In rural area the number of female worker as well as per capita income is lower than male. In urban area, although the total female working population is more, their per capita income is lower than male; which implies that more female workers are engaged in lower paid jobs as compared to male workers.

## 2. Gender disparity in Income Distribution by sector

The analysis of income distribution in chapter four section 4.6 shows that more women workers are concentrated at the lower range of income distribution in the informal sector in both rural and urban areas. Whereas in the formal sector women workers are concentrated generally at the middle income range in all the areas, therefore the findings support the hypothesis.

The average monthly per capita income of rural workers in the formal sector was Rs.16728; where male income was Rs. 17629 and female Rs. 15184 per month. In informal sector average income was Rs. 4471 and male and female income was Rs. 6683 and Rs. 2743 respectively.

Income earning in informal sector was found to be lower than that of formal sector, it was also found out that female income per worker is lower than male in both the districts in this sector.

The average per capita income of urban workers in the formal sector was Rs. 25658 where male income was Rs. 26330 and female Rs. 25136 per month. In Mokokchung average per capita income of male and female was Rs. 30676 and Rs. 28657 respectively. In Tuensang male and female average per capita income was Rs. 21114 and Rs. 21358 respectively.

Workers in informal sector were less in number in both the districts. The average per capita income of informal workers in the districts was Rs. 16694 and income of male and female is Rs. 22600 and Rs. 11156 respectively. By district in Mokokchung male income was Rs. 21130 and Rs. 9904 for female, and in Tuensang it was Rs. 27429 and Rs. 16583 for male and female.

In sample total income per worker in formal and informal sectors in Nagaland during 2011-12 in rural area was (Rs. 17629 male and 15184 female) respectively in formal sector. Informal sector also show higher income for male at (Rs. 6683 for male and Rs. 2743 for female) respectively. In urban area income per worker in formal sector was (Rs. 26330 for male and Rs. 25136 for female), and in informal sector it was (Rs. 22600 for male and Rs. 11156 for female) respectively. In aggregate, the average per capita income of male and female was almost same in formal sector (Rs. 21457 for male and Rs. 21497 for female), and informal sector show that male income was higher than female (Rs. 9509 and Rs.4025) for male and female respectively.

Education and Income:
The hypothesis, education enables women to earn higher income which is more significant in urban area is supported by the findings of the study. As the regression results show that there is positive relation between education and earnings, although the $R^{2}$ values are not high (this is expected as it is a case of cross section regression). Moreover, the levels of significance are high (at $1 \%$ ). The result for sample total for urban area suggests that for every additional level of education, the average monthly income of women worker goes up by Rs.7228.57, whereas, the same for rural area leads to an increase in average monthly income of Rs. 3152.08. The intercepts are positive for both the areas, which indicate the level of average monthly income at zero level of education. The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value suggests that about $23 \%$ of the variation in average monthly earnings is explained by education for urban sample total, and the same for rural area is $19 \%$. As compare the impact of education on earnings of urban to that of rural women, it is higher with the former than the later. And the ' $t$ ' values are [7.203] and [6.859] for urban and rural respectively, showing significance at $1 \%$ level. Thus, the findings support the hypothesis that education enables women to earn higher income is more significant in urban area.

Comparatively, the results between the two sample districts, in urban area, the impact of education on average monthly income is positive in both the district but relatively higher for Mokokchung with Rs. 9210.23 per month as compared to Rs. 4680.15 per month for Tuensang.

This difference could be due to fact that Mokokchung being more developed than Tuensang (Tuensang is one of the least developed districts in Nagaland), so education is having relatively more significant impact. Nevertheless, both district show high level of significant of the beta coefficients (1\%). Further, about $27 \%$ and $17 \%$, respectively, of variation in average monthly income/earnings is explained by education.

In rural area, the resultant increase in earnings was relatively higher in Tuensang than Mokokchung with Rs. 2802 and Rs. 2352 respectively, which were significant at $1 \%$. Moreover, only $11 \%$ and $14 \%$ respectively, of variation in income is explained by education.

### 4.6. INCOME DISTRIBUTION

(i). Rural formal sector

The average income of male workers was higher than female workers in rural formal sector which were Rs. 17629 and Rs.15184. From rural sample data it is found that as low as $2.28 \%$ of the total income (with Rs. 4857 in average per month) was received by $7.14 \%$ of female workers, whereas none of the male population was in the lowest level of income in the sample. The highest income earner among male was $2.38 \%$ who received $5.20 \%$ of the total income (an average of Rs. 38500 per month), as for female, highest income earned was by $1.02 \%$ of workers who received only $2.69 \%$ of their respective total. Among female workers in the sector, cumulative frequency data show that at the bottom of the income spectrum $63 \%$ of the workers receive an average monthly income of Rs. 4857 to Rs.13486, comprised of $38 \%$ of the female total income. On the other hand, the second top $6 \%$ of the female worker receive an average monthly income of Rs. 29000 while the top $1 \%$ receives Rs 40,000 per month

The income is more equitably distributed among male workers (as its Gini Coefficient is 0.18 ) than that of female (with Gini Coefficient of 0.21 ). Moreover, the income of the female workers is lower and concentrated more at the lower range of income distribution.

## (ii). Rural Informal Sector:

The average monthly income per worker was higher for male Rs. 6683 than female with Rs.2743. The distribution of income among male workers in rural informal sector, out of total income the lowest income earned was $25.11 \%$ by $56.12 \%$ workers (with an average monthly of Rs.2991), and $51.69 \%$ female received $43.86 \%$ of the respective total income (average monthly per worker is Rs.2328). The highest income earner consists of $0.72 \%$ male, received $25.11 \%$ of income (average of Rs. 97,000 per month). For female the highest income level was only at the range of Rs.15001-20000 which was received by only $0.56 \%$ and $4.10 \%$ of the respective total
(average of Rs. 20000 per worker). The income of female is uniformly low and equitable as its GC is only 0.10 , while among male it is 0.33 , showing relatively unequal distribution.
(iii). Urban formal sector:

In urban formal sector, the average income of female was Rs.25, 136, which is somewhat lower than male average of Rs.26, 330. The data show that more of urban formal workers were within the middle income group for both male and female.

In urban area $1.52 \%$ male received the lowest income of $0.14 \%$ of total income (average of Rs. 2475 per month), whereas the highest income earner consists of $15.15 \%$ received $30 \%$ of total income (average of Rs. 52135 per month). Among female, the lowest were $2.94 \%$ whose income was $0.48 \%$ of total income (average of Rs. 4060 per month), the highest income earner consists of $9.41 \%$ who received $25.56 \%$ of their respective total income (average of Rs. 68256 per month). The income distribution is relatively equitable among male (as its GC is .26 ) than that of female (with GC of .33 ) in urban formal sector.
(iv). Urban Informal Sector:

The average monthly income of male workers was higher than female in urban informal sector in sample data (Rs. 22600 and Rs.11156, respectively). In this sector, $3.33 \%$ of male were in the lowest spectrum of income distribution who receive $0.74 \%$ of total income (average of Rs. 5000 per month), and for female it was $18.75 \%$ who receive $5.60 \%$ of total income (average monthly income of Rs.3333). As for the highest income, male consist of $13.33 \%$ of workers who receive $38.35 \%$ of income (with an average of Rs. 65,000 per month) and for female workers $3.13 \%$ receive $14.01 \%$ of their respective total income (average of Rs. 50000 per month). $90 \%$ of the female workers are confined within the income range of Rs. 5000 to Rs. 15000 , receiving about $69 \%$ of the income, while $6 \%$ at the top receiving $25 \%$ of the income. For male, about $86.66 \%$ of workers receive only $62 \%$ of income while $13 \%$ at the top receive $38 \%$ of income.

The distribution of income is more equitable among female with GC of .25 , while for male it is relatively unequal distribution with GC of .44.Average income of the female workers was lower than that of male in both formal ( $16.10 \%$ ) and informal ( $143.63 \%$ ) sectors in rural area. The same in urban area was only $4.75 \%$ in formal sector and informal sector it was $102.58 \%$. The fact reveals that although male average income in both the sectors and areas are higher, the income gap among gender is much higher in informal sector than the formal sector.

Moreover, female workers are concentrated by and large at the lower spectrum of the income distribution especially among informal workers in both rural and urban areas as
indicated by cumulative frequency distributions of workers and income. In formal sector, they are generally concentrated in the middle income groups in both rural and urban areas. Gini Coefficient values indicate that in informal sector income distribution are comparatively inequitable among male as compared to female and vice versa for formal sector.

### 4.7 INCOME AND EXPENDITURE BY GENDER

## Male and female headed households:

In the sample total there were 439 households headed by male comprised of $279(63.55 \%$ ) in rural area and $160(36.45 \%)$ in urban area, and female headed total was 64 comprised of $24(37.50 \%)$ in rural and $40(62.50 \%)$ in urban area.

In rural area, there were a total of 279 MHHs and 24 FHHs , consists of 134(48.03\%) in Mokokchung, and 145(51.97\%) in Tuensang male headed. FHHs consists of 16(66.67\%) in Mokokchung and $8(33.33 \%)$ in Tuensang. MHHs constituted $92 \%$ of total households and only $8 \%$ were female headed.

Rural Area: The average monthly income of FHHs in rural area of Mokokchung was higher than MHHs by $13.70 \%$. The average monthly income of male MHHs was Rs.24, 515 and FHHs was Rs.27, 875 in Mokokchung. In Tuensang, the average monthly income of MHHs was Rs.14, 226 and for female headed it was as low as Rs.10, 150, showing higher income for MHHs by $40 \%$. In aggregate, income of FHHs was more than MHHs by $12.86 \%$ (at Rs. 21633 and Rs. 19167 per month, respectively).

Urban Area: In aggregate the average monthly income of male and female headed households in urban sample area was Rs. 47554 and Rs. 29375 respectively, that MHHs was higher by $61.88 \%$.

In total, household's average monthly income by gender in Nagaland reveals that the income of MHHs Rs. 29513 was higher than FHHs by 11\% (Rs. 26472).

The data shows that the average monthly income is higher with MHH than that of FHH in sample aggregate. But the rural aggregated sample data show the same is higher with FHH by $12.86 \%$. In urban area it was higher with MHH by $61.88 \%$. By area concern, the average income was higher for female headed households than MHHs in rural area and vice versa in urban area and in sample aggregate.

## Expenditure of Male and Female headed households

## (i) Sample Total:

Average monthly expenditure of 439 MHHs was Rs. 20842 and the same for 64 FHHs was Rs.17431, that MHH's expenditure is higher by $20 \%$.

By area, rural area comprised of 279 MHHs whose monthly expenditure was Rs.14461, and 24 FHHs average monthly expenditure was Rs.14200, with a marginal difference of $2 \%$. In urban area average monthly expenditure was higher with Rs. 31967 for 160 MHHs than 40 FHHs with an average of Rs.19370, with a significant difference of $65 \%$.

The fact reveals that the average monthly expenditure is determined by average income. That rural Mokokchung, expenditure of FHHs was higher than MHHs as their average income was also higher. In rural area, average income was low and expenditure of a household is dependent on its monthly income and therefore spent accordingly. The income of FHHs is lower hence their expenditure is also lower affecting the standard of living of female headed households.

## (ii) Household Expenditure by gender

The expenditure pattern of male and female seem to differ, where female tend to spend relatively more on human development related items than the male. This underscores the importance for women to work and earn income not just for her status but for the welfare of her family and community at large.

In urban aggregated data, among female the major expenditure is incurred on food and children education ( $29 \%$ each). For male it is on food ( $24 \%$ ), followed by property ( $22 \%$ ), children education and health care ( $21 \%$ each). Expenditure on self, health care and others are lower irrespective of gender.

In rural aggregate data, both male and female incurred higher expenditure on food and children education, but the expenses on those items are relatively higher with the female than that of male. Expenditure on property is relatively higher among male.

## Time budgeting

Differences in time spent are taken for household activities, economic activities and social activities in hours per person.
(i) Household activities:

The average time spent for all household chores is much higher for female in both rural and urban areas ( 7.24 and 5.56 hours per day, respectively) in Mokokchung and ( 9.85 and 4.00 hours per day, respectively) in Tuensang. Among the household activities, for female, more
time is spent on cooking in both rural (2.52 hours) and urban areas (2.20 hours) and (2.99 hours) in rural and urban areas (1.00 hour) for Mokokchung and Tuensang.

## (ii) Economic activities:

In both rural and urban areas, it is female who spent more time on economic activities ( 7.35 and 7.34 hours, respectively) in Mokokchung but lower than male, which shows (3.74 and 3.00 hours, respectively) in Tuensang. However, among the activities, male spent longer time in the place of work ( 6.21 hours and 6.30 hours per day, respectively) in rural and urban Mokokchung and in Tuensang it is ( 4.40 hours in rural and 2 hours in urban, respectively).

## (iii) Social activities:

The average time spent on social activities is found to be relatively equal between male and female in Mokokchung. In rural Tuensang male spent more time in social activities and in urban area it was female who spent more time.

Thus, time spent for household, economic and social activities it was female who spend more time in both rural and urban area (16.51 and 14.58 hours per day) in Mokokchung as compared to male (10.00 and 10.14 hours per day). As for Tuensang total time spent for the three activities in rural and urban area show that female time spent is higher with (14.57 and 9.26 hours per day as compared to male ( 9.36 and 7.00 hours per day)

Thus, the average time spent by a working female for household chores economic activities and social activities is found to be considerably higher as compared to male. Most women cannot spare their time to attend training programs because they have to shift from their place of work to household works. Women are expected to return home at a certain time, cook, clean and take care of family affairs. From the study it is obvious that many women put in almost equal hours in household activities, which is an unpaid work, and to paid employment. Self employed women usually work at home to save time and to attend to children and take care of household chores. However, for male, their major time is spent in work place and minimum time in household chores. That women are doubled burdened with household activities that they do not get time for leisure or personal development and care.

Summary and findings of status of women and empowerment in Nagaland discussed in chapter 5 are highlighted below.

## 1. Ownership of Resources by women

The proportions of women who own land were significantly lower than that of male in both the sample districts. In rural area, among female population it was only $15.6 \%$ and $15 \%$
for Mokokchung and Tuensang, respectively. More of urban female population than their rural counterparts has access to land $(33.3 \%$ and $44.4 \%$ for Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively). However, these proportions are much lower as compared to that of male.

Among those who owned house in rural area comprises of $36.3 \%$ in Mokokchung and $27.1 \%$ in Tuensang, urban area percentage was higher at $69.7 \%$ and $52.2 \%$ for Mokokchung and Tuensang, respectively. Female having their own business and having assets were found to be low, except for urban Tuensang where their asset holding and own business was quite high as compared to the total number of female respondents.

As for banking, the number of female account holders in rural area consists of $85.9 \%$ and $49.3 \%$ in Mokokchung and Tuensang respectively. In urban area it was $89.8 \%$ and 96.7 for Mokokchung and Tuensang. It shows that, only $10.2 \%$ and $3.3 \%$ of respondents who do not have bank account in their names.

Ownership of resources by women in general is higher among urban women than that in rural area, also much lower than that of male. Hypothesis that holds as 'when women earn higher income, their access to ownership of productive resources increase' has been tested for urban and rural areas separately. The regression result for both urban and rural women population supports the hypothesis, except for ownership of business by women which is negative and also the regression coefficients are not significant.

## 2. Access to financial resources and subsidy

Access to financial resources from institutions, private and subsidies were examined in both rural and urban areas, where females take institutional loan mostly for construction of houses ( $31 \%$ and $33 \%$ respectively). In rural area, loan for children education is also equally high, followed by farming and to buy means of transportation ( $15 \%$ each). Respondents who have taken loan for purchase of land were all male. For construction of houses, health care, children education and business, the female proportions were higher than that of male. This implies that, except for purchase of land, for all other purposes, it is female, who take higher responsibility in availing loans from private money lenders with higher rate of interest.

The reasons for not getting subsidies were mostly because they did not apply or did not know, where majority were females. This reveals that lack of awareness and inactiveness is higher among female than that of male.

In sample total, the respondents were most aware of the information on credit (40\%), followed by trainings ( $27 \%$ ) and were least aware of the post office deposit scheme ( $16 \%$ ). Gender segregated data also show a similar situation. However, the levels of awareness are
lower among female than that of male in all categories, except for trainings. The gender composition data on access to information reveal that in Mokokchung, male has higher access to information than female under all categories, except for trainings where it is more of female.

## 3. Perception on work and gender relations

(i) The respondents feel that, employment gives not much of impact on fertility and postponement of marriage; also they strongly feel that sharing of household burden and equal opportunities in social and religious activities is needed. Women feel that with more economic independence they gain respect in the family as well as in the society. Importance of equal opportunities and acceptance of women in political activities is felt by women in the sample area.
(ii) Among female the major reason for being unemployed is lack of required education, the other reasons are family related problems (nearly $50 \%$ ), comprise of starting family, children related and family upkeep.
(iii)The feeling of insecurity for being unemployed is higher in Tuensang district than Mokokchung, but the insecurity to go alone which show lower self confidence is higher among women in Mokokchung, and nearly half of them do not have the desire to pursue their interest as they lack self esteem.

The result shows that, among unemployed women very few of them received governmental assistance in the form of wage work, welfare scheme and developmental projects. Consequently, they have low self esteem and confidence on themselves.

## 4. Gender wise decision making

(i) In urban area, women enjoy greater influence over male in home management and purchases. Only in Tuensang and in sample average, men are having relatively greater influence over women in construction and repairing of houses. But in most of the households in Mokokchung as well as in sample total, the decisions are taken jointly.
(ii) In rural area, normally it is male who takes the decision in regards to construction and repairing of houses, and it is jointly for home management and purchases, but never by female alone in general.
(iii) In both rural and urban areas, the average score is higher for 'both' (74\%-rural and $56 \%$-urban), followed by 'female' ( $18 \%$-rural and $42 \%$-urban), which implies that for most of the families the child related decisions are taken jointly in both rural and urban areas. Urban women have moderately higher influence over male than that of rural women in regards to
child related decisions in the families. Male alone has very limited weight in child related decisions.

By observing the case of either female or male alone, in rural area, female have greater influence over male in all the categories except for purchase and sale of land and livestock, where it is male who have greater influence. In urban area, for livestock, land and implements male has greater influence over female.

The presence of VDB women was found in villages where the representation is very less and fund allocated to take up projects by women was found to be less. The VDB women besides implementation of fund raising schemes within the fund allotted to them they cannot play any significant role in the development of the village, and since women representation is less they cannot influence the decision making of the board in important issues in the village.

## Conclusion

The state is predominantly inhabited by rural population, and its economy is mainly based on agrarian nature. It may be pointed out that (74.7\%) of female in rural area are in agriculture, where the income is low.

Education has a positive impact on women status in formal sector. In Nagaland Education has attained remarkable progress showing female literacy rate at (76.69\%), but it is observed that the gender gap is still large in the state where female literacy rate is lower than that of male, and also in technical courses and in more challenging streams like agriculture and law, girl's enrolment is very low as compared to boys.

The study shows that in rural area the proportion of female workers in informal sector was (54.63\%) more than that of male; whereas their income earning is lower that of male. There is greater income disparity in this sector than formal sector. It is obvious that informal sector is one possibility for women to get access to employment and earn an income. Consequently majority of women dominate the informal sector in the State.

It is also found that by nature of work the proportion of male as regular workers was ( $59.42 \%$ ) more than female, as for female it consists of seasonal worker with ( $56.20 \%$ ) in the sample. This implies that male work participation was higher as regular, whereas female work participation was more as seasonal workers. This shows concentration of women in low paying jobs which are insecure, having negative implication on the level of income and economic status.

Lack of access to land and ownership rights is another major obstacle in total participation of women in work, which is derived from custom and inheritance law. In spite of
women's increasing work participation and growth of the economy, the benefits in terms of ownership of land, property and access to credit, which determines their economic status are still not equally distributed as compared to men.

There are clear indications that there is gender disparity in income distribution among male and female. In urban area female income is higher than male by $1.46 \%$ in formal sector, and in rural male income is more by $27.3 \%$. In informal sector higher proportion of workers is female but per capita income of male is higher by $103 \%$ in rural area and $150 \%$ in urban area than that of female.

## Policy Suggestions:

1. The female work participation in the State may be raised through occupation-based education and recognition of various household activities as viable economic activities.
2. Agricultural sector needs to be strengthened by providing basic facilities like extension and credit support, infrastructure and marketing facilities which will enhance the level of income and their status.
3. Employment of women all over the country in formal as well as informal sectors is directly related to the changing employment situation and growth of the nation. There should be a policy to women workers in informal sector. Expansion of opportunities for employment in selected areas suited to women and recognition of their services in many unaccounted informal sectors is required.
4. Special attention is required in informal sector where majority of workers are women by way of providing skill development training including modern handicraft, handloom and farming activities, which need to be executed vigorously for women. Government should focus on implementation of enterprise development, supply chain and marketing practices that empower women.
5. Policies and developments affecting the informal economy have a distinctly gendered effect. Therefore, partnerships must be built with associations of women to create an environment enabling them to make institutional credit available to women at affordable rates.
6. Specific program for improvement of women aiming at raising the level of income and employment needs to be taken up in order to raise the efficiency of women through education and training.
7. To overcome the problems of lack of property and access to land title, government must focus attention on creation of productive assets for women by providing credit on easy terms and subsidies.
8. If women have to participate in the growth of our State, they must first discover themselves, be proud of their accomplishment, have an independent identity, feelings of self-worth, initiative, decision making, and independent stature. This process of learning to assert will have its repercussions, like every process of social change, consciousness of women's rights among women will cut the differences in Naga society.
9. The numerical strength of VDB women needs to be increased in order to influence policy decisions and effective implementation of VDB Act.
10. Female education needs to be intensified and encourage girl students to take up technical and professional education by giving special considerations for girl students which will have a positive impact on level of income. There is also the need to bridge the gap in literacy by investing more in education sector by government as well as private parties, and also to encourage the districts with lower literacy rates to give more importance to education. Government should allocate top priority to the education of females for improving their status in society. Women activists and women political leadership can play a vital role in this regard. A woman working for paid job has better empowerment status than their counterparts without it. Therefore, policies such as promotion of education, training and professional development for women is necessary.
11. Women's share in the science, technical and vocational education has also to receive much attention. Universities should look at the content of the courses in sciences, social sciences and humanities to eliminate any professional biases against women and moreover the so called hard areas as designated for women in science and technology should be open to women. This if implemented optimally, should add relevance to the current socio-economic and technical scenario in terms of the information age and bring about a transformation in the social and economic role women have to play in the future. Besides, the ultimate result of this is in promoting the social values of equality and partnership in family and work.
12. Moreover, the prevailing social prejudices against female movements also are to be removed.
13. Critical institutional strategies would use new institutions such as NGOs to handle some responsibilities that governments would have addressed and to transform other institutions, such as the family, into more equitable units.
14. Programs to make credit available to women should be central to the strategy of governments and non-governmental organizations.
15. To provide Crèche facilities and day care centers for working women, to enable and enhance the quality of women work participation.
16. To minimize the sex bias in the recruitment, working conditions and promotion right from the lowest level to the top.
17. A number of policy initiatives could be used to address this gender gap in State's labor force participation. These include increased labor market flexibility (which could lead to the creation of more formal sector jobs) allowing more women, many of whom are working in the informal sector, to be employed in the formal sector. In addition, supply-side reforms to improve infrastructure and address other constraints to job creation could also enable more women to enter the labor force. Finally, higher social spending, including investment in women education, can lead to higher female labor force participation by boosting female stocks of human capital.
18. Empowering women to participate fully in economic life across all sectors is essential to built stronger economies, achieve internationally agreed goals for development and sustainability, and improve the quality of life for women, men, families and communities.

On the basis of the research findings it may be suggested that the general spread of women's education in Nagaland has implications for future trend in women employment. More women are taking advantages of higher education. Thus it is now recognized that Education is the major instrument which societies can use to direct the process of change. It provides for upward mobility and can thereby help to equalize status between individuals coming from different social strata. The UN Declaration of Human Rights regards it as one of the basic rights of every human being. The movements for improving women's status all over the world has always emphasized education as the most significant Instrument for changing women's subjugated position in society. The better a woman's education, the greater the availability of social opportunities. Whenever new opportunities come in. there is conflict with standing institutions. This leads to a change in thinking and in the pattern of living as indicated below;

Educated woman- has greater opportunities- desire to exploit opportunities- change in attitude- conflict with existing institutions- acceptance of new challenges -woman's status improves.
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